



The Routledge Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations

Edited by Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio and Nicola Lupo

THE ROUTLEDGE HANDBOOK OF PARLIAMENTARY ADMINISTRATIONS

The Routledge Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations brings together an international, multidisciplinary group of contributors providing a systematic and comprehensive analysis of parliamentary administrations.

Including chapters on the administrations of national parliaments in every member state of the European Union, in most of the EU candidate countries and in key liberal democracies around the world, this book represents a uniquely broad-ranging resource. Each national system is treated in a consistent manner, with authors providing relevant facts, figures and critical analysis according to a common framework. Additionally, it provides coverage of transnational parliamentary administrations in different regions around the globe and includes a number of cross-cutting chapters, addressing key issues of relevance for a better understanding of parliamentary administrations such as the potential for politicisation, professionalisation, digitalisation or Europeanisation with the comparative analysis of different national experiences. This handbook will enable readers to better comprehend the role and influence of parliamentary administrations and in doing so will enhance our understanding of their importance for the effective functioning of representative democracy more generally.

The Routledge Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations constitutes a unique tool and prime reference for any researcher, scholar or practitioner working in the area of parliamentary and legislative studies, governance, democracy, public policy and administration, as well as more widely to European studies, general political science and comparative politics.

Thomas Christiansen is a Professor of Political Science and European Integration at LUISS University, Italy.

Elena Griglio is a Senior Parliamentary Official of the Italian Senate and teaches Comparative Public Law at LUISS University, Italy.

Nicola Lupo is a Professor of Public Law and Director of the Center for Parliamentary Studies (CESP) at LUISS University, Italy.

"Parliamentary administrations assure that legislatures' formal authority translates into de facto power. The editors provide unique insights on parliamentary administrations worldwide. Historical trajectories, institutional capabilities and current challenges are systematically compared. An overdue Public Administration complement to Parliamentary Studies."

Michael W. Bauer, European University Institute, Florence, Italy.

"A major contribution to an overlooked, but key element of the political system. This volume breaks new ground in the range of questions it addresses and the systematic analysis it undertakes. An impressive feature is that it extends beyond the 'usual suspects' in making its selection of cases."

Hussein Kassim, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom.

"This handbook highlights the central importance of administrative resources within democratic legislatures through a broad array of case studies and a careful selection of comparative thematic chapters. The volume provides a new and invaluable resource for comparative research on the quality, capacity and evolution of administrative organization in legislatures."

Amie Kreppel, Professor and Jean Monnet Chair, University of Florida, USA.

THE ROUTLEDGE HANDBOOK OF PARLIAMENTARY ADMINISTRATIONS

Edited by Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio and Nicola Lupo



Cover image: © Getty Images

First published 2023 by Routledge 4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2023 selection and editorial matter, Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio and Nicola Lupo; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio and Nicola Lupo to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

> ISBN: 978-1-032-02024-2 (hbk) ISBN: 978-1-032-02027-3 (pbk) ISBN: 978-1-003-18152-1 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003181521

Typeset in Bembo by KnowledgeWorks Global Ltd.

CONTENTS

Lis	t of Figures	x
Lis	t of Tables	xiı
No	tes on Editors	xv
No	tes on Contributors	xvii
For	reword by Lord Philip Norton	xxii
	face	xxı
	t of Abbreviations	xxvi
1	Introduction: A Global Perspective on the Role of Parliamentary Administrations	1
	Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio and Nicola Lupo	
	RT I	
Cr	oss-cutting Analyses of Parliamentary Administrations	17
2	A Distinct Role for Parliamentary Administrations in Presidential and Parliamentary Systems? Cristina Fasone	19
3	The Internal Administrative Structure and the Relationship with the Speaker Luigi Gianniti and Rosella Di Cesare	38
4	The Administration of Bicameral Parliaments Elena Griglio and Nicola Lupo	52
5	The Europeanization of Parliamentary Administrations Anna-Lena Högenauer	75

6	Parliamentary Diplomacy Jonathan Murphy	88
7	Parliamentary Administration Facing the Digital Challenge Fotios Fitsilis and Olivier Costa	105
8	Parliamentary Administrations and the Provision of Scientific Expertise Giovanni Rizzoni	121
	RT II ministrations of National Parliaments	135
	RT II.I the EU	137
9	Austria's Parliamentary Administration Christoph Konrath, Johannes Pollak and Peter Slominski	139
10	Belgium's Parliamentary Administration Pieter Dirck G. Caboor and Patricia Popelier	152
11	Bulgaria's Parliamentary Administration Martin Belov	163
12	Croatia's Parliamentary Administration Jelena Špiljak	176
13	Cyprus' Parliamentary Administration Natia Karayianni	187
14	Czechia's Parliamentary Administration Petr Kaniok	199
15	Denmark's Parliamentary Administration Helene Helboe Pedersen	211
16	Estonia's Parliamentary Administration Leif Kalev, Ott Lumi and Tõnis Saarts	222
17	Finland's Parliamentary Administration Tapio Raunio	233

18	France's Parliamentary Administration Angela Tacea	243
19	Germany's Parliamentary Administration Felix Arndt, Anna-Lena Högenauer and Claus Koggel	255
20	Greece's Parliamentary Administration Fotios Fitsilis	268
21	Hungary's Parliamentary Administration Zsolt Szabo	281
22	Ireland's Parliamentary Administration Mellissa English and Tara Murphy	291
23	Italy's Parliamentary Administration Luigi Gianniti and Nicola Lupo	306
24	Latvia's Parliamentary Administration Daunis Auers and Visvaldis Valtenbergs	320
25	Lithuania's Parliamentary Administration Modestas Gelbūda	331
26	Luxembourg's Parliamentary Administration Astrid Spreitzer	344
27	Malta's Parliamentary Administration Mark Harwood	355
28	The Netherlands' Parliamentary Administration Afke Groen	365
29	Poland's Parliamentary Administration Maciej Serowaniec	378
30	Portugal's Parliamentary Administration Ana Vargas, Bruno Dias Pinheiro and Teresa Fonseca	391
31	Romania's Parliamentary Administration Alexandra Alina Iancu	403

32	Slovakia's Parliamentary Administration Natália Švecová	413
33	Slovenia's Parliamentary Administration Alenka Krašovec	425
34	Spain's Parliamentary Administration Mario Kölling and Ignacio Molina	435
35	Sweden's Parliamentary Administration Inguar Mattson and Thomas Larue	447
	RT II.II the Wider Europe	461
36	Albania's Parliamentary Administration Afrim Krasniqi	463
37	Bosnia and Herzegovina's Parliamentary Administration Damir Kapidžić and Lejla Tafro-Sefić	476
38	Iceland's Parliamentary Administration Porsteinn Magnússon	487
39	Montenegro's Parliamentary Administration Dražen Malović and Mirko Mijanović	499
40	North Macedonia's Parliamentary Administration Vlora Rechica	511
41	Norway's Parliamentary Administration Hilmar Rommetvedt	522
42	Serbia's Parliamentary Administration Davor Jančić	534
43	Switzerland's Parliamentary Administration Andreas Ladner	547
44	Turkey's Parliamentary Administration Damla Cihangir-Tetik and Selin Türkeş-Kılıç	557

45	United Kingdom's Parliamentary Administration Alexandra Meakin, Ben Yong and Cristina Leston-Bandeira	570
	RT II.III orldwide	581
46	Argentina's Parliamentary Administration María Paula Bertino	583
47	Australia's Parliamentary Administration Valerie Barrett	597
48	Brazil's Parliamentary Administration Fabiano Santos and Fernando Saboia Vieira	611
49	Canada's Parliamentary Administration Jonathan Malloy	624
50	India's Parliamentary Administration Milind Thakar	635
51	Israel's Parliamentary Administration Susan Hattis Rolef	644
52	Japan's Parliamentary Administration Karol Żakowski	656
53	Korea's Parliamentary Administration Youngah Guahk	666
54	Mexico's Parliamentary Administration Fernando Nieto-Morales	678
55	South Africa's Parliamentary Administration Timothy Paul Layman	689
56	Tunisia's Parliamentary Administration Faten Sliti	699
57	The United States Congressional Administration B. Guy Peters	713

PART III The Transnational Dimension of Parliamentary Administration		723
58	European Parliament's Administration Francis Jacobs and Alfredo De Feo	725
59	Global and Regional Perspectives on Transnational Parliamentary Administrations Davor Jančić	738
Ind	lex	752

FIGURES

5.1	The number of EU experts in national parliaments (2021)	78
8.1	Web portal of the House of Commons Library	128
8.2	Web portal of the Italian Chamber of Deputies research service	129
8.3	2030 agenda Sustainable Development Goals	129
9.1	Budget development 2010–2020	142
9.2	Staff 2010-2020	142
10.1	Political component of the House of Representatives	154
10.2	Administrative component of the House of Representatives	156
12.1	Organizational chart of the Croatian Parliament	178
15.1	Organizational chart of the parliament administration	213
15.2	The resources of Folketing's administration 2007–2019	214
15.3	Academic staff and procedures for recruitment	214
16.1	Structure of the Chancellery of Riigikogu	225
18.1	The organization of the General Secretariat of the Assemblée nationale and	
	of the Presidency	245
18.2	The General Secretariat of the Questure	246
18.3	The organization of the administrative structures of the Sénat	247
18.4	Organization of the common services	248
19.1	The administration of the Bundestag	257
19.2	Organizational structure of the Bundesrat	259
20.1	Evolution of budget size and number of personnel, from 2004 to 2021,	
	in Greece	27 0
22.1	The Oireachtas' organizational chart	294
24.1	Structure of the Saeima Administration	322
24.2	Saeima Administration employees 2006–2020	323
24.3	Annual Saeima budget 2006–2020 (millions, EUR)	323
25.1	Organizational structure chart of the Seimas administration (Lithuania)	335
26.1	Size of parliamentary administration in the Luxembourg chamber of	
	deputies, 2001–2021 (n, FTE)	345
26.2	Total expenditures and staff costs, 2001–2019 (in million)	345

Figures

26.3	Organisational chart of the parliamentary administration in the Luxembourg	
	chamber of deputies (2021)	346
26.4	Number of staff per service and percentage of staff attributed to political	
	support work and specialized support services, 2021	347
27.1	The Maltese parliament's governance structure	357
28.1	Evolution of the budget for scrutiny and legislation of the Dutch House of	
	Representatives and the Senate (2002–2021)	366
28.2	Percentage of total budget earmarked for apparatus, research, and party	
	groups in the Dutch House of Representatives (2007–2021)	367
28.3	Organogram of the Dutch House of Representatives (2021)	369
28.4	Division of staff members across three main administrative branches of the	
	administration of the Dutch House of Representation (2021–2022)	370
29.1	Organizational chart of the Chancellery of the Sejm	379
29.2	Organizational chart of the Chancellery of the Senate	380
30.1	Graph of development of staff size of the Assembleia da República	392
30.2	Organizational chart of the Assembleia do República	395
31.1	The budget of the Romanian Chamber of Deputies – Camera Deputaților	407
32.1	Average number of employees of the National Council of the Slovak Republic	414
32.2	Evolution of the size of the parliamentary administration in Slovakia	416
33.1	Organizational chart of the Državni zbor in 2021	427
34.1	Evolution of the budget of the Cortes Generales (2004–2019) in euros	441
35.1	Annual workforce (line/left scale) and budget (millions SEK – stacks/right	
	scale) of the Riksdag Administration	448
35.2	Riksdag Administration	449
35.3	Number of interpellations (dotted line) and no. of plenary hours (stacks)	45 0
35.4	Number of private members motions (line/right axis), Government bills	
	(light grey) and committee reports (dark grey)	451
35.5	Number of total inquiry assignments to the Research Services of the	
	Riksdag (and source of the assignments)	453
37.1	Organization chart of the Secretariat of the PABiH	480
39.1	Number of employees 2006–2021 in the Parliamentary Service of Montenegro	501
40.1	The progression of the assembly staff size over the years, North Macedonia	516
40.2	Sector for support of the Council of the Assembly TV Channel	517
41.1	Organizational chart of the Norwegian Storting's administration (2019)	524
41.2	MPs and staff resources in the Storting (1971–2020)	529
41.3	Parliamentary questioning by type of questions (1945–2021)	530
41.4	Number of private members' bills and proposal submitted (1984–2021)	530
41.5	Per cent of committee recommendations with dissenting remarks (1945–2013)	531
44.1	Organizational chart of the administrative structure of the GNAT	562
45.1	House of Commons—governance structure	573
45.2	House of Lord—governance structure	574
47.1	Parliamentary departments staffing growth: 2004–05 to 2019–20	600
47.2	Parliamentary departments funding growth: 2004–05 to 2020–21	601
47.3	Parliament of Australia: governance and senior executive structure	605
50.1	Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha secretariat organization chart	637
51.1	Knesset administration chart (2021)	645
52.1	Organizational structure of the Shūgiin Secretariat	657

Figures

52.2	Organizational structure of the Sangiin Secretariat	658
52.3	Number of staff of the Shūgiin and the Sangiin Secretariats	659
53.1	Korean Assembly bills statistics	673
54.1	Organizational chart of the administration of the Mexican Chamber	
	of Deputies	681
54.2	Organizational chart of the administration of the Mexican Senate	682
54.3	Annual budget of the Mexican Congress (2011–2021). NB. Vertical lines	
	mark the beginning/end of legislative terms	686
55.1	The current macro structure of the Parliamentary Administration of	
	South Africa	692
55.2	Parliamentary staffing and funding over a ten year period (Parliament	
	Republic of South Africa, Annual Report, 2011 – 2020)	693
58.1	Ratios EP managers and all staff related to MEPs (in %)	729
58.2	Gender equality in the EP 2021	730

TABLES

2.1	Forms of government vis-a-vis budgetary autonomy, parliamentary staff's	
	size, status, and system of recruitment	24
3.1	Organization of parliaments and presence of the SG	42
3.2	Types of SG appointment procedures	44
4.1	Overview of administrative arrangements in bicameral parliaments	56
5.1	Committees responsible for EU affairs scrutiny	79
5.2	Staff support for sectoral committees	80
5.3	The role of staff in EU affairs scrutiny	82
13.1	Services of the House of Representatives	190
13.2	Comparative view of the personnel of the House	191
14.1	Number of staffs in Senát and in Poslanecká sněmovna	202
14.2	Staff training in SC	203
14.3	Overview of SC main activities	204
14.4	Overview of citizens' requests for information between 2005 and 2020	205
15.1	List of parliamentary networks	218
16.1	General trends in personnel	226
21.1	Budget and number of employees (2010–2021)	283
22.1	Staffing levels in the Service	293
24.1	Number of studies carried out by the Analytical Service 2017–2022	324
25.1	Structure of employees	332
25.2	Employment dynamics at the parliamentary administration	333
25.3	The annual budgets from 2001 to 2021	333
25.4	Comparison of the legislative activities in different parliamentary terms of	
	the Seimas	338
25.5	The standing committee secretariats activity report for 2021	339
29.1	The expenditures of the Chancellery of the Sejm and Chancellery of the	
	Senate (2000–2020, in thousand PLN)	384
29.2	Number of employees in the Chancellery of the Sejm and Chancellery of	
	the Senate (2000–2020)	388
30.1	Dimension of the parliamentary staff	392
32.1	Selected aspects of employment statistics	415

Tables

33.1	Number of employees in the Državni zbor administration (1992–2020)	427
33.2	Number of people employed in PPGs (1992–2020)	432
34.1	Human resources of Congress and Senat (2020)	439
34.2	Evolution of staff assigned to political groups and individual members 2000	
	and 2020	440
36.1	The number of employees of the Assembly 2002–2021	465
36.2	Parliamentary services (in %)	466
36.3	The administrative structure of the assembly in the years 2018–2021	467
36.4	Budget assembly (2010–2020)	471
37.1	Number of staff in the Secretariat	478
38.1	The main functions of the Secretariat's organizational units	489
38.2	The highest level of management by gender 2000–2020	490
38.3	The size and gender composition of the Althingi staff 2000–2020	490
38.4	The Althingi's staff turnover rate in 2005–2020	491
41.1	The standing committees of the Norwegian Storting	528
41.2	Parties, MPs and administrative personnel in the Norwegian Storting	
	(selected years)	529
42.1	The Legislation Sector within the National Assembly of Serbia	537
42.2	Serbian National Assembly delegations to international parliamentary	
	institutions	541
44.1	Total number of the staff member of the GNAT (NTV-MSNBC, 2000;	
	Pakdil, 2009; The Journal of the Committee on Planning and Budget,	
	2019; 2020)	562
44.2	Total number of the staff member of the GNAT and their units by	
	December 2020	563
44.3	Number of inter-parliamentary friendship groups of the GNAT	566
45.1	Departments within the parliamentary administration	576
47.1	Main functions of the chamber departments	601
47.2	Main functions of the Department of Parliamentary Services	602
47.3	Governance and oversight mechanisms in the Australian Parliament	603
50.1	The Lok Sabha's senior officers	638
51.1	Number of positions in the Knesset (excluding Knesset Guard) 2000–2019	651
51.2	Number of positions in the Knesset Guard 2003–2019	651
56.1	Evolution of the organization of the Tunisian administration	703
57.1	Congressional staff members (1979–2015)	714
57.2	Staffing in the US congress, 2015	715
57.3	Congressional Staff Members 1979–2015	719
57.4	Annual Maximum Pay for Selected Staff in House Member's Offices,	
	2001–2019	720
58.1	Functional distribution of EP staff and contractual agents 2019	728

EDITORS

Thomas Christiansen is a Professor of Political Science and European Integration at LUISS University, Rome. He previously held positions at Maastricht University, at the European Institute of Public Administration, at Aberystwyth University of Wales and at Essex University. He is Executive Editor of the Journal of European Integration and co-editor (with Sophie Vanhoonacker) of the "European Administration Governance" book series at Palgrave Macmillan. He has published widely on different aspects of European Union politics. He recently co-authored, with Emil Kirchner and Uwe Wissenbach, the European Union and China (London: Palgrave, 2019) and co-edited, with Diane Fromage, Brexit and Democracy – The Role of Parliaments in the United Kingdom and the European Union (London: Palgrave), co-edited with Johan Adriaensen and Vigjilenca Abazi, The Making of European Security Policy, co-edited with Roberta Haar, Sabina Lange and Sophie Vanhoonacker (London: Routledge) and Security Relations between the European Union and its Asian Partners, co-edited with Emil Kirchner and Tan See Seng (London: Palgrave), were published in 2021.

Elena Griglio is a Senior Parliamentary Official of the Italian Senate. She teaches Comparative Public Law and Security Law and Constitutional Protection at LUISS University, Rome. PhD in Public Law, University of Turin, she has been postdoc Fellow in Public Comparative Law at the Luiss University and Visiting Fellow at the European University Institute – School of Transnational Governance. In 2020, she obtained the national scientific qualification as second-level professor in the Sector 12/E2 "Comparative Law". She recently authored the monograph "Parliamentary oversight of the executives. Tools and procedures in Europe", Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2020.

Nicola Lupo is a Professor of Public Law and Director of the Center for Parliamentary Studies (CESP), LUISS University, Rome. He holds a PhD from the University of Florence. He has been parliamentary advisor to the Chamber of Deputies, research assistant at the Italian Constitutional Court and advisor to the Minister of Economy and Finance. Currently, he coordinates the Italian Prime Minister's "Unit for the rationalisation and improvement of regulation", for the purpose of implementing the National Recovery and

Resilience Plan (NRRP). He has held a Jean Monnet Chair in parliamentary democracy in Europe and is visiting professor at Nicolaus Copernicus University, Law and Administration Faculty, Torun (Poland). His publications include a handbook on the Italian Parliament (Corso di diritto parlamentare, III ed., Il mulino, 2018, with Luigi Gianniti) and a book on the Italian Constitution (The Constitution of Italy. A Contextual Analysis, Hart, 2022, with Marta Cartabia).

CONTRIBUTORS

Felix Arndt is the ECPRD Correspondent and Head of Research Section WD 8 (Environment, Nature Conservation, Nuclear Safety, Education and Research) at the German Bundestag.

Daunis Auers is a Professor at the Department of Politics, University of Latvia.

Valerie Barrett is a former senior executive in the Australian Parliamentary Service and former Visiting Fellow at the Australian National University.

Martin Belov is a Professor in Constitutional and Comparative Constitutional Law at the University of Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski", Bulgaria.

María Paula Bertino is a Political Science PhD for the National University of General San Martin (UNSAM) and a tenured professor in the University of Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Pieter Dirck G. Caboor is the Deputy Director for Legal Affairs at the Belgian Federal House of Representatives, Brussels.

Damla Cihangir-Tetik is an Assistant Professor at Faculty of Political Sciences, Department of Political Science and International Relations of Istanbul University, Turkey.

Olivier Costa is a CNRS Research Professor at the CEVIPOF (Sciences Po, Paris), and Director of European Political and Governance Studies at the College of Europe (Bruges).

Alfredo De Feo is a Professor at the Collegio Europeo in Parma, Italy, and Fellow at the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies (RSCAS) at the European University Institute, Florence, Italy.

Bruno Dias Pinheiro is a Permanent Member of the COSAC Secretariat and former Permanent Representative of the Portuguese Parliament to the EU institutions.

Rosella Di Cesare is an Advisor at the Senate of the Italian Republic, Rome, Italy.

Mellissa English is the Chief Parliamentary Legal Adviser to the House of the Oireachtas, Dublin, Ireland.

Cristina Fasone is an Associate Professor of Comparative Public Law, Department of Political Science, Luiss University, Rome, Italy.

Fotios Fitsilis works for the Scientific Service of the Hellenic Parliament, Athens, Greece.

Teresa Fonseca is the Contents Coordinator of the Communication Office of the Portuguese Parliament, Lisbon, Portugal.

Modestas Gelbūda is the Secretary General at the Seimas administration and, in a part-time capacity, Professor at ISM University of Management and Economics in Lithuania.

Luigi Gianniti is a Professor of Parliamentary Law at the University of Roma Tre and Director of the Research Service of the Senate of the Italian Republic, Rome, Italy.

Afke Groen is a Researcher at the Hans van Mierlo Foundation, The Hague, the Netherlands.

Youngah Guahk is a Lecturer in Asian Affairs at Luiss University, Rome, Italy.

Mark Harwood is an Associate Professor and Director at the Institute for European Studies of the University of Malta, Tal-Qroqq, Malta.

Anna-Lena Högenauer is a Deputy Head of Institute of Political Science at the University of Luxembourg.

Alexandra Alina Iancu is an Associate Professor at the University of Bucharest, Faculty of Political Science

Francis Jacobs is an Adjunct Senior Research Fellow at University College Dublin, Ireland, and a Visiting Professor at the Collegio Europeo in Parma, Italy.

Davor Jančić is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Law, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom.

Leif Kalev is a Professor of State and Citizenship Theory, Tallinn University, Estonia.

Petr Kaniok is an Associate Professor at the Department of International Relations of Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic.

Damir Kapidžić is an Associate Professor of Political Science at the University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Natia Karayianni is a Clerk to Parliamentary Committees at the Cyprus House of Representatives, Nicosia.

Claus Koggel is the Head of the Parliamentary Relations Division of the German Bundesrat.

Mario Kölling is an Assistant Professor at the Department of Political Science at the Spanish National Distance Education University (UNED), Madrid, Spain.

Christoph Konrath is the Head of the department Research and Support in Parliamentary Matters in the Austrian Parliamentary Administration, Vienna, Austria.

Afrim Krasniqi is a Professor and Director of Institute of History (IH) and Institute for Political Studies (ISP), Tirana, Albania.

Alenka Krašovec is a Professor of Political Science at the Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia.

Andreas Ladner is a Professor of Political Science at the Swiss Graduate School of Public Administration (IDHEAP) at the University of Lausanne, Switzerland.

Thomas Larue is the Director of the Riksdag's Evaluation and Research Secretariat (ERS), Stockholm, Sweden.

Timothy Paul Layman is a Manager of Policy Analysis and Evaluation at the Legislative Sector Support (LSS), Parliament of the Republic of South Africa.

Cristina Leston-Bandeira is a Professor of Politics at the University of Leeds, United Kingdom.

Ott Lumi is a PhD candidate in Government and Politics, Tallinn University, Estonia.

Porsteinn Magnússon is a Deputy Secretary General of Althingi Parliament House, Reykjavík, Iceland.

Jonathan Malloy is the Honourable Dick and Ruth Bell Chair in Canadian Parliamentary Democracy and Professor in the Department of Political Science at Carleton University, Canada

Dražen Malović is the Head of the Department of Legal, Personnel and Administrative Affairs.

Ingvar Mattson is a Secretary General of the Riksdag of Sweden, Stockholm.

Alexandra Meakin is a lecturer in Politics at the University of Leeds.

Mirko Mijanovič is the Head of the HR Bureau in the Parliamentary Service of Montenegro, Podgoriça.

Ignacio Molina is a Senior Analyst at the Elcano Royal Institute and Lecturer at the Department of Politics and International Relations at the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain.

Jonathan Murphy is the Head of Programme for INTER PARES at the International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (International IDEA), Stockholm, Sweden

Tara Murphy is a Legal Adviser to the Houses of the Oireachtas, Dublin, Ireland.

Fernando Nieto-Morales is an Associate Professor of Public Administration at the Centre for International Studies of El Colegio de México, Mexico.

Helene Helboe Pedersen is a Professor of Political Science at Aarhus University, Denmark.

B. Guy Peters is a Maurice Falk Professor of American Government at the University of Pittsburgh, United States.

Johannes Pollak is a Professor of Political Science at and Rector of Webster Vienna Private University, Senior Fellow at the Austrian Institute for Advanced Studies (on leave) and Chairman of the Board at the Institute of European Politics (IEP), Berlin.

Patricia Popelier is a Professor at the Law Faculty and Director of the Research Group of Government and Law at the University of Antwerp, Belgium.

Tapio Raunio is a Professor of Political Science at the Faculty of Management and Business, Tampere University, Finland.

Vlora Rechica is a Researcher and Head of the Centre for Parliamentary Support and Democratization at the Institute for Democracy "Societas Civilis" Skopje (IDSCS), North Macedonia.

Giovanni Rizzoni is the Head of the Research Department at the Italian Chamber of Deputies, Rome, Italy.

Susan Hattis Rolef is a Retired senior researcher in the Knesset's Research and Information Center, Jerusalem, Israel.

Hilmar Rommetvedt is a Research Professor at NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, and Adjunct Professor at the University of Stavanger, Norway, retired.

Tõnis Saarts is an Associate Professor of Comparative Politics at the School of Governance, Law and Society of Tallinn University, Estonia.

Fernando Saboia Vieira is a Political Scientist and Former Staff Member of the Brazilian Chamber of Deputies, Brasilia, Brazil.

Fabiano Santos is an Associate Professor of Political Science at Institute of Social and Political Studies at the State University of Rio de Janeiro (IESP-UERJ), Brazil.

Maciej Serowaniec is an Associate Professor at the Department of Constitutional Law of Nicolaus Copernicus University, Torun, Poland.

Faten Sliti is a Director of International Cooperation Department of Assemblée des Représentants du Peuple, Tunis, Tunisia.

Peter Slominski is an Assistant Professor at the Department of Political Science/Centre for European Integration Research, University of Vienna, Austria.

Jelena Špiljak is the Head of Secretariat of the European Affairs Committee of the Croatian Parliament, Zagreb, Croatia.

Astrid Spreitzer is a PhD candidate at the University of Luxembourg and research associate at the Chair on parliamentary studies of the Luxembourgish Chamber of Deputies.

Natália Švecová is a Director of the Parliamentary Institute and Chancellery of the National Council of the Slovak Republic, Bratislava.

Zsolt Szabo is an Associate Professor of Constitutional Law at the Károli Gáspár University of the Reformed Church and a Senior Research Fellow at Ludovika University of Public Service (Budapest), Budapest, Hungary.

Angela Tacea is an FWO (Research Foundation Flanders) postdoctoral fellow at the Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium.

Lejla Tafro-Sefić is the Head of the Information and Documentation Department at the Secretariat of the Parliamentary Assembly of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Milind Thakar is a Professor of International Relations at the University of Indianapolis, United States.

Selin Türkeş-Kılıç is an Associate Professor at the Department of Political Science and International Relations and EUDIOC Jean Monnet Module Coordinator at Yeditepe University, Turkey.

Visvaldis Valtenbergs is an Associate Professor at the University of Latvia.

Ana Vargas is the Adviser to the Communication office at the Portuguese Parliament, Lisbon, Portugal.

Ben Yong is an Associate Professor in Public Law and Human Rights at the University of Durham, United Kingdom.

Karol Zakowski is an Associate Professor at the Department of Asian Studies, Faculty of International and Political Studies, University of Lodz, Poland.

FOREWORD

Legislatures are core national institutions. From an institutional perspective, they are powerful bodies. Executives need them to legitimize their policies, translating political wishes into binding measures, and to approve budgets. Achieving their assent may not always be difficult politically, but it may be time-consuming and onerous, especially with highly institutionalized bodies. But they are also typically much more than law-effecting bodies. They are multifunctional and functionally adaptable bodies. Even in non-democratic regimes, legislatures can have a number of consequences for the system, including serving as a safety valve for popular feelings or ensuring the views of constituents and expressed to those in power.

Though scholarship on legislatures is a poor relative of the studies undertaken of executive power, there is now a solid library on legislatures, especially individual legislatures. Truly comparative studies are rarer. Scholarship is extending beyond purely the formal functions to encompass a wider array of consequences that legislatures have for their political systems. Empirical and sometimes highly quantitative analyses have been undertaken of legislative behaviour. However, the focus has been on the public and formal, primarily what goes in the chamber and committee rooms. Such behaviour is observable and measurable. It occurs within a clear and structured institutional framework. There are rules, agenda and presiding officers.

What has not matched this scholarship has been examination of what happens away from the public arena. There are two major dimensions to this activity that merit attention.

One is what members do outside the chamber and committee rooms. Parliamentarians are not discrete entities that simply come into the legislature to speak and vote. They spend time within the legislature in both informal and formal space. The former comprises areas where members can mix with one another and exchange views, lobby and gossip; the activity is not publicly visible and has no structured agenda or presiding officers. Private space is where members meet on an organized basis – for instance, a parliamentary group – with an agenda and presiding officers, but gather behind closed doors. Only now is this behaviour starting to garner scholarly attention.

The other dimension is the administrative support provided within legislatures. In fulfilling their various tasks, members need support, be it administration or research. This encompasses what members do in the chamber and in committee. There are clerks and other administrators who not only maintain records of what is said and done but also provide

Foreword

guidance on the rules and procedures. Members are rarely experts and there not be much institutional memory among them. Members also typically need information and research briefings and analyses. These can be provided to members individually, the support coming from staff in their offices, as well as from a central research body serving all members, such as a library or dedicated research division. Committees also require administrative and often research support and may thus have their own staff. Then there are the administrators required to keep a legislature running as a building or buildings as well as to ensure members have the physical and technical resources necessary to sustain their work. As the demands on legislators grow, so the greater the need for administrative support. The emergence of the Internet and the digital age also creates challenges in enabling members to communicate with citizens as well as providing opportunities for the institution to disseminate and to receive information.

How legislatures are administered is fundamental to understanding how a legislature operates and the consequences it has. Structures and processes are not neutral in their effect. Examining how they are administered, and how that administration differs from one parliament to another, is crucial to explaining how well parliaments fulfil their various functions. Yet what has been remarkable has been how little has been written about parliamentary administrations. This handbook helps fill a massive gap. It does so through a commendable range of scholarship, both in terms of individual legislatures and through comparative analysis. Simply by revealing how particular legislatures are administered is a major contribution to knowledge, but the volume also has a utilitarian purpose. It provides a handbook for reform, enabling legislators to look at practice in other legislatures, especially those in the same system of government (presidential, parliamentary), and see how they may adapt their administrative arrangements to meet their needs more effectively. It facilitates parliaments learning from one another.

The editors and authors have done a sterling service. Once having read this volume, one may well wonder why such an exercise has not been undertaken before. A debt of gratitude is owed to all contributors for filling a massive gap in the literature.

Philip Norton [Lord Norton of Louth] Professor of Government, University of Hull

PREFACE

This publication is a good (and positive) example of unintended consequences. When we started work on this project, we did not set out to edit a "Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations". Rather, with each of us having done prior work on different aspects of the manner in which parliaments are being administered, we agreed to collaborate on a joint publication that would bring together recent scholarship on the issue. In our view, this question of administrative support for parliamentary work – which some may consider as niche – is fundamental to the functioning of modern representative democracies. At a time in which parliaments, and even liberal democracy itself, are facing an increasing number of threats, scholarly work is needed to improve our understanding of the bureaucratic "underbelly" that in various ways has allowed parliaments to play such a central role in democratic systems.

Our initial focus was on developments in the European Union, involving the study of both its national parliaments and the European Parliament. After many conversations among ourselves, exchanges with other scholars, the organisation of several (online) workshops, the acceptance of our proposal, the submission of academic papers and the completion of the usual peer review process, we published a special issue of the *Journal of Legislative Studies* in 2021. Mission accomplished!

Or was it? In the context of this collaboration, we realized that there is actually a significant gap in the academic literature, and that the need for access to systematic information about the functioning of parliamentary administrations is of course a global one. That is when the idea of a broader publication was born, and the enthusiastic response from Andrew Taylor at Routledge to our enquiries, and subsequently the favourable comments from the peer reviewers to our proposal, convinced us that there is indeed a place and a market for a handbook on the topic.

Selecting suitable contributions for such a major publication was no easy task. A balance needed to be struck between the aspiration towards truly global coverage and the practical impossibility of including all representative democracies in the world. The result is a collection

¹ Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio & Nicola Lupo (eds) Administering Representative Democracy: The European Experience of Parliamentary Administrations in Comparative Perspective, Special issue of the Journal of Legislative Studies, Vol.27, No.4 (2021), pp. 477–594.

that still has a strong focus on the editors' home region of Europe, but that also comprises chapters on parliaments from key democratic systems in every other global region. In addition to the 50 countries that we were able to include, a number of "horizontal" chapters discuss cross-cutting issues, while our introduction also looks across the many individual contributions to identify common trends as well as distinguishing features in the various national and supranational experiences.

As we commissioned chapters on such a large number of countries and issues, we were fortunate to be able to count on a group of exceptional authors willing to contribute. This includes both academic scholars and practitioners, and in many cases collaboration between these in the writing individual chapters. Just as with this team of editors, the combination of scholarly approaches and insights from the world of policymaking has facilitated the creation of this unique collection of up-to-date, insightful and well-informed accounts of parliamentary administrations around the world. We are very grateful to all our contributors for their diligence and reliability in writing their chapters, for their cooperation in dealing with requests for revisions, and for their patience in waiting for the publication process to come to a conclusion.

Thus, our initial idea of a small workshop bringing together a handful of colleagues eventually had expanded to become a major publication with almost 60 chapters and some 80 contributors. At this point, with the project reaching an almost industrial scale, it became a major challenge to maintain the overview, to manage the workflow and to ensure coherence. Fortunately, we could rely on the outstanding, reliable and ever-present assistance of Isabel Hernandez Pepe from Scuola Normale Superiore in Florence (formally of Luiss University) to meet this challenge. She managed the correspondence with authors, kept track of the state of the various chapters and finally prepared the manuscript for submission to the publisher. Isabel also provided valuable help with research on the topic and the aggregation of the data contained in the country chapters, an effort that also Alessandra Carraro contributed to. Our thanks go to both of them for their excellent assistance. The support, encouragement and valuable advice of Andrew Taylor, the commissioning editor at Routledge, was already mentioned. We are of course grateful to him, and also to Sophie Iddamalgoda at Routledge who was very helpful in the various stages of the production process of this volume.

We hope that readers and researchers will share our view that this handbook provides a valuable resource, whether to understand the arrangements in specific cases, to study the comparative dimension across a larger number of parliamentary administrations or to analyse a number of pertinent issues that affect all systems to varying degrees.

Rome, July 2022 The Editors

ABBREVIATIONS

AAD Administrative Affairs Division

AFET European Parliament's Committee on Foreign Affairs in Montenegro

AIPA ASEAN Inter-Parliamentary Assembly

ANCI National Association of Italian Municipalities

APH Australian Parliament House

API Denmark

APL Asociación de Personal Legislativo **ASEP** Council for Civil Personnel Selection

ASGP Association of the Secretaries General of Parliaments

ATE Asociación de Trabajadores del Estado

BDBOS Federal Agency for Digital Radio of Public Authorities and Organisations

with Security Tasks

BOLD Bosnia and Herzegovina BOLD big open legal data

B-VG Bundes-Verfassungsgesetz (1920 Federal Constitution)

CAO collective labour agreementCATV Community Access TelevisionCBO Congressional Budget Office

CC Control Committees

CCC Conference of Committee Chairs

CDPLW Conference for the Direction and Programming of Legislative Work

CDT Centre for Democratic Transition

CEDI Center for Documentation and Information
CEFB Committee on Economy, Finance and Budget
CEFOR Center for Education, Training and Development

CEI Central European Initiative

CFSP common foreign and security policy

CGPO Centre for Human Resources and Organisation Management

CHF Swiss Franc

CIO Chief Information Office

CIP Centre for Innovation in Parliaments

CNR National Research CentreCoF Committee of FinanceCONLE Legislative Consultancy

CONOF Budget and Financial Inspection Consultancy

CoP Conference of Presidents

COSAC Conference of Parliamentary Committees for Union Affairs

CPA Commonwealth Parliamentary Association
CRM Customer Relationship Management
CRS Congressional Research Service

CRTA Centre for Research, Transparency and Accountability

CS chamber secretariats

CSDP Common Security and Defence Policy

CSOs civil society organization

DAO Department for Analysis and Research

DC District of Columbia

DCAF Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance

DEM Democratas

DG COMM Communications Directorate-General

DG ITEC Directorate-General for Innovation and Technological Support

DGs Directorates-General

DHR Department of the House of Representatives

DIP Documentation and Information System for Parliamentary Processes

DOS Department of Finance Department of the Senate

DP Democratic Party

DPL Department of the Parliamentary Library

DPRS Department of the Parliamentary Reporting Staff

DPS Department of Parliamentary ServicesEALA East African Legislative Assembly

ECPRD European Centre for Parliamentary Research and Documentation

EDC European Documentation Centre
EEC European Economic Community
EFSF European Financial Stability Facility
EIF European Interoperability Framework

EKDDA National Center for Public Administration and Local Government

ELDIS Electronic Document Information System

EMU Economic and Monetary Union **ENA** École nationale d'administration

ENPLAC Exchange Network of Parliaments of Latin America and the Caribbean

EP European Parliament

EPIS European Programs Implementation Service
EPRS European Parliamentary Research Service
EPSO European Personnel Selection Office

EPTA European Parliamentary Technology Assessment

ERS Evaluation and Research Secretariat
ESM European Stability Mechanism

EU European Union

EUDISYS EU-Dokumenten- und Informations-System

EUZBBG Act on the Cooperation of the Federal Government and the Bundestag

in European Union Affairs (Gesetz über die Zusammenarbeit von Bundesregierung und Bundestag in Angelegenheiten der Europäischen

Union)

EUZBLG Bill on the Cooperation of the Federation and the Länder in European

Union Affairs (Gesetz über die Zusammenarbeit von Bund und Ländern in

Angelegenheiten der Europäischen Union)

EWS Early Warning System

EXPO Directorate-General for External Policies of the Union

FinDel Finance Delegation FK Finance Committee FMC (Montenegro)

GAO Government Accountability Office

GIZ The Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit

GNAT Grand National Assembly of Turkey

GO Government Offices

GOG-NR Geschäftsordnung des Nationalrates (Rules of Procedure of the National

Council)

GPK Control Committee

HATVP High authority of transparency of the public life

HIS Health Insurance System

HoP House of Peoples

HoR House of Representatives

HoUs Heads of UnitHR Human Resources

HW&SW Computer Management Branch
ICA International Council for Archives

ICPPD International Conference of Parliamentarians on Population and

Development

ICPS Institute of Constitutional and Parliamentary Studies ICT Information and Communication Technologies

ID International Department

IDSCS Institute for Democracy 'Societas Civilis' Skopje
IFLA International Federation of Library Associations

IG Instrument Government

IGs interest groups

INAP National Institute of Public Administration
INEGI National Statistics Institute of Mexico
INCS interpolation parts by conferences

IPCs interparliamentary conferences
IPEX InterParliamentary EU informa

IPEX InterParliamentary EU information eXchange
IPIs International Parliamentary Institutions
IPOL Directorate-General for Internal Policies

IPSA Independent Parliamentary Standards Authority

IPU Inter-Parliamentary Union

IRI International Republican InstituteISTAT Italian National Institute for Statistics

IT Information TechnologyJDP/AKP Justice and Development Party

JHD Joint House Department

JPC Joint Parliamentary Committee
JPSG Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group

JRC Joint Recruitment Cell

KATEF Knesset Parliamentary Oversight Coordination UnitKDCA Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency

KNA Korean National Assembly

LAFEAS Legislative, Financial, Executive and Administrative Service **LARRDIS** Library, Reference, Research, Documentation and Information

LCO Legislative Counsel Office

LCSSE Law on Civil Servants and State Employees

LDP Liberal Democratic Party

LLR Legal, Legislative and Research Services

LRDLegal and Research DepartmentLSCLegislative Service CommissionLSSLegislative Sector SupportMDBMovimento Democrático Brasileiro

MEPs Members of the European Parliament

MESC Mandate, Ethics and Submissions CommissionMISA Ministry of Information Society and Administration

MoF Ministry of Finance

MOPS Act Members of Parliament (Staff) Act

MPLADS Members of Parliament Local Area Development Division

MPs members of parliament

NABO National Assembly Budget Office NAL National Assembly Library

NARS National Assembly Research Service
 NATO North Atlantic Treaty Organization
 NCOP National Council of Provinces
 NDI National Democratic Institute
 NGO non-governmental organization

NOK Norwegian Krone

O&M Organisation and Methods
OBCs Other Backward Classes
OBU Oireachtas Broadcast Unit

OCD Office of the Chamber of Deputies

ODS Civic Democratic Party

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OGP Office of Government Procurement

OISD Office on Institutions Supporting Democracy

OPECST The Parliamentary Office for Scientific and Technological Assessment

OPPD Office for the Promotion of Parliamentary Development **OSCE** Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe

OWL Oireachtas Work Learning
PA parliamentary assembly

PABIH Parliamentary Assembly of Bosnia and Herzegovina
PACE Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe
PAMO Parliamentary Assembly of the Mediterranean

PAS Public Appointments Service
PBO Parliamentary Budget Officer

PCA Parliamentary Control of the Administration

PDT Partido Democrático Trabalhista

PGPA Act Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act

PH Physically Handicapped

PI Department of Parliamentary Institute
PID Parliamentary Information Direction
PMA Parliament Museum and Archives

PMBs Private Members' Bills
PNO Parliamentary Notice Office

PP Partido Progressistas

PPG parliamentary party groups
PPR Press and Public Relations Wing

PQs parliamentary questions

PRIDE Parliamentary Research and Training Institute for Democracies

PSA Parliamentary Service Act
PSB Partido Socialista Brasileiro
PSD Property Services Division

PSDBPartido da Social Democracia Brasileira**PSP**Parliament Support Programme

PT Partido dos Trabalhadores

PWSS Parliamentary Workplace Support Service

QMV qualified majority voting

QuANGO quasi non-governmental organizations

R&I Research and InnovationRoP Rules of ProcedureRPP/CHP Republican People's Party

RS Republika Srpska

RSA Republic of South Africa
RTI Right to Information Act

SADC-PF Southern African Development Community Parliamentary Forum

SAPC the Stabilisation and Association Parliamentary Committee

SC Senate Chancellery SCT Scheduled Tribes

SCTC Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes

SDC Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation

SEK Swedish Crown

SFPALC Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee

SO Standing Orders

STV Single Transferable Vote

TD Teachta Dála (Member of the Lower House)

TGNA Turkish Grand National Assembly
TLAC Top-Level Appointments Committee

UK United Kingdom
UN United Nations

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UNFPA United Nations Population Fund
 UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund
 UPB Parliamentary Budget Office
 UPI Union of the Provinces

US United States

UVI Impact Assessment Office

WFD Westminster Foundation for Democracy

ZBB Zero-based Budgeting

1 INTRODUCTION

A Global Perspective on the Role of Parliamentary Administrations

Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio and Nicola Lupo

1.1 Introduction

The idea of "parliamentary administration" might appear, at first sight, like an oxymoron: parliaments are the domain of politicians, the elected representatives of the people, whereas administrations are commonly understood as the executive bureaucracies carrying out the tasks of governments. Yet, perhaps paradoxically, also the work of parliaments, approving legislation and holding governments to account, requires administrative support, especially and increasingly in the modern age when the functions of public powers, and hence the demands on parliaments, have expanded significantly. Indeed, parliamentary administrations are essential for the proper functioning of representative democracy, albeit by a degree of separation: just as parliaments are central in the operation of a representative democracy, capable administrative support of elected representatives is critical for a meaningful execution of parliaments' functions. Or, to put it in reverse, in the context of the modern state, a parliament without adequate support from a dedicated staff – facilitating meetings, providing logistical assistance, undertaking research, keeping public records, offering legal advice, managing public relations and international liaisons – would be in no position either to legislate or to hold the executive effectively to account.

Yet, while this argument about the significance of parliamentary administrations can be easily made, the academic literature has paid surprisingly little attention to them. Perhaps in part due to the disciplinary separation between *parliamentary studies* and *public administration*, scholars have tended to focus either on the political and constitutional dimension of parliaments, or on the (dominant) executive dimension of public administration. Thus, on the side of parliaments, neither the *Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies* (Shane, Saalfeld, and Strøm, 2014), nor the *Oxford Handbook of the American Congress* (Edwards, Lee, and Schickler, 2011), nor the *Handbook of Parliamentary studies* (Benoît and Rozenberg, 2020) devote any specific attention to their administrative dimension. In the same vein, on the public administration side, both the *Routledge Handbook of Public Administration* (Hildreth, Miller and Lindquist, 2021) and the SAGE Handbook of Public Administration (Peters and Pierre, 2012) do not contain chapters on the administrations of parliaments.

There have been selected publications on specific aspects of the topic,¹ to which also the editors of this volume have contributed (Christiansen, Griglio and Lupo, 2021; Högenauer, Neuhold and Christiansen, 2016), individual chapters in edited books (Egeberg, Gornitzka,

DOI: 10.4324/9781003181521-1

1

Trondal and Johannessen, 2015; Wise, 2003; Yong and Petit, 2018) and official/non-academic publications (ECPRD and Italian Chamber of Deputies, 2003; Grudzinski and Staskiewicz, 1992; IPU, 2020; Verrier, 2007; Vilella, 2019). However, no comprehensive or systematic account of the nature, the role, and the functions of parliamentary administrations has been published. It is in this regard that the present Handbook fills a gap in the literature and seeks to provide a definite account of the organization and work of parliamentary administrations around the world. Based on what was stated above, this volume contributes to our understanding of what makes representative democracy work, as well as highlighting the many challenges that need to be confronted.

While the systematic study of parliamentary administrations concerns to a large extent procedural, organizational, and technical aspects, it is also a highly political matter. A very basic question in this regard concerns the position of parliaments within a state's constitutional setting. Parliaments are ubiquitous around the global, yet liberal democracy is not. Indeed, according to latest data published in 2022, democracy has been in retreat, with growing numbers of "fully democratic" states being downgraded to "flawed democracies" or worse (Economist Intelligence Unit, 2021). Although also non-democratic regimes often maintain parliaments for a variety of reasons, our scholarly interest – and hence the coverage of this Handbook – is mainly focused on democratic polities, in which the function of parliaments is genuinely about representation of the people, and in which parliaments exercise essential functions such as providing a forum for public debate and holding executive institutions to account.

Within the wider understanding of liberal democracy, a further distinction between presidential and parliamentary systems can be made. As the name suggests, parliaments are – at least in principle – the centre-piece in parliamentary democracy, with governments depending on a majority of the elected members for their legitimacy and decision-making. The result is often a "fused system" where the government is closely allied with the majority in parliament. Presidential systems, by contrast, have alternative and independent channels of legitimation for the executive and the legislature. A system of checks and balances, and potentially competition between the two branches, results from such an arrangement.

1.2 The Content of the Handbook

Either way, parliaments are central in both of these systems of representative democracy, and hence also the presence and the good functioning of administrations to support their work matters. Consequently, the present Handbook, while focusing on the discussion of arrangements in democratic polities, includes contributions on both presidential and parliamentary systems. In providing the most comprehensive coverage of the topic, the volume includes individual studies from 50 countries around the globe, alongside a number of horizontal chapters that provide cross-cutting analysis of some of the key challenges that are common to contemporary parliamentary administrations.

The study of such a large number of country-specific experiences is valuable for a number of reasons. First, it provides a useful reference to the detailed arrangements that are being made in individual countries. While there has been access to information for some of the larger states and better-known parliaments, say the US Congress, the German Bundestag or the European Parliament (EP), for many others this kind of knowledge has been limited or non-existent, at least in the English language.²

Introduction

Second, beyond the value of understanding individual cases better, the Handbook also provides a unique comparative perspective. The authors of country-specific chapters have followed a common approach in selecting the relevant data and structuring their discussion, making it possible to identify both common themes and crucial differences across these many systems. In this regard, each chapter addresses (within the context of what is meaningful in each particular case) the following aspects:

- the historical evolution of the parliamentary administration
- key organizational aspects, including questions of hierarchy and resources
- the role of the administration in the context of parliamentary work, for example assistance in the context of legislative procedures
- the involvement in managing inter-institutional and external relations
- current challenges facing the parliamentary administration, including in particular the response to the Covid pandemic and its impact on parliamentary work

This collection of comparable data on a large number of national and, in the case of the EP, supranational systems facilitates comparative analysis, categorizing different models and drawing more general conclusions about strengths, weaknesses, and risks involved in the administration of parliaments.

Third, there is also a diachronic dimension to this analysis, as the various chapters present the historical trajectory of parliamentary administrations and chart the changes that have occurred over time. In the process, it becomes apparent how parliamentary administrations have needed to adapt to changing circumstances, ranging from constitutional reforms, societal change, technological progress to globalization, and new systemic and physical threats. It shows that parliamentary administrations, just like the representative democracies they serve, are living entities whose capacity to reform also determines their ability to perform.

For reasons of space, this Handbook could not include chapters on every parliamentary system in the world – a selection has had to be made, which reflects both editorial judgements (and perhaps bias) and the availability of relevant expertise. While the vast majority of the contributions deal with Western states, every effort has been made to also include chapters on key countries of the non-Western world and the Global South. The Handbook provides insights into experiences from every continent, with complete coverage of North America and the European Union and selected countries from the rest of Europe, Africa, Asia, and Oceania.

Europe receives extensive attention in this volume, partly not only because of the large concentration of parliamentary systems that can be found here but also because in the process of European integration a particular arrangement of multilevel governance has developed here. This has involved the creation of a transnational assembly that eventually evolved into the first ever directly elected supranational parliament with proper law-making and budgetary powers (Jacobs and De Feo, 2022). Beyond the emergence of this European Parliament as a novel kind of institution, the integration process also had repercussions on national parliaments in the EU's member states, involving both the threat of disenfranchisement and the creation of new opportunities for parliamentary cooperation. To varying degrees, national parliaments – and their administrations – have undergone a process of "Europeanization" as a consequence of these challenges (Christiansen, Griglio and Lupo, 2021; Högenauer, 2021). It is also due to this particular experience in Europe and the demands that it puts on parliamentary administrations that the Handbook includes

contributions on all EU member states, as well as most of those countries that have candidate status or special arrangements with the European Union.

In terms of the cross-cutting analysis, authors have contributed to "horizontal" chapters on some of the key challenges that have been identified in the country-specific chapters and indeed have based their analysis on some extent on the information provided in the country chapters — this kind of cross-fertilization between individual country studies and cross-cutting analysis being the added value from a comparative perspective referred to above. The key challenges identified and addressed in this first part of the Handbook are:

- the impact of the distinction between presidential and parliamentary systems on parliamentary administrations
- the relationship between the speaker (or president) of parliament and the administration
- the peculiarities of the administration of parliaments in bicameral systems
- the challenge of digitalization
- the provision of scientific expertise in the context of parliamentary work
- parliamentary diplomacy and the facilitation of transnational parliamentary work (in the global and the European contexts)

Following this first part with "horizontal" analyses, subsequent parts of the Handbook discuss the individual experiences of parliamentary administrations around the world, distinguishing between EU member states, other states in Europe and, finally, around the world.

While this volume, designed as a Handbook and as such as a reference work, does not contain a concluding chapter, the following sections of this introductory chapter provide a discussion of the key findings that can be gathered from both country-specific and "horizontal" analysis. The following section engages more deeply with debates about the significance of parliamentary administrations and the relevance of systematic analysis. The next section then brings together the empirical insights from across the various country chapters, highlighting the value of a comparative perspective. A subsequent section addresses the current and future challenges for parliamentary administrations that have been identified across the Handbook's contributions, before a concluding section summarizes these findings and provides a future outlook.

1.3 The Significance of Parliamentary Administrations

The historical development of parliamentary administrations has taken different forms in different countries, making it difficult to generalize. Nonetheless, broadly speaking, we can observe that over time there has been a steady increase of the size and functions of parliamentary administrations. Initially, in 19th and in the early 20th century, the parliaments of nascent liberal democracies in Europe were supported by administrative staff in a variety of ways: assisting elected members during their meetings, providing procedural and logistical assistance, and collecting the required documentation, both *ex ante* through the management of parliamentary libraries and *ex post* by recording proceedings (minutes, reports of the debates, and voting results). As a matter of fact, members of parliamentary administrations developed high levels of excellence regarding the skills required for carrying out these initial tasks: many of the best librarians, stenographers, procedural experts – and even the founders of "parliamentary law" (Lupo and Thiers, 2020) – are among these first parliamentary administrators.

Beyond this administrative support, parliaments might rely on the personal skills and networks of relationships of elected MPs, who were usually chosen from among the most

notable persons on each constituency – something which usually implied that they had the personal resources to hire one or more secretaries or support staff to manage their activity in their constituency. Fast forward to the present day, it clearly emerges – also from the contributions to this Handbook – that parliamentary administrations have grown significantly in size and are much more articulated and differentiated, both in terms of their functions and of their organizational structures.³

However, it is important to emphasize that the evolution of parliamentary administrations has not always been straightforward, registering both ups and downs, with their size depending on multiple factors (Otjes, 2022). What is also worth remarking is that this process has fallen significantly short of the growth of functions and dimension of the large bureaucracies that make up the executive branch of states. The expansion of state functions has led to an exponential increase in the quantity and nature of public structures as well as the number of officials working for them (Becker and Bauer, 2021), ironically while these are often barely politically accountable to legislatures (Benoît, 2020).

The development of the functions of parliamentary administrations is ongoing. Just a look at the effects of the Covid pandemic since 2020 illustrates the challenge on parliamentary administrations to adapt to changing circumstances, accelerating the digitalization of procedures and organization and requiring new human and material resources to manage all these largely unforeseen innovations.

Moreover, also as a consequence of digitalization, parliamentary administrations have been called upon to play new roles. The meaning of the "public" has expanded dramatically, not anymore limited to the press – or, better, to specialized journalists, asked to regularly report on parliamentary activity – but now including also the internet, social media, and potentially every individual citizen. The "public" of parliaments has been empowered by access to the internet, allowing citizens to follow parliamentary activity and thus of the positions expressed by individual MPs without much effort. In other words, "forced increased transparency" of parliamentary activity, depending on the opportunity to use old and new media as channels of institutional communication with citizens (Lupo and Fasone, 2015), has transformed the traditional features of legislative work, especially within standing committees, requiring new balances between efficiency and transparency (Voermans, ten Napel and Passchier, 2015).

Even some traditional functions of parliamentary administrations have been recently re-interpreted in profound ways due to contemporary challenges. One case in point concerns of the functions aimed to ensure the security of parliamentary activity. The risks deriving first by the renewed terrorist threats and violent manifestations – with the attack on the US Capitol on 6 January 2021 and the attack on Brazil Congress on 8 January 2023 as prime examples – have been reminders that the integrity of the symbolic and actual seats of representative democracy cannot be taken for granted and needs to be adequately protected. At the same time, this has to be balanced with the defence of parliaments' traditional autonomy and therefore without leaving this task entirely to the security forces directly controlled by the executive.

Another trend charted by the chapters in this Handbook is the increase of the functions of parliamentary administrations aimed at supporting the external role of parliaments. As parliaments, especially in the last three decades, have been developing interparliamentary cooperation (IPC) and the so-called parliamentary diplomacy, this has obliged their administrations to strengthen their specialization on international relations. Although the plenary chamber maintains its symbolic centrality, the attention of the parliamentary staff is more and more focused on other kinds of meetings, involving of course MPs but also foreign representatives and/or taking place outside the national borders (Stavridis, 2021).

The most delicate issue regarding parliamentary administrations concerns the nature of the activity they perform. The degree of impartiality and the mechanisms aimed at granting this impartiality within an institution that is inevitably highly politicized, fully pluralistic, and often strongly characterized by partisanship probably represent the most crucial and intriguing academic puzzle regarding parliamentary administration.

This is arguably the perspective from which most of the features of each parliamentary administration need to be analysed, be it comparatively or conceptually. Starting from the status of the parliamentary officials composing it, with their status similar to that of all other public officials – often with stronger mechanisms aimed at ensuring their independence and impartiality – or the staff directly supporting individual MPs or the various parliamentary groups, making their work by definition more politicized. Moving to the core role of parliamentary administration, this is very rarely entitled to a proper political function, but normally called upon to be in support of the many, often intertwined functions assigned to parliamentary institutions. Eventually, also its internal organization and hierarchy can be seen as a way to address the issue of impartiality, each time in consistency with the characteristics of the respective political system, its customs, conventions, and traditions.

1.4 A Comparative Perspective on Parliamentary Administrations

The comparative analysis of the data contained in the various country-specific chapters demonstrates several commonalities and differences in relation to certain fundamental organizational and functional options of parliamentary administrations. This initial overview of the contributions to the Handbook constitutes an opportunity to engage in a systematic comparative analysis, and this section provides some insights on this regard.

The very first observation in this context concerns the size of parliamentary administrations. Recent studies in this field (Otjes, 2022) debated the influence played by three factors (population, assembly size and parliamentary strength) on the size of parliamentary staff, demonstrating that population is a stronger predictor compared to the number of MPs, while the level of parliamentary powers does not act as a key explanation. In fact, as argued in the countryspecific chapters, the ratio between the number of parliamentary staff and the number of MPs still remains a telling quantitative factor able to capture the scope and potential strength of the administration. Focusing on the staff/MPs ratio, four groups of parliaments can be distinguished. A minority of parliaments (Malta, Spain and Switzerland) follows a ratio of approximately 1 staff/1 MP. In the large majority of parliaments, the ratio swings around 2 (with an interval of \pm 0.5). In another minority of parliaments (Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Latvia, Mexico), the ratio stretches the threshold of 5. Finally, two parliaments outstand the average trend: this is the case of the European Parliament that has reached a ratio of 7.7 staff/MPs, and Australia, that shows a 9.1 ratio. These can be considered the two most (relatively) numerous and staffed parliamentary administrations if we limit our analysis to permanent bureaucracies.

As a matter of fact, beyond these cases, it is worth mentioning the experience of those parliaments where either permanent staff is extremely marginal and political patronage is the rule (this is the case of the US) or the distinction between permanent and temporary staff is blurred due to the strong osmosis between the two categories. All these cases clearly stand outside the above-mentioned four groupings, showing high ratios of 11.6 staff/MPs in Turkey, 27 in Brazil, 37 in the United States, and 38 in Argentina. It is interesting to note that all these four cases correspond to presidential forms of government.

A second dimension of framing the distinctive features of parliamentary administrations is the degree of budgetary autonomy. Most of the national chapters refer to the size of budget as a relevant factor in support to a proper administrative autonomy of parliament. However, in a diachronic perspective, parliamentary administrations seem to be at the crossroads of their historical development. As a matter of fact, some parliaments have reported in the last few years an increase in the level of funding aiming at addressing the upcoming challenges in the field of recruitment, digitalization, or research. This common trend links parliaments (Australia, Greece, Latvia, Luxembourg, Mexico, Netherlands, Spain, UK) with a rather different history and constitutional role. In sharp contrast stand those parliaments that have lately recorded a rationalization of budget and hence a downscaling of parliamentary staff. Whereas in South Africa this trend is part of a broader State process leading to the containment of public expenditure, in the case of Japan, budgetary curtails and staff cutting were considered consistent with the reduction of the number of MPs in 2013 and 2017; they have been interpreted as a factor contributing to the relative empowerment of the executive vis-à-vis the legislative branch.

The previous remark confirms that budgetary autonomy as a prerequisite for administrative autonomy is one of the pivotal factors supporting parliaments' independence vis-à-vis the executive. Historically, this has accompanied the parliamentarization of many countries in the European Union and is now significantly characterizing the transition of a candidate country such as North Macedonia, which in 2020 has started promoting the budgetary and financial independence of the National Assembly in order to ensure its independence from the executive branch.

The third perspective regarding the comparison of parliamentary administrations relates to the internal set of administrative arrangements, which depend on staff recruitment, organization, roles, and functions; the governance of administration, including its political control and oversight. The combination of these two arrangements provides an idea of the relationship that links each parliamentary administration to politics, spanning from a situation of complete administrative neutrality to strong partisanship and politicization.

For what concerns staff recruitment, organization, roles, and functions, comparative analysis shows that – apart from the atypical model followed by the US Congress relying almost entirely on political patronage for staff recruitment – in most parliaments two categories of "human resources" can be distinguished: on the one hand, permanent administrative staff who are civil servants, and, on the other hand, support staff hired under private law/political patronage supporting either individual MPs or political groups. These two categories are usually clearly separated in terms of status and career perspectives, but some exceptions are worth mentioning. For example, in Albania and Luxembourg, support staff benefits from the same conditions as the permanent staff. The relative size of both these categories may vary between the two houses in bicameral parliaments (see the case of the Netherlands) and it may vary over time, as the experience of Brazil – where the decentralized and partisan staff has significantly grown in the last decade to the detriment of a centralized, non-partisan bureaucracy – confirms.

The recruitment and status of the first category of permanent officials in its turn fall under two main models. In the majority of parliaments, administrative staff follows the selection procedures and the status of civil servants: in these experiences, parliamentary officials do not substantially differ from the other public employees serving the executive branch. Only in some cases (Croatia and Spain, among others), specific adaptations to the parliamentary context are provided for the officials serving the legislative branch. The alternative model, adopted by Belgium, Canada, France, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Poland, Portugal,

Romania, Turkey, UK, foresees the establishment of a special administrative regime for parliamentary staff, completely separated from the general civil service.

Whereas the existence of a separate administrative regime is considered indicative of the degree of administrative autonomy and independence enjoyed by parliament vis-à-vis the executive (IPU, 2020), other organizational and functional features may contribute to support or endanger this prerogative in the regard of politics in general. These may include the career paths of parliamentary officials, their administrative functions, and the daily connection with MPs and political groups. As a matter of fact, most parliamentary administrations interpret the role of their permanent staff as deeply non-politicized and non-partisan. This is particularly true in those systems following a special administrative regime that regulate every single aspect of the career and activity of their officials following a merit-based system. In other cases, where the parliamentary administration lacks a formal statutory guarantee of independence, it is only through concrete working practices, from communication to transparency, that it can prove its political neutrality (Austria). Whereas the majority of parliamentary administrations find their dominant logic in the non-partisanship, the Turkish experience confirms the existence of parliamentary administrations which rather depend explicitly on partisanship for their activity and career due to political contingent factors, including the concentration of power in the hands of the Speaker and the lack of political alternation in the last 19 years. The Argentinean Congress is another example of highly politicized organization, guided by a partisan principle, led by the Speakers of the Chambers, and greatly influenced by the Parliamentary Labour Union.

In those systems more directly adopting the non-partisan logic (including Korea, Italy, Iceland, Ireland, Montenegro and Portugal), specific rules are introduced in order to prevent parliamentary employees to publicly support or oppose a political party or candidate. A significant exception is made in Germany, where parliamentary officials are asked to be politically neutral in the performance of their work, although they are allowed to be members of political parties and even run in local elections.

The impartiality requirement does not exclude that, while performing their duties, parliamentary officials tend to establish cooperative relationships with political actors (Norway), acting in close contact with the representatives of both ruling and opposition parties (Japan). Whereas some administrative units mainly deal with what can be categorized as fully administrative matters (i.e. security, IT systems and housekeeping activities), other units are tackling more sensitive tasks, directly supporting the political functions of parliament, such as legislation, budgeting, control of the executive, and public relations. This explains why some administrative positions (particularly within the secretariats at committee level) may be potentially influential actors in their respective policy domains (Finland).

In order to reconcile the prerequisite of administrative impartiality in a working context deeply embedded in politics and often polarized, Iceland has significantly adopted a code of ethics for the Althingi staff, which emphasizes avoidance of conflicts of interest, promoting impartiality and objectivity. Similarly, with the aim of decreasing the possibility of malpractice and wrong application of procedures, a set of mechanisms such as Integrity and Risk Managers was established in Montenegro (although they still need to reach their full potential).

The independence of parliamentary administrations from the political sphere is also grounded on the internal governance structure and hierarchy. The apex of parliamentary administration may be either the Speaker or a collective body (named Bureau, Presidium, Commission) consisting of parliamentarians from all key parties or groups. These bodies usually address political (administrative) guidelines to the Secretary General, who usually enjoys

large margins of discretion in the daily administrative management but, at the same time, is responsible functionally and hierarchically of the whole bureaucratic sector, being answerable for the administrative performance before the political sphere.

The rules governing the appointment and removal of the Secretary general and of the other top officials are of great importance for the independence and autonomy of the administrative structure (Gianniti and Di Cesare, 2023). In most cases, the position of the Secretary General is rather stable, compared to similar positions at the executive level, being removable only through articulated procedures, involving broad political consensus. However, often beyond the narrative of independence and neutrality, forms of politicization can occur at the highest administrative levels. For instance, in the European Parliament, the staff policy of the Secretary General is closely monitored by the political authority (the Bureau of the European Parliament) which has come to exercise a strong political influence on certain nominations. Even the Canadian Parliament, which has a solid tradition of administrative neutrality, has lately experienced developments and controversies in the appointment of Clerks for both chambers, hinting at a possible new politicization of roles previously seen as highly impartial. Politicization of senior management may be spurred by competing behaviours of its members seeking for party group consensus aimed at renewing their position, as the experience of Austria, Bosnia, Brazil, and Czechia confirm. This reaches its maximum in the case of Turkey, where the many central units may be entrusted on external administrators, thus increasing the possibility of politicization and arbitrariness of administrative careers.

The general rule is that every parliament or house in bicameral parliaments has its own Secretary General, with the exception of France, Uruguay, and Mexico (in the Senate) where two SGs are present in each House (Gianniti and Di Cesare, 2023) and the opposite choice of Austria and Switzerland, which, consistently with the option for a joint parliamentary administration serving both houses, foresee the presence of just one single Secretary General heading up the entire administration of the bicameral parliament (Griglio and Lupo, 2023).

1.5 Contemporary Challenges for Parliamentary Administrations

Reference has already been made to the changes that parliaments, and hence their administrations, have undergone over the past century. Looking more closely at recent developments, a number of contemporary challenges can be identified that parliamentary administrations have had to confront in the 21st century. In the following, five such challenges will be introduced that are discussed in more detail in various chapters of this Handbook.

First, there has been an intensifying pressure on representative institutions to be more transparent in how they work, and what they debate. As already discussed above, there has been a long trajectory that has sought to communicate parliamentary proceedings to the wider public, starting with the publication of official records, the provision of citizens' access to the chamber, and eventually the broadcasting of debates on radio, television, and the internet. The aspiration towards accessibility has also been expressed in the architectural choices of modern parliamentary buildings making use of walls of glass, symbolizing the transparency of the institution. However, in line with the recognition that also in parliaments significant aspects of the decision-making process occur behind the scenes, the agenda of achieving greater transparency has involved the accreditation of independent media representatives, the creation of registers for organized interests, and the publication of minutes and voting records of committees, opening up parliamentary business to the public beyond the plenary chamber.

Transparency, rather than being an end in itself, is a tool towards a wider aim, namely enabling citizens to follow closely the activities of their elected representatives. Arguably, this capacity of the electorate to understand and evaluate the behaviour of MPs is elementary in ensuring that the choices being made at the ballot box are well informed. However, beyond this rather passive relationship between transparency of parliaments and the voting choices of citizens, there is also a more proactive dimension of this link, namely the direct engagement with citizens.

The aim of citizen engagement has led many parliaments to open up their proceedings and indeed their buildings to the public. Visitor galleries, guided tours, and organized visits for school classes are now common place for most parliaments. On a more substantive level, letters to elected members, petitions, and similar instruments allow citizens to comment on legislative affairs. What this means for parliamentary staff is the need for additional skills and resources in order to deal with the increased workload. Effective management of citizen engagement and a capacity to respond to petitions and individual requests can be seen as important at a time when political mobilization increasingly takes place through extraparliamentary channels.

Another area of growing importance that places greater demands on parliamentary administrations is that of parliamentary diplomacy and IPC. Diplomacy is, of course, the traditional domain of the executive, conducted by heads of state, foreign ministers, and diplomats. Indeed, given its declaratory and secretive nature, foreign and security policy is traditionally an area in which parliaments have limited influence, though considerable constitutional variation exists in this field. However, parliaments themselves have developed a practice of engaging with matters of foreign policy. This includes activities such as passing resolutions that refer to current or past developments in other countries (e.g. condemnations of *coup d'etats* or the recognition of historical crimes as genocide), addressing speaking invitations to foreign leaders or international figures, sending delegations of parliamentary representatives abroad. Such activities, when carried out by parliaments without involvement of the government, do not formally commit the country, and as such are largely symbolic. However, such acts of parliamentary diplomacy can have a profound impact, especially in the context of highly sensitive or contentious matters.

IPC is more routine in that over the past century the regular interaction between parliaments have become institutionalized. Various international and regional fora have been set up that facilitate the regular exchange between representatives of parliaments. IPC offers the opportunity to parliamentarians – and their staff – to learn from their respective experiences, to establish best practices, and to identify opportunities for joint action. This can be useful both in terms of procedural and constitutional matters (e.g. defending parliamentary prerogatives vis-à-vis the executive) or on substantive matters (such as the promotion of the rule of law). To a certain degree, IPC has become institutionalized by the work of international organizations such as the Inter-Parliamentary Union or regional initiatives such as IPEX, the EU's "platform for inter-parliamentary exchange". At the same time, at a time when liberal democracy is in retreat in some countries, IPC may also constitute a support structure for beleaguered legislatures.

What matters in the current context is that parliamentary diplomacy and IPC activities also depend on skilled administrators to make these work. These international exchanges require knowledge about other countries' political and constitutional systems, a high degree of political sensitivity in engaging in the world of diplomacy, the presence of the requisite language skills, and the capacity to rely on or establish personal networks across national borders. With elected members being generally focused on their domestic electorates, it

often falls on administrators not only to support MPs in their international activities but in fact also to take the initiative to create such contacts and initiatives in the first place.

A further issue that has come to prominence in the management of parliamentary affairs in the course of the 21st century has been the security of parliaments. What happened in Washington DC on 6 January 2021 when a violent mob attacked the US Capitol to interrupt the declaration of the results of the presidential election – in what was an apparent insurgency against the democratic process of the United States – demonstrated vividly the vulnerability of legislative institutions, and the need for physical protection of their structures. A strikingly similar assault on the premises of the parliament (and the buildings of other state institutions) occurred in Brazil on 8 January 2023. While such events have been exceptional in the context of modern democratic systems, there have been other breaches of the security of parliamentary buildings, including both politically motivated (such as the far-right protesters storming the steps of the Reichstag, the home of the German Bundestag in August 2020) and simply criminal instances (such as a bank robbery inside the European Parliament in 2009).

What these examples show is the need for parliaments to provide for security, not only for its members and staff but also to protect the democratic process and constitutional integrity of the institution of parliament. Given that public security is normally the domain of the executive, many parliaments have established their own police force or security agency in order to maintain their independence and ensure the separation of powers. In the context of greater polarization and increasing propensity for political violence, ensuring the physical security of parliamentary spaces has become a major challenge for parliamentary staff, coming together also with the heightened concern and additional responsibilities related to the personal safety of members and staff during the Covid pandemic.

Beyond these issues surrounding physical threats to parliaments, another growing concern for administrations has been the need to ensure a secure environment for data storage and transmission. As many institutions in both the private and the public sector, parliaments have been subjects of cyber-attacks which – again – are potentially particularly harmful given their centrality to the democratic process. Such attacks can be about accessing confidential or privileged information or seeking to influence the decision-making process. In a fast-evolving threat environment, the provision of cyber-security for parliaments is a demanding task that administrations need to adapt to on a constant basis.

Beyond cyber-security, digital transformation more generally poses new challenges for parliamentary administrations. Digitalization is a process touching on all the aspects of administrative work but at the same time has important consequences also for the political role of parliament. This is why ongoing political and administrative drives to promote a digital organization can be considered a necessary premise for implementing the perspective of a "paperless parliament".

Promoting a digital organization presupposes a radical change in the internal administrative machinery of parliament, and this change is not new to many parliamentary administrations (Israel, Ireland, Korea, Switzerland, among others), which have started this process quite a long time ago. Other bureaucracies can be considered latecomers in the digital challenge, but for all of them the pandemic has represented an accelerator of digitalization both in the field of the administrative procedures and daily practices and in the field of the political activity and connection with the society.

The transition to a digital parliamentary organization requires a series of adaptations both in terms of technology and human resources. On the one hand, it relies on the introduction of new technology infrastructures and equipment. For instance, in the case of Portugal, this has eventually led to the creation, in 2018, of a new Technology Infrastructure and Information

Systems Divisions, under the Information Technology Directorate. On the other hand, digitalization necessarily advocates the engagement of staff units with advanced digital skills (Greece) whose main task is granting parliament its own autonomy and technical capacity in the generation of IT resources and contents and in the IT management. In the pursuit of improving the IT support of parliament, Malta has promoted the collaboration with universities. By contrast, the experience of the Austrian Parliamentary Service that acts as service provider for the federal ministries (the entire public consultation procedure regarding draft bills is published on the parliament's website) witnesses how improvements in the digital administrative capacity of parliament may benefit the overall public sphere. Finally, the "human" challenge also includes the consolidation of a digital administrative culture both within employees (Ireland) and within MPs (Croatia), and in many cases, these may be long-term processes.

In a substantive perspective, similar priority goals are addressed by parliamentary bureaucracies in their approach to modern technologies and digital processes: openness and transparency of parliamentary activity (see for instance the applications to stenographic recording and minutes in Estonia and Japan); efficiency of parliamentary work; connection with the public and participation of civil society (see for instance the launch of e-petitions in Belgium, Estonia, Luxembourg, EP); modernization of parliamentary institutional communication (see for instance the opening of all parliaments to social media and the improvements in the use of podcasts and parliamentary TV programmes); digitalization of the legislative process (Bosnia, Brazil, Czechia, Denmark, Estonia); accessibility of parliamentary documents through the creation of open data platforms (France, India, Korea); and simplified datasets (France).

The pandemic has undoubtedly accelerated most of the digital processes that were already underway, and this resulted in formal changes in the political organization of parliament also. As a matter of fact, at the end of 2020, the Dutch Tweede Kamer established a parliamentary committee on Digital Affairs with its own parliamentary staff, including a clerk, information specialist, knowledge coordinator, as well as EU-advisor. In the same period, the Swedish Riksdag created a Sub-Committee on the Information Society and Digitalization within the Committee on Education, Science, Technological Development, and the Information Society. The possibility to have remote committee and plenary meetings, which was already among the administrative digital goals in some parliaments, has undoubtedly acknowledged a rapid acceleration under the pandemic. The backside of all these digital trends is – as mentioned before – in the increased exposition of parliament to cyberattacks, that's why – following some recent incidents – IT security has been incorporated by some parliamentary administrations (Norway, Italy) as one of the digital priorities.

1.6 Conclusion

As stated at the outset of this chapter, there is no representative democracy without a parliament and – at least in contemporary democracies – there is no parliament able to work without its own administration.

The instrumental contribution to the smooth functioning of representative democracy is what distinguishes the presence and role of parliamentary administrations worldwide. However, the way in which this objective is achieved varies substantially between national jurisdictions. Indeed, the comparative perspective and comprehensive analysis provided by the contributions to this Handbook confirm that the organizational and functional solutions implemented in response to this common need are extremely varied, to the point that it is difficult to identify general reference models. As a matter of fact, many factors contribute to determining the nature and structure of parliamentary administrations: first, the process of

parliamentary institutionalization (Judge and Earnshaw, 2003) that led to the definition of the characteristic features of parliament; second, the form of government and its interaction with the executive power (Fasone, 2023); third, the reference legal system (belonging either to the common law or to the civil law families) and administrative tradition (Sager, Rosser, Hurni and Mavrot, 2012); and, fourth, the electoral system, party system, and presence of a majoritarian or consensual model of democracy.

None of these factors by itself would determine the shape of the administrative architecture of parliament. However, a combination of these factors allows us to distinguish between two main institutional solutions to the common need of "administering" representative democracy: the "bureaucratic model" and the "staffing model".

The bureaucratic model involves the presence of a centralized administrative apparatus within parliament, selected through different recruitment procedures – either autonomous or derived from the state's wider civil service – providing parliamentary officials with a permanent position, independent from politics. Institutionalization, centralization, political neutrality, autonomy from the political sphere, and hierarchical organization are the main characteristics of the bureaucratic model, which is the leading solution worldwide: it is the rule not just in most European parliaments (including Cyprus, which follows a presidential system) but also in extra-European parliaments, such as the Korean National Assembly, the Parliament of South Africa and, significantly, also the Mexican Congress, which has recently promoted the establishment of an autonomous parliamentary civil service.

The alternative of a staffing model is instead adopted by "legislatures" that follow the presidential model of the US Congress, including for example Argentina and Brazil. This model is based on a decentralized approach with staffers answering personally to individual MPs or political groups (Peters, 2023) and a limited number of non-partisan officials hired through public competition for permanent positions. Compared to the bureaucratic model, the staffing model shows on average a bigger size of personnel – and hence of budget – and, at least in the US experience, serves a "strong" parliamentary institution, solidly grounded in the constitutional architecture.

The two models differ in the emphasis that parliaments place on the activities, and hence on the administrative needs, of individual MPs (which are predominant in the staffing model) and of their collective structures and bodies (which prevail in the bureaucratic model). These alternative approaches raise some questions about the alignment of the administrative solution with the parliamentary archetype at stake given that the "legislatures" following the American presidential model are precisely the assemblies most inclined to operate – beyond the traditional internal political cleavages – on the basis of institutional (and therefore unitary) cleavages (Laver, 2008).

Notwithstanding these underlying differences, several instances of "contamination" across the two models can be identified. On the one hand, administrations following the staffing model are able to fulfil selected "shared" administrative needs associated with the collective dimension of parliamentary activities (mainly research and budget services) by establishing independent agencies (such as the US Congressional Budget Office). On the other hand, the bureaucratic model integrates the role of permanent officials selected through public competition with the presence of staff under the political patronage of party groups or individual MPs, whose size shows higher rates of increase compared to permanent officials (Fasone, 2023).

It is significant to observe how a sort of hybridization between the two administrative models has found success in the experience of the European Parliament. In the course of its institutionalization process (Corbett, Jacobs and Shackleton, 2016; De Feo, 2016), the European Parliament has tried to combine the aspiration to rely on a solid and well-funded

staffing system with the experience derived from the parliaments of EU Member States based on the recruitment of parliamentary officials through the *concours*. Even today, the continuous oscillation between these two opposing requirements supports the existence, in the internal administrative practices of the European Parliament, of an intense mobility between the staff of party groups and the permanent officials serving parliament as a whole (Jacobs and De Feo, 2023).

The comparative picture therefore confirms that matter how parliaments satisfy their internal administrative needs insofar they are able to do this autonomously. The autonomy of the internal administrative structure from external influences is indeed an indispensable requirement for the autonomy of parliament, which in its turn is the premise for setting an autonomous administrative organization. This was true historically, in the process of parliamentary institutionalization (Sisson, 1974), but it is still topical today, when legislatures see their institutional role deeply challenged within democracies under threat (Ginsburg and Huq, 2018). As a matter of fact, parliaments' administrative autonomy nowadays implies the capacity to address in an independent manner both old and new requirements, stemming from the drafting of stenographic records to digitalization, from the management of plenary and committee sittings to research and documentation. The ability to adapt to these administrative changes is essential to the protection of parliamentary identity facing some of the epochal challenges of representative democracy (Costa, Kerrouche and Magnette, 2004): preserving the role of parliament vis-à-vis increasing executive dominance at both supranational and national level (Curtin, 2014; Dan Wood, 2011); meeting the expectations for increased transparency and citizens' participation in order to combat electoral abstention and populism; responding to the nature of political decision-making in an ever more interdependent world which demands a more globalized parliamentarism.

Notes

- 1 See the special issues published in *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 6, No. 4 (1981), dealing with the growth of legislative bureaucracies in the United States and in Europe since the Second World War, and in *Revue française d'administration publique*, Vol. 68, (1993), focusing on the role of parliamentary administrations in France, with limited comparative case studies from Germany, Senegal, and United Kingdom. See also the "virtual issue" published by the European Consortium of Political Research ECPRD (2013) bringing together papers presented at the 2013 ECPR General Conference.
- 2 On the Spanish Parliament, see for instance Díez Picazo (1985). On the French Parliament, Coniez and Michon (2020). On the Italian Parliament, Zuddas (2004) and Pacelli and Giovannetti (2020).
- 3 On the US case, see Fox and Hammond (1979), Malbin (1980), Weiss (1989) and Lyons (2013). For the UK, see Ryle (1981) and Petit and Yong (2018).
- 4 In calculating the staff/MPs ratios, we refer only to the number of permanent staff for all parliamentary administrations. The categorization of staff is not always precise, which is why exceptions to this criterion have been made for some of the cases covered here, namely the US (whose staff is mainly under political patronage), Argentina, Brazil, and Turkey (where the relationship between temporary and permanent staff is rather blurred and does not enable to clearly distinguish the numerical consistency of the two categories).

References

Stefan Becker, and Michael W. Bauer, 2021. 'Two of a Kind? On the Influence of Parliamentary and Governmental Administrations', in *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 494–512. Cyril Benoît, and Olivier Rozenberg (eds), 2020. *Handbook of Parliamentary Studies. Interdisciplinary*

Introduction

- Cyril Benoît, 2020. 'Legislatures and the Administrative State: Political Control, Bureaucratic Politics and Public Accountability', in C Benoît and O Rozenberg (eds), *Handbook of Parliamentary Studies. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Legislatures*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 255–274.
- José Antonio Cheibub, and Bjørn Erik Rasch, 2022. 'Constitutional Parliamentarism in Europe, 1800 2019', in West European Politics, Vol. 45, No. 3, pp. 470–501.
- Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio, and Nicola Lupo, 2021. 'Making Representative Democracy Work: The Role of Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union', in *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 477–493.
- Jacobs Corbett, Francis Jacobs, and Michael Shackleton (eds), 2016. *The European Parliament*, 9th edn, London, John Harper.
- Hugo Coniez, and Pierre Michon, 2020. Servir les assemblées. Histoire et dictionnaire de l'administration parlementaire française, de 1789 à la fin du XXe siècle, 2 vol., Paris, Mare et Martin.
- Olivier Costa, Eric Kerrouche, and Paul Magnette, 2004. 'Introduction. Le temps du parlementarisme désenchanté? Les parlements face aux nouveaux modes de gouvernance' in O Costa, E Kerrouche and P Magnette (eds), *Vers un renouveau du parlementarisme en Europe?*, Brussels, Ed de l'Université de Bruxelles, 9–32.
- Deirdre Curtin, 2014. 'Challenging Executive Dominance in European Democracy', in *The Modern Law Review*, Vol. 77, No. 1, pp. 1–32.
- B. Dan Wood, 2011. 'Congress and the Executive Branch: Delegation and Presidential Dominance', in George C. Edwards, Frances E. Lee, and Eric Schickler (eds), The Oxford Handbook of the American Congress, Online edn, Oxford Academic, pp. 789–811.
- George C. Edwards, Frances E. Lee, and Eric Schickler (eds), 2011. The Oxford Handbook of the American Congress, Online edn, Oxford Academic.
- Alfredo De Feo, 2016. A History of Budgetary Powers and Politics in the EU: The Role of the European Parliament. Part II: the Non-elected Parliament 1957–1978, Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union.
- Luis Maria Díez Picazo, 1985. La autonomía administrativa de las cámaras parlamentarias, Zaragoza, Studia Albornotiana.
- ECPRD, 2013. "Parliamentary Administration in EU Affairs: Assistants or Advisors", ECPR Virtual Special Issue, available at https://ecpr.eu/Events/Event/PanelDetails/980.
- ECPRD and Italian Chamber of Deputies, 2003. Seminar on Parliamentary Administrations and Legislative Cooperation: Reports, Palazzo Montecitorio 30–31 October 2003, Roma, Camera dei Deputati.
- Morten Egeberg, Åse Gornitzka, Jarle Trondal, and Mathias Johannessen, 2015. The European Parliament Administration: Organizational Structure and Behavioral Implications, in Michael W. Bauer and Jarle Trondal (eds), *The Palgrave Handbook of the European Administrative System. European Administrative Governance*, New York, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Cristina Fasone, 2023. 'A Distinct Role for Parliamentary Administrations in Presidential and Parliamentary Systems?', in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Harrison W. Fox, and Susan W. Hammond, 1979. Congressional Staffs: The Invisible Force in American Lawmaking, New York, Free Press.
- Luigi Gianniti, and Rosella Cesare, 2023. 'The Internal Administrative Structure and the Relationship with the Speaker', in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Elena Griglio, and Nicola Lupo, 2023. 'The Administration of Bicameral Parliaments', in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Przemysław Grudzinski, and Wiesław Staskiewicz, 1992. A Parliamentary Research Organization: The Proceedings of the International Conference on Parliamentary Services in Central and Eastern Europe: June 21–23, 1992, Warsaw-Pultusk, Warsaw, Sejm Publishing Office.
- W. Bartley Hildreth, Gerald Miller, and Evert L. Lindquist (eds), 2021. *Handbook of Public Administration*, New York, Routledge.
- Anna-Lena Högenauer, Christine Neuhold, and Thomas Christiansen, 2016. Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union, New York, Palgrave.
- Anna-Lena Högenauer. 2021. 'The Mainstreaming of EU Affairs: A Challenge for Parliamentary Administrations', in *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 535–553.
- Aziz Huq, and Tom Ginsburg (eds), 2018. 'How to Lose a Constitutional Democracy', in 65 UCLA Law Review, Vol. 78, pp. 78–169.

- IPU, 2020. Comparative Research Paper on Parliamentary Administration, available at: https://www.ipu.org/resources/publications/reference/2020-09/comparative-research-paper-parliamentary-administration.
- Francis Jacobs, and Alfredo De Feo, 2023. in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- David Judge, and David Earnshaw, 2003. The European Parliament, New York, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Michael Laver, 2008. 'Overview: Legislatures and Parliaments in Comparative Context', in B Weingast and D Wittman (eds), Oxford Handbook of Political Economy, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 195–211.
- Nicola Lupo, and Cristina Fasone, 2015. 'Transparency vs. Informality in Legislative Committees. Comparing the US House of Representatives, the Italian Chamber of Deputies and the European Parliament', in *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 342–359.
- Nicola Lupo, and Eric Thiers, 2020. 'Sources and Origins of Parliamentary Law', in C Benoît and O Rozenberg (eds), *Handbook of Parliamentary Studies. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Legislatures*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 160–180.
- Christina L. Lyons, 2013. 'Congressional Staff', in *How Congress Works*, 5th edition, Los Angeles, CQ Press, 185–222.
- Michael J. Malbin, 1980. Unelected Representatives. Congressional Staff and the Future of Representative Government, New York, Basic Books.
- Simon Otjes, 2022. 'What Explains the Size of Parliamentary Staff?', in *West European Politics*, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 374–400.
- Mario Pacelli, and Giorgio Giovannetti, 2020. Interno Montecitorio. I luoghi, l'istituzione, le persone, Torino, Giappichelli.
- B. Guy Peters, and Jon Pierre (eds), 2012, *The SAGE Handbook of Public Administration*, London, SAGE, 2nd ed.
- B. Guy Peters, 2023. 'United States', in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), *Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations*, New York, Routledge.
- Sarah Petit, and Ben Yong, 2018. 'The Administrative Organization and Governance of Parliaments', in C Leston-Bandeira and L Thompson (eds), *Exploring Parliaments*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 24–31.
- Michael T. Ryle, 1981. 'The Legislative Staff of the British House of Commons', in *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 6, No. 4 (Nov., 1981), pp. 497–519.
- Fritz Sager, Christian Rosser, Pascal Y. Hurni, and Céline Mavrot, 2012. 'How Traditional Are the American, the French, and the German Traditions of Public Administration? A Research Agenda', in *Public Administration*, Vol. 90, No. 1, pp. 129–143.
- Martin Shane, Thomas Saalfeld, and Kaare W. Strøm (eds), 2014. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies, Online edn, Oxford Academic.
- Richard Sisson, 1974. 'Comparative Legislative Institutionalization: A Theoretical Exploration', in Allan Kornberg (ed.), Legislatures in Comparative Perspective, New York, David McKay Company, Inc. 17–38
- Stelios Stavridis, 2021. 'Parliamentary Diplomacy: A Review Article', in *International Journal of Parliamentary Studies*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 227–269.
- June Verrier, 2007. Benchmarking Parliamentary Administration: The United Kingdom, Canada, New Zealand and Australia, in *Australian Parliamentary Review*, Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 45–79.
- Giancarlo Vilella, 2019. The Working Methods of the European Parliament Administration in Multi-Actors Words. A Case Study, Florence, European Press Academic Publishing.
- Wim Voermans, Hans-Martien ten Napel, and Reijer Passchier, 2015. 'Combining Efficiency and Transparency in Legislative Processes', in *The Theory and Practice of Legislation*, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 279–294.
- Carol H. Weiss, 1989. 'Congressional Committees as Users of Analysis', in *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, Summer, 1989, Vol. 8, No. 3 (Summer, 1989), pp. 411–431.
- Lois Recascino Wise, 2003. Representative Bureaucracy, in B. Guy Peters and Jon Pierre (eds), *Handbook of Public Administration*, London, SAGE.
- Benjamin Yong, and Sarah Petit, 2018. The Administrative Organization and Governance of Parliament, in Louise Thompson and Cristina Leston-Bandeira (eds), *Exploring Parliament*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Paolo Zuddas, 2004. Amministrazioni parlamentari e procedimento legislativo: il contributo degli apparati serventi delle Camere al miglioramento della qualità della legislazione, Milano, Giuffrè.

Introduction

Stefan Becker, and Michael W. Bauer, 2021. 'Two of a Kind? On the Influence of Parliamentary and Governmental Administrations', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 494–512.

Cyril Benoît, and Olivier Rozenberg (eds), 2020. Handbook of Parliamentary Studies. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Legislatures, Northampton, Edward Elgar.

Cyril Benoît, 2020. 'Legislatures and the Administrative State: Political Control, Bureaucratic Politics and Public Accountability', in C Benoît and O Rozenberg (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Studies. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Legislatures, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 255–274.

José Antonio Cheibub, and Bjørn Erik Rasch, 2022. 'Constitutional Parliamentarism in Europe, 1800 2019', in West European Politics, Vol. 45, No. 3, pp. 470–501.

Thomas Christiansen, Elena Griglio, and Nicola Lupo, 2021. 'Making Representative Democracy Work: The Role of Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 477–493.

Jacobs Corbett, Francis Jacobs, and Michael Shackleton (eds), 2016. The European Parliament, 9th edn, London, John Harper.

Hugo Coniez , and Pierre Michon , 2020. Servir les assemblées. Histoire et dictionnaire de l'administration parlementaire française, de 1789 à la fin du XXe siècle, 2 vol., Paris, Mare et Martin.

Olivier Costa, Eric Kerrouche, and Paul Magnette, 2004. 'Introduction. Le temps du parlementarisme désenchanté? Les parlements face aux nouveaux modes de gouvernance' in O Costa, E Kerrouche and P Magnette (eds), Vers un renouveau du parlementarisme en Europe?, Brussels, Ed de l'Université de Bruxelles, 9–32.

Deirdre Curtin, 2014. 'Challenging Executive Dominance in European Democracy', in The Modern Law Review, Vol. 77, No. 1, pp. 1–32.

B. Dan Wood, 2011. 'Congress and the Executive Branch: Delegation and Presidential Dominance', in George C. Edwards, Frances E. Lee, and Eric Schickler (eds), The Oxford Handbook of the American Congress, Online edn, Oxford Academic, pp. 789–811.

George C. Edwards , Frances E. Lee , and Eric Schickler (eds), 2011. The Oxford Handbook of the American Congress, Online edn, Oxford Academic.

Alfredo De Feo , 2016. A History of Budgetary Powers and Politics in the EU: The Role of the European Parliament. Part II: the Non-elected Parliament 1957–1978, Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union.

Luis Maria Díez Picazo , 1985. La autonomía administrativa de las cámaras parlamentarias, Zaragoza, Studia Albornotiana.

ECPRD, 2013. "Parliamentary Administration in EU Affairs: Assistants or Advisors", ECPR Virtual Special Issue, available at https://ecpr.eu/Events/Event/PanelDetails/980.

ECPRD and Italian Chamber of Deputies , 2003. Seminar on Parliamentary Administrations and Legislative Cooperation: Reports, Palazzo Montecitorio – 30–31 October 2003, Roma, Camera dei Deputati.

Morten Egeberg , Åse Gornitzka , Jarle Trondal , and Mathias Johannessen , 2015. The European Parliament Administration: Organizational Structure and Behavioral Implications, in Michael W. Bauer and Jarle Trondal (eds), The Palgrave Handbook of the European Administrative System. European Administrative Governance, New York, Palgrave Macmillan.

Cristina Fasone, 2023. 'A Distinct Role for Parliamentary Administrations in Presidential and Parliamentary Systems?', in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations, London, Routledge.

Harrison W. Fox , and Susan W. Hammond , 1979. Congressional Staffs: The Invisible Force in American Lawmaking, New York, Free Press.

Luigi Gianniti , and Rosella Cesare , 2023. 'The Internal Administrative Structure and the Relationship with the Speaker', in T Christiansen , E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, London, Routledge.

Elena Griglio , and Nicola Lupo , 2023. 'The Administration of Bicameral Parliaments', in T Christiansen , E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations, London, Routledge.

Przemysław Grudzinski, and Wiesław Staskiewicz, 1992. A Parliamentary Research Organization: The Proceedings of the International Conference on Parliamentary Services in Central and Eastern Europe: June 21–23, 1992, Warsaw-Pultusk, Warsaw, Sejm Publishing Office.

W. Bartley Hildreth , Gerald Miller , and Evert L. Lindquist (eds), 2021. Handbook of Public Administration, New York, Routledge.

Anna-Lena Högenauer , Christine Neuhold , and Thomas Christiansen , 2016. Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union, New York, Palgrave.

Anna-Lena Högenauer . 2021. 'The Mainstreaming of EU Affairs: A Challenge for Parliamentary Administrations', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 535–553.

Aziz Huq, and Tom Ginsburg (eds), 2018. 'How to Lose a Constitutional Democracy', in 65 UCLA Law Review, Vol. 78, pp. 78–169.

IPU, 2020. Comparative Research Paper on Parliamentary Administration, available at:

https://www.ipu.org/resources/publications/reference/2020-09/comparative-research-paper-parliamentary-administration.

Francis Jacobs, and Alfredo De Feo, 2023. in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, London, Routledge.

David Judge, and David Earnshaw, 2003. The European Parliament, New York, Palgrave Macmillan.

Michael Laver, 2008. 'Overview: Legislatures and Parliaments in Comparative Context', in B Weingast and D Wittman (eds), Oxford Handbook of Political Economy, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 195–211.

Nicola Lupo , and Cristina Fasone , 2015. 'Transparency vs. Informality in Legislative Committees.

Comparing the US House of Representatives, the Italian Chamber of Deputies and the European

Parliament', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 342–359.

Nicola Lupo , and Eric Thiers , 2020. 'Sources and Origins of Parliamentary Law', in C Benoît and O Rozenberg (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Studies. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Legislatures, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 160–180.

Christina L. Lyons , 2013. 'Congressional Staff', in How Congress Works, 5 th edition, Los Angeles, CQ Press, 185–222.

Michael J. Malbin , 1980. Unelected Representatives. Congressional Staff and the Future of Representative Government, New York, Basic Books.

Simon Otjes, 2022. 'What Explains the Size of Parliamentary Staff?', in West European Politics, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 374–400.

Mario Pacelli , and Giorgio Giovannetti , 2020. Interno Montecitorio. I luoghi, l'istituzione, le persone, Torino, Giappichelli.

B. Guy Peters , and Jon Pierre (eds), 2012, The SAGE Handbook of Public Administration, London, SAGE, 2nd ed.

B. Guy Peters , 2023. 'United States', in T. Christiansen , E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, New York, Routledge.

Sarah Petit , and Ben Yong , 2018. 'The Administrative Organization and Governance of Parliaments', in C Leston-Bandeira and L Thompson (eds), Exploring Parliaments, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 24–31.

Michael T. Ryle, 1981. 'The Legislative Staff of the British House of Commons', in Legislative Studies Quarterly, Vol. 6, No. 4 (Nov., 1981), pp. 497–519.

Fritz Sager, Christian Rosser, Pascal Y. Hurni, and Céline Mavrot, 2012. 'How Traditional Are the American, the French, and the German Traditions of Public Administration? A Research Agenda', in Public Administration, Vol. 90, No. 1, pp. 129–143.

Martin Shane , Thomas Saalfeld , and Kaare W. Strøm (eds), 2014. The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies, Online edn, Oxford Academic.

Richard Sisson, 1974. 'Comparative Legislative Institutionalization: A Theoretical Exploration', in Allan Kornberg (ed.), Legislatures in Comparative Perspective, New York, David McKay Company, Inc, 17–38.

Stelios Stavridis, 2021. 'Parliamentary Diplomacy: A Review Article', in International Journal of Parliamentary Studies, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 227–269.

June Verrier, 2007. Benchmarking Parliamentary Administration: The United Kingdom, Canada, New Zealand and Australia, in Australian Parliamentary Review, Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 45–79.

Giancarlo Vilella, 2019. The Working Methods of the European Parliament Administration in Multi-Actors Words. A Case Study, Florence, European Press Academic Publishing.

Wim Voermans, Hans-Martien ten Napel, and Reijer Passchier, 2015. 'Combining Efficiency and Transparency in Legislative Processes', in The Theory and Practice of Legislation, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 279–294.

Carol H. Weiss, 1989. 'Congressional Committees as Users of Analysis', in Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, Summer, 1989, Vol. 8, No. 3 (Summer, 1989), pp. 411–431.

Lois Recascino Wise , 2003. Representative Bureaucracy, in B. Guy Peters and Jon Pierre (eds), Handbook of Public Administration, London, SAGE.

Benjamin Yong , and Sarah Petit , 2018. The Administrative Organization and Governance of Parliament, in Louise Thompson and Cristina Leston-Bandeira (eds), Exploring Parliament, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Paolo Zuddas, 2004. Amministrazioni parlamentari e procedimento legislativo: il contributo degli apparati serventi delle Camere al miglioramento della qualità della legislazione. Milano, Giuffrè.

A Distinct Role for Parliamentary Administrations in Presidential and Parliamentary Systems?

Ackerman, B., 2000. The New Separation of Powers, in Harvard Law Review, 113(3), pp. 642–729.

Arndt, F., Högenauer, A.-L. and Koggel, C., 2023. Germany's Parliamentary Administration Germany, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge. Avril, P. Gicquel, J. and Gicquel, J.É., 2021. Droit parlementaire, Paris, L.G.D.J., 6th ed.

Barthélemy, J., 1934. Essai sur le travail parlementaire et le système des commissions, Paris, Delagrave. Bateman, W., 2020. Public Finance and Parliamentary Constitutionalism, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press

Beetsma, R.M.W.J. and Debrun, X., 2016. *Fiscal Councils: Rationale and Effectiveness,* in *IMF Working Paper*, WP/16/86, https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2016/wp1686.pdf

Bertino, P., 2023. Argentina's Parliamentary Administration Argentina, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.

Bonvicini G., Matarazzo R. and Tosato G.L., 2009. *I partiti politici europei e la candidatura del presidente della Commissione*, in II diritto dell'Unione europea, 1, pp. 179 ff.

Campbell, S. and Laporte, J., 1981. *The Staff of the Parliamentary Assemblies in France*, in Legislative Studies Quarterly, 6(4), pp. 521–531.

Capitant, R., 1934. La réforme du parlementarisme, Paris, Sirey.

Christiansen, T., Griglio, E. and Lupo, N., 2021. *Making Representative Democracy Work: the Role of Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union*, in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 27(4), pp. 477–493.

Closa Montero, C., González de León, F. and Losada Fraga, F., 2020. *Democracy vs Technocracy: National Parliaments and Fiscal Agencies in EMU Governance*, in *RECONNECT Working Paper series*, D.10.2., https://reconnect-europe.eu/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/D10.2.pdf.

Corbett, R., Jacobs, F. and Neville, D., 2016. The European Parliament, London, John Harper Publishing, 9th ed.

Crisp, B.F. and Schibber, C.F., 2014. *The Study of Legislatures in Latin America*, in Martin, S., Saalfeld, T. and Strøm, K.W. (eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies, Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 629–644.

Curtin, D., 2014. *Challenging Executive Dominance in European Democracy*, in Modern Law Review, 77(1), pp. 1–32.

De Gaulle, C., [1946] 1992. *The Bayeux Manifesto*, in Lijphart, A. (ed.), Parliamentary versus Presidential Government, Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 139–141.

Della Sala, V., 1993. The Permanent Committees of the Italian Chamber of Deputies: Parliament at Work?, in Legislative Studies Quarterly, 18(2), pp. 157–183.

Dixon, R., Landau, D. and Roznai, Y., 2019. *Term Limits and the Unconstitutional Constitutional Amendment Doctrine: Lessons from Latin America*, in Baturo, A. and Elgie, R. (eds.) The Politics of Presidential Term Limits, Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 53–70.

D'Onofrio, F. , 1979. *Committees in the Italian Parliament* , in Shaw M. and Lees, J. (eds.), Committees in Legislatures, Durham, NC, Duke University Press, pp. 61–101.

Duverger, M., 1980. A New Political System Model: Semi-presidential Government, in European Journal of Political Research, 8(2), pp. 165–187.

Elgie, R., 2011. Semi-Presidentialism: Sub-Types and Democratic Performance, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Elia, L., 1951. Il Governo come Comitato direttivo del Parlamento, in Civitas, II(4), pp. 59-66.

Elia, L., 1970. Governo (forme di), in Enciclopedia del diritto, vol. XIX, Milano, Giuffrè, pp. 634–675. European Parliament, Directorate-General for Research, 1997. *Budget of Parliament. What Are the Procedures Involved? How Are They Controlled?* National Parliaments series, PE 124.794mv. 1, Luxembourg.

Fabbrini, S., 2010. Compound Democracies: Why the United States and Europe Are Becoming Similar, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2nd ed.

Fasone, C., 2012. Sistemi di commissioni parlamentari e forme di governo, Padua, Cedam.

Fasone, C., 2014. Eurozone, Non-Eurozone and "Troubled Asymmetries" among National Parliaments in the EU. Why and to What Extent This Is of Concern, in Perspectives on Federalism, 6(3), pp. 1–41 Fasone, C., 2019. What Is a Legislature in the Twenty-First Century? Classification and Evolution of a Contested Notion, in Federalismi.it, 15, pp. 1–20.

- Fish, M.S. and Krönig, M., 2009. The Handbook of National Legislatures. A Global Survey, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Fitsilis, F., 2018. *Inter-parliamentary Cooperation and Its Administrators*, in Perspectives on Federalism, 10(3), pp. 28–55.
- Griffith, J.A.G., 1974. Parliamentary Scrutiny of Government Bills, London, Allen and Unwin for PEP and the Study of Parliament Group.
- Griglio, E. , 2020. Parliamentary Oversight of the Executives. Tools and Procedures in Europe, Oxford, Hart Publishing.
- Guahk, Y., 2023. *Korea's Parliamentary Administration* Korea, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Guy Peters, B., 2022. The Proposed Establishment of a Parliament and Provincial Legislatures' Service, United States.
- Hattis Rolef, S., 2023. *Israel's Parliamentary Administration* Israel, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Horowitz, D.L., 1990. Comparing Democratic Systems, in Journal of Democracy, 1, pp. 73-79.
- Jacobs, F. and De Feo, A., 2023. *European Parliament's Administration*, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Jancic, D., 2016. *National Parliaments and EU Fiscal Integration*, in European Law Journal, 22(2), pp. 225–249.
- Joyce, P.G., 2011. The Congressional Budget Office: Honest Numbers, Power, and Policymaking, Washington, DC, Georgetown University Press.
- Karayianni, N., 2023. *Cyprus' Parliamentary Administration* Cyprus, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Kerrouche, E., 2007. *The French Assemblée nationale: The case of a weak legislature?*, in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 12(3–4), pp. 336–365.
- Kölling, M. and Molina, I., 2023. *Spain's Parliamentary Administration*, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations, London, Routledge.
- Konrath, C., Pollak, J. and Slominski, P., 2023. Austria's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Kreppel, A., 2006. *The Environmental Determinants of Legislative Structure: A Comparison of the U.S. House of Representatives and the European Parliament*, in Power, T.J. and Rae, N.C. (eds.), Exporting Congress? The Influence of the U.S. Congress on World Legislatures, Pittsburgh, The University of Pittsburgh Press, pp. 137–156.
- Kreppel, A., 2014. *Typologies and Classifications*, in Martin, S., Saalfeld, T. and Strøm, K.W. (eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies, Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 83–97.
- Laski, H.J., 1944. *The Parliamentary and Presidential Systems*, in Public Administration Review, 4, pp. 347–359.
- Layman, T.P., 2023. South Africa's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Lijphart, A. 1992. *Introduction*, in, Parliamentary versus Presidential Government, Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 1–27.
- Linz, J.J., 1990a. The Virtues of Parliamentarism, in Journal of Democracy, 1, 1990, pp. 84-91.
- Linz, J.J., 1990b. The Perils of Presidentialism, in Journal of Democracy, 1, 1990, pp. 51-69.
- Lupo, N. and Manzella, A., 2019. Il parlamento europeo. Una introduzione, Rome, LUISS University Press.
- Lupo, N. and Gianniti, L. , 2023. Italy's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen , E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), $Handbook\ on\ Parliamentary\ Administrations$, London, Routledge.
- Maatsch, A., 2021. Disempowerment through the Backdoor: The Impact of Populist Parties on the National Parliament in Poland, in Parliamentary Affairs, 74(4), pp. 786–801
- Mainwaring, S., 1997. *Multipartism, Robust Federalism, and Presidentialism in Brazil*, in Mainwaring, S. and Shugart, M. S. (eds.), Presidentialism and Democracy in Latin America, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, pp. 55–109.
- McKay, W. and Johnson, C.W., 2010. Parliament and Congress: Representation and Scrutiny in the Twenty-First Century, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Meakin, A., Yong, B. and Leston-Bandeira, C., 2023. United Kingdom's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.
- Merlo, S. and Fasone, C., 2021. Differentiated Fiscal Surveillance and the Democratic Promise of Independent Fiscal Institutions in the Economic and Monetary Union, in Swiss Political Science Review, 27(3), pp. 582–600.
- Mezey, M.L., 1979, Comparative Legislatures, Durham, NC, Duke University Press.
- Mirkine-Guetzévitch, B. , 1954. L'échec du parlementarisme «rationalisé» , in Revue internationale d'histoire politique et constitutionnelle, 1954, pp. 97 ff.

Mortati C., 1973. Lezioni sulle forme di governo, Padova, Cedam.

Moschella, M., 2017. When Some Are More Equal than Others: National Parliaments and Intergovernmental Bailout Negotiations in the Eurozone, in Government and Opposition, 52(2), pp. 239–265.

Nieto-Morales, F., 2023. Mexico's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.

Norton, P., 1990. Parliaments: A Framework for Analysis, in West European Politics, 13(3), pp. 1-9.

OECD , 2015. Mexico - Centre for Public Finance Studies , in OECD Journal of Budgeting, 2, pp. 165–173.

Polsby, N.W., 1975. Legislatures, in Handbook of Political Science, Reading, MA, Addison-Wesley, Vol. 5.

Raunio, T., 2023. Finland's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.

Rozenberg, O. , 2019. *De la difficulté d'être un Parlement normal* , in Duhamel O. et al. (eds.), La Ve République démystifiée, Paris, Presse de Sciences Po, pp. 45–65.

Russell, M. and Gover, D., 2017. Legislation at Westminster: Parliamentary Actors and Influence in the Making of British Law, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Saalfeld, T., 1996. *The West German Bundestag after 40 Years: The Role of Parliament in a 'Party Democracy'*, in Norton, P. (ed), Parliaments in Western Europe, London, Frank Cass, pp. 68–89

Santos, F. and Saboia Vieira, F. , 2023. Brazil's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen , E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations* , London, Routledge.

Sartori, G. , 1994. Comparative Constitutional Engineering: An Inquiry into Structures, Incentives and Outcomes, New York, Springer.

Schlesinger, A.M. Jr., [1985] 1992. *Leave the Constitution Alone*, in Lijphart, A. (ed.), Parliamentary versus Presidential Government, Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 90–94.

Scoseria Katz, A., 2016. The President in His Labyrinth: Checks and Balances in the New Pan-American Presidentialism, PhD Thesis, Yale Law School (unpublished),

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3258783

Serowaniec, M., 2023. Poland's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.

Shaw, M., 1998., *Parliamentary Committees: A Global Perspective*, in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 4(1), pp. 225–251.

Shepsle, K.A. and Weingast, B.R., 1984. *Positive Theories of Congressional Institutions*, in Legislative Studies Quarterly, XIX(2), pp. 149–179.

Shugart, M.S. and Carey, J.M., 1992. Presidents and Assemblies. Constitutional Design and Electoral Dynamics, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Strøm, K., 1998. *Parliamentary Committees in European Democracies*, in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 4(1), pp. 21–59.

Tacea, A., 2022. *The French Parliamentary Administration: A Bureaucracy inside the Parliament?* France. Valenzuela, A. and Wilde, A., 1979. *Presidential Politics and the Decline of the Chilean Congress*, in Smith, J. and Musolf, L. (eds.) Legislatures in Development: Dynamics of Change in New and Old States, Durham, NC, Duke University Press, pp. 189–215.

Vargas, A., Dias Pinheiro, B. and Fonseca, T., 2023. Portugal's Parliamentary Administration, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge. Verney, D.V., [1959] 1992. *Parliamentary Government and Presidential Government*, in Lijphart, A. (ed.), Parliamentary versus Presidential Government, Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 31–47.

Wehner, J., 2010, Legislatures and the Budget Process: The Myth of Fiscal Control, New York, Palgrave. Westlake, M., 1994. A Modern Guide to the European Parliament, London and New York, Pinter Publishers. Wilson, W., [1885] 2009. Congressional Government. A Study in American Politics, New Brunswick, NJ, Transaction Publishers.

The Internal Administrative Structure and the Relationship with the Speaker

Alexander, D. A. (2020), *The Committee Secretariat of the European Parliament: administrative mobility, expertise and keeping the legislative wheels turning*, in The Journal of Legislative Studies, https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2020.1832389.

Arnold, R. D. (1987), *Political control of administrative officials*, in Journal of Law Economics and Organization, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 279–286.

Association of Secretaries General of Parliaments . (2013), *Principles for the Recruitment and Career Management of Parliamentary Staff.*

https://www.asgp.co/sites/default/files/documents//YLKBFHZZYVBGVWWYLRMYXYHOLTRBEG.pdf.

- Barrett, V. (2019), Parliamentary Administration: What does It Mean to Manage a Parliament Effectively? The Australian National University ProQuest Dissertatios Publishing.
- Battini, S. (1997), *Gli apparati degli organi costituzionali negli Stati Uniti d'America*, in C. D'Orta and F. Garella (eds.), Le amministrazioni degli organi costituzionali: ordinamento italiano e profili comparati, Laterza, p. 500.
- Blischke, W. (1981), *Parliamentary staffs in the German Bundestag*, in Legislative Studies Quarterly, Vol. 6, No. 4, pp. 533–558.
- Bontadini, P. (1983), *Strutture organizzative complesse e dinamiche*, in VV.AA. (ed.), Burocrazia parlamentare. Funzioni, garanzie e limiti, Ufficio stampa e pubblicazioni della Camera dei deputati.
- Campbell, S. and Laporte, J. (1981), *The staff of the parliamentary assemblies in France*, in Legislative Studies Quarterly, Vol. 6, No. 4, pp. 521–531.
- Costa, O. (2003), *Administrer le parlement européen: les paradoxes d'un secrétariat général incontournable, mais faible*, in Politique Européenne, No. 11, pp. 143–161, https://www.cairn.info/revue-politique-europeenne-2003-3-page-143.htm.
- Cozzoli, V. (1997), *Gli apparati degli organi costituzionali nel Regno Unito*, in C. D'Orta and F. Garella (eds.), Le amministrazioni degli organi costituzionali: ordinamento italiano e profili comparati, Laterza, pp. 442 e 446.
- Crewe, E. (2017), *Magi or Mandarins? Contemporary Clerkly Culture*, P. Evans (ed.), Essays on the History of Parliamentary Procedure, In Honour of Thomas Erskine May (Hart Studies in Constitutional Law), Oxford: Hart Publishing, p. 50.
- Dale' Hall Wan Zahlr Sheikh Abdul Rahman (1993), *The Independence and Neutrality of the Parliamentary Service*, in *Constitutional and Parliamentary information*, pp. 42–50.
- https://www.asgp.co/sites/default/files/documents//YGWYQQJMMBXTKYKMWEMUIUAKXNXCXK.pdf.
- de Santos Canalejo, E. (2018), *Evolución y retos de la administración parlamentaria en las Cortes Generales*, in Revista de las Cortes Generales, No. 105, pp. 17–50.
- Dickmann, R. (2014), *Autonomia costituzionale e principio di legalità a garanzia dell'indipendenza delle amministrazioni degli organi costituzionali*, in Forum di Quaderni costituzionali, No 6, pp. 1–3.
- Dirck G. Caboor, P. and Popelie, P. (2023), Belgium's Parliamentary Administration in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds.), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.
- ECPRD (2003), Seminar on Parliamentary Administrations and Legislative Cooperation, 30–31 October, Reports.
- Egeberg, M., Gornitzka, Å, Trondal, J., and Johannessen, M. (2013), *Parliament staff: unpacking the behaviour of officials in the European Parliament*, in Journal of European Public Policy, Vol. 20, No. 4, pp. 495–514.
- English, M. and Murphy, T. (2022), *Parliamentary Administration in Ireland*, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds.), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.
- Finzi, C. (1934), L'autonomia amministrativa ed economica delle Assemblee legislative, *Tipografia della Camera dei deputati*, p. 176.
- Fitsilis, F. and Bayiokos, V. (2017), *Implementing structured public access to the legal reports on bills and law proposals of the Scientific Service of the Hellenic Parliament, Greece*, in Knowledge Management for Development Journal, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 63–80.
- Griglio, E. and Lupo, N. (2021), *Parliamentary administrations in the bicameral systems of Europe: joint or divided?*, in The Journal of Legislative studies, DOI: 10.1080/13572334.2021.1953268.
- Högenauer, A. and Neuhold, C. (2015), *National Parliaments after Lisbon: administrations on the Rise?*, in West European Politics, Vol. 38, No. 2, pp. 335–354, DOI:10.1080/01402382.2014.990698.
- Högenauer, A. L., Neuhold, C., Christiansen, T., and Dobbels, M. (2016). *Administrative Players in the European Parliament*, in Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union, European Administrative Governance Series. Palgrave Macmillan, 33, https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137596260_3.
- Huber, J. D. and McCarty, N. (2004), *Bureaucratic capacity, delegation, and reform*, in American Political Science Review, Vol. 98, No. 3, pp. 481–494.
- Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) (2020), *Comparative Research Paper on Parliamentary Administration*, https://www.ipu.org/fr/ressources/publications/reference/2020-09/etude-comparative-sur-ladministration-parlementaire.
- Jacobs, F. and De Feo, A. (2023), European Parliament's Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds.), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.
- Judge, D. and Earnshaw, D. (2003), The European Parliament, Palgrave Macmillan, p. 176.
- Kölling, M. and Molina, I. (2023), Spain's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen , E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds.), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.
- Konrath, C., Pollak, J., and Slominski, P. (2023), Austria's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds.), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Lomp, A. (2009), The Office of Secretary General, in Association of Secretary General of Parliaments, Geneva, October.

Lupo, N. and Gianniti, L. (2023), Italy's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds.), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Malaschini, A. (2013), *Presidenti di assemblea e amministrazione del Senato*, in Il Filangieri. Quaderno 2012-2013, No. Jovene (publisher) 2012-2013, pp. 275-279.

Mattarella, B. G. (1997), *Gli apparati degli organi costituzionali in Francia*, in C. D'Orta and F. Garella (eds.), Le amministrazioni degli organi costituzionali: ordinamento italiano e profili comparati, Laterza, pp. 413.

Navilli, M. (2007), *Il personale degli apparati serventi delle assemblee parlamentari, Il pubblico impiego non privatizzato*, IV, in L. Viola (ed.), Autorità indipendenti e organi costituzionali, a cura di Franco Carinci e Vito Tenore, Giuffrè, pp. 177–231.

Piccirilli, G. and Zuddas, P. (2012), Assisting Italian MPs in pre-legislative scrutiny: the role played by Chambers' counsellors and legislative advisors in enhancing the knowledge and skills development of Italian MPs: the assistance offered to an autonomous collection of information, in Parliamentary Affairs, Vol. 65, pp. 672–687.

Posteraro, F. (2012–2013), *Presidenti di Assemblea e Amministrazione della Camera*, in Il Filangieri, Quaderno 2012-2013, pp. 267–274.

Romzek, B. S. and Utter, J. A. (1997), *Congressional legislative staff: political professionals or clerks?*, in American Journal of Political Science, Vol. 41, No. 4, pp. 1251–1279.

Ryle, M. T. (1981), *The Legislative Staff of the British House of Commons*, in Legislative Studies Quarterly, Vol. 6, No. 4, pp. 497–519.

Tacea, A. (2023), France's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds.), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Toniato, F. S. (2007), *Servizi e uffici delle amministrazioni parlamentari. Il Senato della Repubblica*, in Rassegna parlamentare: Rivista mensile di studi costituzionali e di documentazione legislativa, Vol. 49, No. 1, pp. 236–244.

Tudela Aranda, J. (2010), *La Administracion parlamentaria en la encrucijada de la renovacion*, in Corts: Anuario de derecho parlamentario, No. 23, pp. 157–191.

Vargas, A., Dias Pinheiro, B., and Fonseca, T. (2022), *Parliamentary Organisation, from Stability to Change*, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds.), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge. Zampetti, U. (2000), *The role of the Secretary General in the Administration of Parliament*, in Constitutional and Parliamentary information, Vol. 50, No. 180, pp. 107–260.

Zampetti, U. (2013), *L'evoluzione dell'amministrazione della Camera dei deputati nel quadro delle principali riforme istituzionali, regolamentari, legislative dell'Italia repubblicana*, in F. Lanchester (ed.), Regolamenti parlamentari e forma di governo: gli ultimi quarant'anni, Giuffrè, pp. 153–177.

Zuddas, P. (2011), Prime osservazioni critiche su una proposta di revisione della disciplina della nomina del Segretario generale della Camera dei deputati: il segretario "di legislatura" e i rischi per la neutralità dell'apparato servente, in Osservatorio sulle fonti, No. 1, pp. 1–13.

The Administration of Bicameral Parliaments

Amellier, M. 1966. Parliaments: A Comparative Study on the Structure and the Functioning of Representative Institutions in Fifty-Five Countries, 2nd ed., London, Cassell.Arter, D. 2006. 'Introduction: comparing the legislative performance of legislatures', in *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 12, 3–4, 245–257.

Association of the Secretary Generals of Parliaments (ASGP) . 2000. Report of the Meeting 'The role of the Secretary-General in the administration of Parliament', Amman, 1–4 May 2000.

Barrett, V. 2019. *Parliamentary Administration: What Does it Mean to Manage a Parliament Effectively?*, The Australian National University ProQuest Dissertations Publishing.

Benoit, C. and Rozenberg, O. (eds). 2020. Handbook of Parliamentary Studies, Cheltenham, Edward Elgard. Bontadini, P.L. 1983. 'Strutture e dinamiche organizzative degli apparati', in VV.AA. (ed), La burocrazia parlamentare: funzioni, garanzie e limiti, Roma, Camera dei deputati, 31–39.

Borthwich, R.L. 2001. 'Methods of Composition of Second Chambers', in N. Baldwin and D. Shell (eds), Second Chambers. London or Portland. Frank Cass. 19–26.

Bradbury, J.C. and Crain, W.M. 2004. 'Bicameralism', in C. Kershaw Rowley and F. Schneider (eds), Encyclopedia of Public Choice, Vol. 2, New York, Kluwer, 39–41.

Christiansen, T., Griglio, E. and Lupo, N. 2021. 'Making representative democracy work: the role of parliamentary administrations in the European Union', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 27, 4, 477–493.

Coniez, H. and Michon, P (eds). 2020. Servir les assemblées. Histoire et dictionnaire de l'administration parlementaire française de 1789 à la fin du XXe siècle, Paris, Mare & Martin.

Coughlan, K. and Cunn, E. 2011. 'Advising and Serving the Houses: From Clerk to Chief Executive', in M. MacCarthaigh and M. Manning (eds), The Houses of the Oireachtas: Parliament in Ireland, Dublin, Institute of Public Administration, 184–204.

Dandoy, R., Dodeigne, J., Reuchamps, M. and Vandeleene A. 2015. 'The new Belgian Senate. A (dis)continued evolution of federalism in Belgium?', in Representation, 51, 3, 327–339.

Diermeir, D. and Myerson, R.B. 1999. 'Bicameralism and its consequences for the internal organization of legislatures', in American Economic Review, 89, 1182–1196.

Díez Picazo, L.M. 1985. La autonomía administrativa de las cámaras parlamentarias, Zaragoza, Studia Albornotiana.

Fasone, C. 2019. 'What is a legislature in the twenty-first century? Classification and evolution of a contested notion', in Federalismi.it, 15.

Fasone, C. 2020. 'Parliaments in Comparative Legal and Political Analyses', in C. Benoit and O. Rozenberg (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Studies, Cheltenham, Edward Elgard, 121–140.

Fasone, C. 2023. 'A Distinct Role of Parliamentary Administrations in Presidential and Parliamentary Systems?', in T. Christiansen , E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

García-Escudero Márquez, P. 1998. 'Articulo 72', in O. Alzaga (ed), Comentario sistemático a la Constitución española de 1978, VI, Madrid, Ed. Cortes Generales y Edersa, 392–430.

Gianniti, L. and Di Cesare, R. 2023. 'The Internal Administrative Structure and the Relationship with the Speaker', in T. Christiansen , E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Griglio, E. 2020. Parliamentary Oversight of the Executives. Tools and Procedures in Europe, Oxford, Hart Publishing.

Griglio, E. and Lupo, N. 2021. 'Parliamentary administrations in the bicameral systems of Europe: joint or divided?', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 27, 4, 513–534.

Gómez, M. C. 2002, October 8–11. Los retos de la gestión del personal en una administración parlamentaria neutral y moderna. Presentation at the VII Congreso Internacional del CLAD sobre la Reforma del Estado y de la Administración Pública, Lisboa, Portugal

http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/CLAD/clad0044006.pdf.

Groen, A. 2023. The Netherland's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen , E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Högenauer, A., Arndt, F. and Koggel, C. 2023. Germany's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Inter-Parliamentary Union . 2020. Comparative Research Paper on Parliamentary Administration. Geneva, IPU.

Jimenéz Blanco, A. 1985. Las relaciones de funcionamiento entre el poder central y los entes territoriales. Supervision, solidaridad, coordinacion, Madrid, Instituto de estudios de administracion local.

Kaniok, P. 2023. Czechia's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Kreppel, A. 2006. 'Understanding the European Parliament from a Federalist Perspective: The Legislatures of the United States and the European Union Compared' in A. Menon and M.A. Schain (eds), Comparative Federalism. The European Union and the United States in Comparative Perspective, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Layman, T. 2023. South Africa's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen , E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Laver, M. 2008. 'Overview: Legislatures and Parliaments in Comparative Context' in B. Weingast and D. Wittman (eds), Oxford Handbook of Political Economy, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Le Divellec, A. 2020. 'Parliament in Constitutional Law', in C. Benoit and O. Rozenberg (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Studies, Cheltenham, Edward Elgard, 102–121.

Lippolis, V. and Lupo, N. (eds) 2013. 'Le trasformazioni del ruolo dei Presidenti delle Camere', in Il Filangieri. Quaderno 2012–2013, Napoli, Jovene, 1–308.

Llanos M. and Nolte, D. 2003. 'Bicameralism in the Americas: around the extremes of symmetry and incongruence', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 9, 3, 54–86.

Lozano Miralles, J. 2005. La administración parlamentaria: Una visión comparada de los parlamentos autonómicos. Presentation at the VII Congreso Español de Ciencia Politica y de la Administración: democracia y Buen Gobierno, 21–23 September 2005. www.aecpa.es.

Lupo, N. 2012. Il ruolo delle burocrazie parlamentari alla luce dei mutamenti dell'assetto istituzionale nazionale e sovranazionale, in Rassegna parlamentare, 54, 1, 51–89.

Lupo, N. 2019. 'Parliaments,' in R. Masterman and R. Schütze (eds), Cambridge Companion to Comparative Constitutional Law, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 335–360.

Lupo, N. and Gianniti, L. 2023. Italy's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Manzella, A. 2003. Il parlamento, III ed., Bologna, Il mulino.

Massicotte, L. 2001. 'Legislative unicameralism: a global survey and a few case studies', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 7, 151–170.

McKenna, C. 2011. Staff of the Houses of the Oireachtas. In M. MacCarthaigh and M. Manning (eds), The Houses of the Oireachtas: Parliament in Ireland, Dublin, Institute of Public Administration, 222–233.

Mill, J.S. 1861. 'On Second Chambers', in J.S. Mill (ed), Considerations on (1861), reprinted Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2010, 238–248.

Mughan, A. and Patterson, A.C. 1999. 'Senates: A Comparative Perspective', in S.C. Patterson and A. Mughan (eds), Senates: Bicameralism in the Contemporary World, Columbus, Ohio State University Press, 333–349.

Norton, P. (ed). 1990. Parliaments in Western Europe, London, Frank Cass.

Pacelli, M. and Giovannetti, G. 2020. Interno Montecitorio. I luoghi, l'istituzione, le persone, III ed., Torino, Giappichelli.

Patterson, S.C. and Mughan, A. 1999. 'Senates and the Theory of Bicameralism', in S.C. Patterson and A. Mughan (eds), Senates: Bicameralism in the Contemporary World, Columbus, Ohio State University Press, 1–31.

Peters, G. 2021. 'Bureaucracy for democracy: administration in support of legislatures', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, 27, 4, 577–594.

Peters, G. 2023. United States' Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen , E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Polsby, N.W. 1968. 'The Institutionalization of the U.S. House of Representatives, in *The American Political Science* Review, 62, 1, 144–168.

Popelier, P. and Caboor, P. 2023. Belgium's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Rasch, B.E. and Tsebelis G. 1995. 'Patterns of Bicameralism', in H. Döring (ed), Parliaments in Western Europe: Majority Rule and Minority Rights, New York, St. Martin Press.

Rose, D., Kenny, C., Hobbs, A. and Tyler, C. 2020. 'Improving the use of evidence in legislatures: the case of the UK Parliament' in Evidence & Policy, 16, 4, 619–638.

Santolaya Machetti, P. 1983. 'En torno al principio de cooperacion' in Revista de Derecho politico, 21, 83–109.

Santos, F. and Saboia, F. 2023. Brazil's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen, E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Solozábal Echavarría, J.J. 1995, 'Estado autonómico', in Enciclopedia jurídica básica, Madrid, Editorial Civitas.

Tacea, A. 2023. France's Parliamentary Administration, in T. Christiansen , E. Griglio and N. Lupo (eds), Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations, Routledge.

Trivelli, L. 1975. Le Bicamérisme. Institutions Comaparées. Étude historique, statistique et critique des rapports entre le conseil national et le conseil des états, Lausanne, Diffusion Payot.

Uhr, J. 2008. 'Bicameralism', in R.A.W. Rhodes , S.A. Binder and B.A. Rothman (eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Political Institutions, Oxford, Oxford University Press. 474–494.

The Europeanization of Parliamentary Administrations

Auel K., Rozenberg O. and Tacea A. (2015) Fighting Back? And, If So, How? Measuring Parliamentary Strength and Activity in EU Affairs. in C. Hefftler, C. Neuhold, O. Rozenberg and J. Smith (eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 60–93. Buskjaer Rasmussen M. and Kluger Dionigi M. (2018) 'National Parliaments' Use of the Political Dialogue: Institutional Lobbyists, Traditionalists or Communicators?', Journal of Common Market Studies 56(5), 1108–1126.

Buzogany A. and Häsing J. (2017) 'Spokes in the Wheel. European Affairs and the Parliamentary Administration of German Landtage', Journal of Legislative Studies, 23(2), 200–220.

Cavatorto S. (2015) Italy: Still Looking for a New Era in the Making of EU Policy. in C. Hefftler , C. Neuhold , O. Rozenberg and J. Smith (eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 209–231.

Christiansen T., Högenauer A.L. and Neuhold C. (2014) 'National Parliaments in the post-Lisbon European Union: Bureaucratization rather than Democratization?' Comparative European Politics 12, 121–140.

Gattermann K., Högenauer A.L. and Huff A. (2016) 'Research Note: Studying a New Phase of Europeanisation of National Parliaments', European Political Science 15, 89–107.

Hefftler C., Neuhold C., Rozenberg O. and Smith J. (2015) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments in the European Union, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Högenauer A.L. (2021) 'The Mainstreaming of EU Affairs: A Challenge for Parliamentary Administrations', The Journal of Legislative Studies 27(4), 535–553.

Högenauer A.L. and Neuhold C. (2015) 'National Parliaments After Lisbon: Administrations on the Rise?', West European Politics 38(2), 335–354.

Högenauer A.L., Neuhold C. and Christiansen T. (2016) Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Högenauer, A.L. (2015) The Dutch Parliament and EU Affairs: Decentralizing Scrutiny. in C. Hefftler, C. Neuhold, O. Rozenberg and J. Smith (eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 252–271.

Högenauer, A.L. and Christiansen, T. (2015) Parliamentary Administrations in the Scrutiny of EU Decision-Making. in C. Hefftler, C. Neuhold, O. Rozenberg and J. Smith (eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 116–132.

Karlas J. (2012) 'National Parliamentary Control of EU Affairs: Institutional Design after Enlargement', West European Politics 35(5), 1095–1113.

Malang T., Brandenberger L. and Leifeld P. (2017) 'Networks and Social Influence in European Legislative Politics', British Journal of Political Science 49(4), 1475–1498.

Mastenbroek, E., Zwaan, P., Groen, A., Meurs, W., Reiding, H., Dörrenbächer, N. and Neuhold, C. (2014) Engaging with Europe. Evaluating national parliamentary control of EU decision making after the Lisbon Treaty, Report prepared for the Dutch Tweede Kamer.

Maurer, A. and Wessels, W. (eds.) (2001) National Parliaments on their Ways to Europe: Losers or Latecomers?, Baden-Baden: Nomos.

Neuhold, C. and Högenauer, A.L. (2016) 'An Information Network of Officials? Dissecting the Role and Nature of the Network of Parliamentary Representatives in the EP', Journal of Legislative Studies 22(2), 237–256.

Norton, P. (ed.) (1996) National Parliaments and the European Union, London: Frank Cass.

O'Brennan, J. and Raunio, T. (eds.) (2007) National Parliaments within the Enlarged European Union, London: Routledge.

Raunio, T. and Wiberg, M. (2009) 'How to Measure the Europeanisation of a National Legislature?' Scandinavian Political Studies 33(1): 74–92.

Rohleder K. and Schöler U. (2013) Die Europäisierung und andere aktuelle Herausforderungen für die Wissenschaftlichen Dienste des Deutschen Bundestages. in Eberbach-Born, B., Kropp, S., Stuchlik, A. and Zeh, W. (eds.). Parlamentarische Kontrolle und Europäische Union. Baden-Baden: Nomos, 151–180. Strelkov A. (2015) 'Who Controls National EU Scrutiny? Parliamentary Party Groups, Committees and Administrations', West European Politics 38(2), 355–374.

Tacea A. (2015) The Slow Adaptation of a New Member State: The Romanian Parliament and European Integration. in C. Hefftler, C. Neuhold, O. Rozenberg and J. Smith (eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 613–631.

Thomas A. and Tacea A. (2015) The French Parliament and the European Union: 'Shadow Control' through the Government Majority. in C. Hefftler, C. Neuhold, O. Rozenberg and J. Smith (eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 170–190. von Winter, T. (2006) Die Wissenschaftlichen Dienste des Deutschen Bundestages. in Falk, S., Rehfeld, D., Römmele, A. and Thunert, M. (eds.). Hanbuch Politikberatung. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag, 198–214. Winzen T. (2014) 'Bureaucracy and Democracy: Intra-Parliamentary Delegation in European Union Affairs',

Winzen T. (2014) 'Bureaucracy and Democracy: Intra-Parliamentary Delegation in European Union Affairs', Journal of European Integration 36(7), 677–695.

Winzen, T. (2012) 'National Parliamentary Control of European Union Affairs: A Cross-National and Longitudinal Comparison', West European Politics 35(3), 657–672.

Parliamentary Diplomacy

Aberbach, J.D., Putnam R.D., & Rockman, B.A. (1981). Bureaucrats and Politicians in Western Democracies. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Albers, M. (2012). Between the Crisis of Democracy and World Parliament: The Development of the Inter-Parliamentary Union in the 1920s. Journal of Global History, 7 (2), 189–209.

Barany, Z., & Moser, R.G. (Eds.). (2009). Is Democracy Exportable? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Beetham, D. (2006). Parliament and Democracy in the Twenty-First Century: A Guide to Good Practice. Geneva: Inter-Parliamentary Union.

Briceño-Ruiz, J. (2019). The Crisis in Venezuela: A New Chapter, or the Final Chapter?. Latin American Policy, 10 (1), 180–189.

Chohan, U. W., & Jacobs, K. (2017). The Presidentialisation Thesis and Parliamentary Budget Offices. Parliamentary Affairs, 70 (2), 361–376.

Commonwealth Parliamentary Association (CPA), Recommended Benchmarks for Democratic Legislatures (2018), London, CPA, accessed at https://www.cpahq.org/media/l0jjk2nh/recommended-benchmarks-for-democratic-legislatures-updated-2018-final-online-version-single.pdf.

De Vrieze, F. (2016). The South-East European Cooperation Process and Its New Parliamentary Assembly: Regional Dialogue in Action. The Hague Journal of Diplomacy, *11* (2–3), 215–234.

De Vrieze, F., & Meyer-Resende, M. (2015). Global Mapping and Analysis of Parliamentary Strengthening Programs: Report: A Study for the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation SDC, accessed at https://doc.rero.ch/record/279036/files/02-

Global_mapping_and_analysis_of_parliamentary_strengthening.pdf.

European Parliament (2016). Report and Roadmap on Internal Reform and Capacity-Building for the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine, Brussels, European Parliament, accessed at

https://www.europarl.europa.eu/resources/library/media/20160229RES16408/20160229RES16408.pdf.

European Parliament (2019). EP Democracy Support Activities and Their Follow-Up, and Prospects for the Future, Brussels, European Parliament Policy Department for External Relations, accessed at https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document.html?reference=EXPO STU(2019)603474.

Fitsilis, F., & Koutsogiannis, A. (2017). Strengthening the Capacity of Parliaments through Development of Parliamentary Research Services. Working paper, 13th Workshop of Parliamentary Scholars and Parliamentarians, 29–30 July 2017, Oxfordshire, UK, accessed at.

Fitsilis, F. (2018). Inter-Parliamentary Cooperation and Its Administrators. Perspectives on Federalism, 10 (3), accessed at http://www.on-federalism.eu/attachments/307_download.pdf on 25 May 2021.

Fonck, D. (2018). Parliamentary Diplomacy and Legislative-Executive Relations in EU Foreign Policy: Studying the European Parliament's Mediation of the Macedonian Political Crisis (2015–17). JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies, *56* (6), 1305–1322.

German Bundestag (2019). Report on the International Activities and Obligations of the German Bundestag, October 24, 2017 to September 30, 2019.

German Ministry of Foreign Affairs (2016). Bundestag and Foreign Policy, AAIntern, 7/2016.

Griglio, E., & Lupo, N. (2018). Inter-parliamentary Cooperation in the EU and outside the Union: Distinctive Features and Limits of the European Experience. Perspectives on Federalism, 10 (3), 56–82.

Hamilton, G. (2016). Parliamentary Diplomacy: Diplomacy with a Democratic Mandate. Geneva, Associations of Secretaries General of Parliaments, Inter-Parliamentary Union.

Immenkamp B., & Bentzen N. (2019) Parliamentary Diplomacy: Democracy Support at the European Parliament. In: Costa O. (ed) The European Parliament in Times of EU Crisis. European Administrative Governance. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, 413–437.

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) (2018). World e-Parliament Report 2018, IPU, Geneva, accessed at https://www.ipu.org/resources/publications/reports/2018-11/world-e-parliament-report-2018.

Jönsson, C., & Johnsson, A. (2018). Parliaments in Global Governance. Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations, *24* (3), 309–320.

Kuzio, T. (2017). Ukraine Between a Constrained EU and Assertive Russia. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies, *55* (1), 103–120.

Levy, B. (2010). Democracy Support and Development Aid: The Case for Principled Agnosticism. Journal of Democracy, 21 (4), 27–34.

Malang, T., Brandenberger, L., & Leifeld, P. (2019). Networks and Social Influence in European Legislative Politics. British Journal of Political Science, 49 (4), 1475–1498.

Murphy, J. (2010). Engaging and Supporting Parliaments Worldwide: Strategies and Methodologies for EC Action in Support to Parliaments, Brussels, European Commission. Accessed at

https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/b5494163-8654-48a1-8d5c-4e5f265ca6e2.

Nitoiu, C., & Sus, M. (2017). The European Parliament's Diplomacy—A Tool for Projecting EU Power in Times of Crisis? The Case of the Cox–Kwasniewski Mission. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies, *55* (1), 71–86.

O'Brien, M., Stapenhurst, R., & Von Trapp, L. (Eds.). (2016). Benchmarking and Self-Assessment for Parliaments. Washington, DC: The World Bank.

Pegan, A., & Högenauer, A-L. (2016). The Role of Parliamentary Administrations in Interparliamentary Cooperation. In Interparliamentary Cooperation in the Composite European Constitution. New York,

Bloomsbury, 147–164.

Redei, L. (2019). MEPs as Mediators: An Emerging Trend of Parliamentary Diplomacy?. In Parliamentary Cooperation and Diplomacy in EU External Relations. Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.

Redei, L., & Romanyshyn, I. (2019). Non-Parliamentary Diplomacy: The European Parliament's Diplomatic Mission to Ukraine. European Foreign Affairs Review, *24* (1), 61–79.

Rocabert, J., Schimmelfennig, F., Crasnic, L., & Winzen, T. (2019). The Rise of International Parliamentary Institutions: Purpose and Legitimation. The Review of International Organizations, *14* (4), 607–631.

Senate of Italy (2018). Repertorio della diplomazia parlamentare mondiale, Rome, Senate of the Republic, accessed at

https://www.senato.it/application/xmanager/projects/leg18/file/Repertorio_diplomazia_parlamentare.pdf on January 21 2021.

Senate of the Netherlands (2010). "Parliamentary Diplomacy", Memorandum Adopted by the Committee of Senior Members of the Senate of the States General on 16 November 2010, accessed at https://www.statengeneraal.nl/eu/id/vikslch65iv8/document_extern/parliamentary_diplomacy/f=/viksld10w1vg.pdf on 27 May 2021.

Stavridis, S., & Jancic, D. (Eds.). (2017). Parliamentary Diplomacy in European and Global Governance. Leiden, Brill.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) (2009). Parliamentary Development UNDP Strategy Note, UNDP, New York, accessed at

https://www.undp.org/content/dam/aplaws/publication/en/publications/democratic-governance/dg-publications-for-website/parliamentary-development-strategy-note-/PD Strategy Note.pdf.

van Gruisen, P., & Huysmans, M. (2020). The Early Warning System and policymaking in the European Union. European Union Politics, *21* (3), 451–473.

Watera, J. (2018). Readiness Assessment of Parliaments to Engage with the Sustainable Development Goals: Application of the Self-Assessment Toolkit. International Journal of Technology and Management, 3 (2), 6.

Weisglas, F., & de Boer, G. (2007). Parliamentary Diplomacy. The Hague Journal of Diplomacy, 2 (1), 93–99

Yong, B., Davies, G., & Leston-Bandeira, C. (2019). Tacticians, Stewards, and Professionals: The Politics of Publishing Select Committee Legal Advice. Journal of Law and Society, 46 (3), 367–395.

Parliamentary Administration Facing the Digital Challenge

Agarwal, P., Sastry, N. and Wood, E. (2019) Tweeting MPs: Digital engagement between citizens and members of parliament in the UK. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media* 13, pp. 26–37.

Allan, R. (2006) Parliament, Elected Representatives and Technology 1997–2005—Good in Parts? Parliamentary Affairs 95(2), pp. 360–365.

Anghelescu, H. G. (2010). Historical Overview: The Parliamentary Library from Past to Present. Library Trends 58(4), pp. 418–433.

Barraclough, T., Fraser, H. and Barnes, C. (2021) Legislation as Code for New Zealand: Opportunities Risks and Recommendations. Auckland, NZ: Brainbox Institute. Available at: https://www.brainbox.institute/law-ascode (accessed 27 March 2021).

Bar-Siman-Tov, I., Rozenberg, O., Benoît, C., Waismel-Manor, I. and Levanon, A. (2021) Measuring Legislative Activity during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Introducing the ParlAct and ParlTech Indexes. International Journal of Parliamentary Studies 1(1), pp. 109–126.

Bellmann, L. and Hübler, O. (2020) Working from Home, Job Satisfaction and Work–Life Balance–Robust or Heterogeneous Links? International Journal of Manpower. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-10-2019-0458 (accessed 25 March 2021).

Bressanelli, E. (2021) Investing in Destabilisation: How Foreign Money is Used to Undermine Democracy in the EU. Study Requested by the INGE Committee, European Parliament, PE 653.631.

Bürgin, A. (2019) The Implications of the Better Regulation Agenda for the European Parliament's Inter-and Intra-Institutional Power Relations. Journal of European Integration 41(2), pp.187–202.

Campbell, A., Harrop, A. and Thomson, B. (1999). Towards the Virtual Parliament – What Computers Can Do For MPs. Parliamentary Affairs 52(3), pp. 388–403.

Chasalow, I. (1961) The First National Law and Electronics Conference. American Behavioral Scientist 4(7), pp. 31–34.

Chew, M.Y.L., Teo, E.A.L., Shah, K.W., Kumar, V. and Hussein, G.F. (2020) Evaluating the Roadmap of 5G Technology Implementation for Smart Building and Facilities Management in Singapore. Sustainability

12(24), p. 10259.

(accessed 8 November 2022).

Coleman, S., Taylor, J. and van de Donk, W. (1999) Parliament in the Age of the Internet. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Coutinho, D.R., Kira, B., Lessa, M.R. and de Castro, H.A. (2017) Participatory Democracy and Law-Making in Contemporary Brazil. The Theory and Practice of Legislation 5(3), pp. 225–243.

Davies, R. (1999) The Web of Politics: The Internet's Impact on the American Political System. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

de Barros, A.T., Bernardes, C.B. and Rehbein, M. (2016) Brazilian Parliament and Digital Engagement. The Journal of Legislative Studies 22(4), pp. 540–558.

de Campos, L.M., Fernández-Luna, J.M., Huete, J.F. and Redondo-Expósito, L. (2018) Positive Unlabeled Learning for Building Recommender Systems in a Parliamentary Setting. Information Sciences 433, pp. 221–232.

de Vrieze, F. and Norton, P. (2020) Parliaments and Post-Legislative Scrutiny. London: Routledge. Deakin, S. and Markou, C. (2020) Is Law Computable? Critical Perspectives on Law and Artificial Intelligence. Oxford: Hart Publishing.

European Commission (n.d.) Interoperable Europe Academy. Available at:

https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/collection/digital-skills-public-sector/solution/interoperable-europe-academy. Fallon, F., Allen, B. and Williamson, A. (2011) Parliament 2020: Visioning the Future Parliament - International Comparison: Australia, Canada, Chile and the United Kingdom. Hansard Society, London. Available at: https://www.readkong.com/page/parliament-2020-visioning-the-future-parliament-9309417

Feddersen, M. and Santana, L. E. (2021) Unpacking the Democratic Affordances of CrowdLaw Concept and Practice: 'It feels like being part of the game', *Politics*. Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1177/0263395720973850 (accessed 27 March 2021).

Ferguson, R. (2006). Digital Dialogues. London: Hansard Society.

Fitsilis, F. (2019) Imposing Regulation on Advanced Algorithms. Cham: Springer.

Fitsilis, F. and Pliakogianni, A. (2021) The Hellenic Parliament's Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic – A Balancing Act between Necessity and Realism. IALS Student Law Review 8(1), pp. 19–27.

Fitsilis, F. and Stavridis, S. (2021) The Hellenic Parliament's Use of Digital Media in its response to the 2019 Turkey-Libya Memorandum of Understanding on Maritime Boundaries in the Mediterranean Sea: A Preliminary Assessment. GreeSE Papers 163. London: Hellenic Observatory, London School of Economics and Political Science. Available at: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/id/eprint/111929 (accessed 8 November 2022).

Fitsilis, F., Koryzis, D., Svolopoulos, V. and Spiliotopoulos, D. (2017) Implementing Digital Parliament Innovative Concepts for Citizens and Policy Makers. In Nah F.H., Tan C.H. (eds) HCI in Business, Government and Organizations. Interacting with Information Systems. HCIBGO 2017. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 10293. Cham: Springer.

Gartner (2020) 5 Trends Drive the Gartner Hype Cycle for Emerging Technologies, 2020. Available at: https://www.gartner.com/smarterwithgartner/5-trends-drive-the-gartner-hype-cycle-for-emerging-technologies-2020/ (accessed 30 March 2021).

Giddings, P. (2005). The Future of Parliament: Issues for a New Century. NYC: Palgrave Macmillan. Griffith, J. and Leston-Bandeira, C. (2012). How Are Parliaments Using New Media to Engage with Citizens? The Journal of Legislative Studies 18(3–4), pp. 496–513.

Griglio, E. (2020) Parliamentary Oversight Under the Covid-19 Emergency: Striving Against Executive Dominance. The Theory and Practice of Legislation 8(1–2), pp. 49–70.

Hoff, J. (2004), Members of Parliaments' Use of ICT in a Comparative European Perspective. Information Polity 9(1, 2), pp. 5–16.

Hoff, J., Coleman, S., Filzmaier, P. and Cardoso, G. (2004) Use of ICT by Members of Parliament. Information Polity 9(1, 2), pp. 1–4.

Hughes, D.L., Dwivedi, Y.K., Simintiras, A.C. and Rana, N.P. (2016) *Success and Failure of IS/IT Projects.* A State of the Art Analysis and Future Directions. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

IPU (2018) World e-Parliament Report 2018. Geneva: IPU. Available at:

https://www.ipu.org/resources/publications/reports/2018-11/world-e-parliament-report-2018 (accessed 16 March 2021).

IPU (2021a) Social Media Guide for Parliaments and Parliamentarians. Geneva: IPU. Available at: https://www.ipu.org/resources/publications/reference/2021-02/social-media-guidelines (accessed 16 March 2021).

IPU (2021b) Lessons from the Pandemic. In World e-Parliament Report 2020, Geneva: IPU.

Kelly, A.J. (2020) A Computer Language Model for Digitising New Zealand Statute Law. Loophole 1, pp. 2–25. Available at: https://www.calc.ngo/sites/default/files/loophole/Loophole%20-%202020-01%20%282020-02-09%29.pdf (accessed 16 March 2021).

Kies, R., Mendez, F., Schmitter, P. and Trechsel, A. (2004) Evaluation of the Use of New Technologies In Order To Facilitate Democracy in Europe. Public Report for the Scientific and Technological Option Assessment (STOA), European Parliament Directorate-General for Research, European Parliament, STOA 116 EN 10-2003.

Koryzis, D., Dalas, A., Spiliotopoulos, D. and Fitsilis, F. (2021) ParlTech: Transformation Framework for the Digital Parliament. Big Data and Cognitive Computing 5(1), pp. 15–31.

Kouroubali, A. and Katehakis, D.G. (2019) The New European Interoperability Framework as a Facilitator of Digital Transformation for Citizen Empowerment. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 94, p. 103166.

Lawson, G. (1998) NetState: Creating Electronic Government. London: Demos.

Leston-Bandeira, C. (2007) The Impact of the Internet on Parliaments: A Legislative Studies Framework. Parliamentary Affairs *60* (4), pp. 655–674.

Leston-Bandeira, C. (2019) Parliamentary Petitions and Public Engagement: An Empirical Analysis of the Role of E-Petitions. Policy & Politics 47(3), pp. 415–436.

Leventis, S., Fitsilis, F. and Anastasiou, V. (2021) Diversification of Legislation Editing Open Software (LEOS) Using Software Agents—Transforming Parliamentary Control of the Hellenic Parliament into Big Open Legal Data. Big Data and Cognitive Computing 5(3), p. 45.

Malanga, K.N. (2015) Evaluation of open source software with QualiPSO OMM: a case for Bungeni and AT4AM for all. In *Paper Presented at the Free and Open Source Software Conférence (FOSSC-15) in Muscat*, February 18–19, 2015. Available at: http://41.89.227.156:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/256 (accessed 27 March 2021).

McAdam, R. and Donaghy, J. (1999) Business Process Re-Engineering in the Public Sector: A Study of Staff Perceptions and Critical Success Factors. Business Process Management Journal 5(1), pp. 33–52.

Mohun, J. and Roberts, A. (2020) Cracking the Code: Rulemaking for Humans and Machines. OECD Working Papers on Public Governance, No. 42, OECD Publishing, Paris. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1787/3afe6ba5-en (accessed 21 March 2021).

Montag, C. and Diefenbach, S. (2018) Towards Homo Digitalis: Important Research Issues for Psychology and the Neurosciences at the Dawn of the Internet of Things and the Digital Society. Sustainability 10(2), p. 415

Norris, P. (2001) Digital Divide: Civic Engagement, Information Poverty, and the Internet Worldwide. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

OASIS (2020) OASIS LegalDocumentML (LegalDocML) TC. Available at: https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=legaldocml (accessed 21 March 2021).

Orlikowski, W. J. and Barley, S. R. (2001). Technology and Institutions: What can Research on Information Technology and Research on Organizations Learn from Each Other? Management Information Systems Quarterly 25(2), pp. 145–165.

ParlAmericas (2020) COVID-19 and Parliaments Role During a Pandemic. Available at: http://parlamericas.org/uploads/documents/COVID19_and_Role_of_Parliaments_ENG.pdf (accessed 30 March 2021).

Poblet, M., Casanovas, P. and Rodríguez-Doncel, V. (2019) Multilayered Linked Democracy. In Linked Democracy (pp. 51–74). Cham: Springer.

Sartor, G., Palmirani, M., Francesconi, E. and Biasiotti, M.A. (2011) Legislative XML for the Semantic Web: Principles, Models, Standards for Document Management (Vol. 4). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.

Scherpereel, J.A., Wohlgemuth, J. and Schmelzinger, M. (2017) The Adoption and Use of Twitter as a Representational Tool Among Members of the European Parliament. European Politics and Society 18(2), pp. 111–127.

Schlosberg, D., Zavestoski, S. and Shulman, S.W. (2008) Democracy and E-Rulemaking: Web-Based Technologies, Participation, and the Potential for Deliberation. Journal of Information Technology and Politics 4(1), pp. 37–55.

Spurk, D. and Straub, C. (2020) Flexible Employment Relationships and Careers in Times of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of Vocational Behavior 119, p. 103435.

Stavropoulou, S., Romas, I., Tsekeridou, S., Loutsaris, M.A., Lampoltshammer, T., Thurnay, L., Virkar, S., Schefbeck, G., Kyriakou, N., Lachana, Z. and Alexopoulos, C. (2020) Architecting an innovative big open legal data analytics, search and retrieval platform. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance* (pp. 723–730). NY: ACM.

Strawn, G. (2021) Open Science and the Hype Cycle. Data Intelligence 3(1), pp. 88-94.

Thompson, J.D. and Bates, F.L. (1957) Technology, Organization, and Administration. Administrative Science Quarterly 2(3), pp. 325–343.

Tsekeris, C. (2019) Surviving and Thriving in the Fourth Industrial Revolution: Digital Skills for Education and Society. Homo Virtualis 2(1), pp. 34–42.

US House of Representatives (2018) Technology Timeline. Available at:

https://xml.house.gov/resources/TechTimeline.htm (accessed 26 March 2021).

Vedel, T. (2006) The Idea of Electronic Democracy: Origins, Visions and Questions. Parliamentary Affairs 59(2), pp. 226–235.

W3C (2019) Main Page. The Standards. Available at: https://www.w3.org/2001/sw/wiki/Main_Page (accessed 25 March 2021).

Wachter, S., Mittelstadt, B. and Russell, C. (2018) Counterfactual Explanations without Opening the Black Box: Automated Decisions and the GDPR. Harvard Journal of Law and Technology 31(2), p. 841–887.

Ward, S. and Lusoli, W. (2005) 'From Weird to Wired': MPs, the Internet and Representative Politics in the UK'. The Journal of Legislative Studies 11(1), pp. 57–81.

WFD (2021) Pandemic Democracy Tracker. Available at: https://tracker.wfd.org/ (accessed 24 March 2021). Williamson, A. and Fallon, F. (2011) Transforming the Future Parliament through the Effective use of Digital Media. Parliamentary Affairs *64* (4), pp. 781–792.

Wresch, W. (1996) Disconnected: Haves and Have-Nots in the Information Age. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.

Parliamentary Administrations and the Provision of Scientific Expertise

Antonelli, F. (2020), *Emerging Aspects in Technocratic Politics at the Time of the SARS COVID19 Crisis*, Rivista trimestrale di Scienza dell'Amministrazione, 2, http://rtsa.eu/RTSA 2 2020 Antonelli.pdf

Arendt, H. (2006) [1968], Truth and Politics in Between Past and Future, London, Penguin.

Beetsma, R., Debrun, R., Fang, X. et al. (2018), *Independent Fiscal Councils: Recent Trends and Performance*, Washington, IMF Working Papers. Available at

https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/journals/001/2018/068/001.2018.issue-068-en.xml?Tabs=contentSummary-102775

Benoit, C. and Rozenberg, O. (2020), *Introduction*, in C. Benoit and O. Rozenberg (eds.), *Handbook of Parliamentary Studies. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Legislatures*, Cheltenham, Northampton, Elgar Publishing.

Böcher, M. (2019), *Politikberatung – notwendig, aber auch erfolgreich? Modelle und Kontroversen wissenschaftlicher Politikberatung.* Available at

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333652432 Politikberatung -

_notwendig_aber_auch_erfolgreich_Modelle_und_Kontroversen_wissenschaftlicher_Politikberatung (accessed on 15 April 2021).

Bradley, R.B. (1980), *Motivations In Legislative Information Use*, Legislative Studies Quarterly, V, (3) (August), 393–406.

Burke, P. (2012), A Social History of Knowledge. From the Encyclopédie to Wikipedia, Cambridge, Polity Press.

Cole, J.Y. (2004), *The Congressional Research Service*, in J.Y. Cole and J. Aikin (eds.), Encyclopaedia of the Library of the Congress: For Congress, the Nation and the World, Washington, DC, The Library of the Congress.

CRS - Congressional Research Service (2020), Annual Report 2019.

https://www.loc.gov/crsinfo/about/crs19 annrpt.pdf

Douglas, M. (1986), How Institutions Think, Syracuse, Syracuse university Press.

ECPRD – European Centre for Parliamentary Research and Documentation (2019), *Statutes of the EPRS*. Available at https://ecprd.secure.europarl.europa.eu/ecprd/public/page/about

EPRS – European Parliament Research Service (2016), Parliamentary Democracy in Action. Knowledge Support Functions: European Parliament, US Congress, German Bundestag, UK House of Commons, Italian Camera dei deputati, French Assemblée Nationale.

Esmark, A. (2017). The Technocratic Take-Over of Democracy: Connectivity, Reflexivity and Accountability. Paper prepared for ICPP 2017, Singapore. Retrieved from:

https://www.ippapublicpolicy.org/file/paper/594bba371f736.pdf (accessed on 30 May 2020).

Fitsilis, F. and V. Bayiokos (2017), *Implementing Structured Public Access to the Legal Reports on Bills and Law Proposals of the Scientific Service of the Hellenic Parliament*, Knowledge Management for Development Journal, 13 (2), 63–80.

Floridi, L. (2014), *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere is Reshaping Human Reality*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Gianniti, L. and Lupo, N. (2023), Italy's Parliamentary Administration, pp. 306–319, in T Christiansen, E Griglio and N Lupo (eds), *Handbook on Parliamentary Administrations*, London, Routledge.

Holst, C. and Molander, A. (2017), *Public Deliberation and the Fact of Expertise: Making Experts Accountable*, Social Epistemology, 31 (3), 235–250.

IPU and IFLA (2015). *Guidelines for Parliamentary Research Services*. [online] Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) and the International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions (IFLA). Available at http://www.ipu.org/pdf/publications/research-en.pdf (accessed on 10 July 2017).

Krueper, J. (2016), *Das Wissen des Parlament*, in M. Morlok, U. Schliesky and D. Wiefelspuetz (eds.), Parlamentsrecht. Praxishandbuch, Baden, Nomos, pp. 1141 ss.

Miller, R., Pelizzo, R. and Stapenhurst, R. (2004). Parliamentary Libraries, Institutes and Offices: The Sources of Parliamentary Information, Washington, DC: World Bank Institute. Available at http://siteresources.worldbank.org/PSGLP/Resources/ParliamentaryLibrariesInstitutesandOffices.pdf (accessed on 11 July 2020).

Morrone, A. (2009), *Constitutional Adjudication and the Principle of Reasonablness*, in G. Bongiovanni, G. Sartor and C. Valentini (eds.), Reasonableness and Law, Berlin, Springer, pp. 215–242.

Nentwich, M. (2016), Parliamentary Technology Assessment Institutions and Practices. A Systematic Comparison of 15 Members of the EPTA Network, Vienna, Institute of Technology Assessment.

Nichols, T. (2017), *The Death of Expertise. The Campaign Against Established Knowledge and Why It Matters*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Papazoski, Z. (2013). Development of Parliamentary Research Services in Central Europe and the Western Balkans. [online] Washington, DC: National Democratic Institute for International Affairs. Available at https://www.ndi.org/sites/default/files/developmentof-parliamentary-research-services-CEE.pdf (accessed on 11 July 2017).

Payandeh, M. (2011), Das Gebot der Folgerichtigkeit – Rationalitaetgewinn oder Irrweg der Grundrechtsdogmatik, Archiv des oeffentlichen Rechts, 136, 578–625.

Rizzoni, G. (2021a), *Il Parlamento dal moderno al contemporaneo. A proposito dell'Elogio dell'assemblea, tuttavia di Andrea Manzella*, Diritto pubblico, 243–260.

Rizzoni, G. (2021b), *Parliamentarism and Encyclopaedism. How Parliaments Produce and Elaborate Knowledge*, Luiss School of Government Working Papers, 65.

Robinson W.H. and Miko, F. (1994) *Political Development Assistance in Central Europe and the Former Soviet Union: Some Lessons From Experience*, in L.D. Longley (ed.), Working Papers on Comparative Legislative Studies, Appleton, Research Committee of Legislative Specialists of IPSA, pp. 409–430. Schick, R. and Hahn, G. (1994), *The Reference and Research Services of the German Bundestag*, Government Information Quarterly, 12 (2), 141–161.

Taleb N.N. (2007). The Black Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable, New York, NY, Penguin Random House.

Teuber, C. (2006), Parlamentarische Informationsrechte. Eine Untersuchung and den Beispielen des Bundestages und des Landtages Nordrhein-Westfalen, Berlin, Duncker & Humblot.

Thompson, M. (2016), *Enough Said. What is Wrong with the Language of Politics*, London, Badley Head. Urbinati, N. (2006), Representative Democracy. Principles and Genealogy, Chicago and London, The University of Chicago Press.

Venturini, F. (2019), Libri, lettori e biblioteche a Montecitorio. Storia della Biblioteca della Camera dei deputati, Milano, Wolters Kluwer, Cedam.

Watt, I., 2016, Agnotology and Knowledge Management in Parliamentary Research Services and Libraries, Paper for the ECPRD Seminar 'Innovative Services for Parliamentary Libraries, Research Services and Archives', 9 September 2016, Oslo, Norway. Available at

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/lain_Watt3/publication/308900243_Agnotology_and_knowledge_manag ement_in_parliamentary_research_library_services/links/57f6228a08ae91deaa5e743e/Agnotology-and-knowledge-management-in-parliamentary-research-library-services.pdf?origin=publication_detail Weber, M. (2004) [1919], The Vocation Lectures: Science As a Vocation, Politics As a Vocation, Indianapolis, IN, Hackett Publishing.

Austria's Parliamentary Administration

Auel, Katrin, Eisele, Olga and Kinski, Lucy (2016): From Constraining to Catalysing Dissensus? The Impact of Political Contestation on Parliamentary Communication in EU Affairs. Comparative European Politics, 14 (2), pp. 154–176.

Blümel, Barbara (2021): Anliegen der BürgerInnen. Petitionen und Bürgerinitiativen im Parlament, in Sieglinde Rosenberger and Benedikt Seisl (eds.), Petitionen in Österreich. AkteurInnen – Prozesse – Funktion, Wien: Parlamentsdirektion, pp. 74–81.

Blümel, Barbara (2022): Report on Enquiry Service of the Austrian Parliamentary Administration, International Journal of Parliamentary Studies, 2 (2), (online first), https://doi.org/10.1163/26668912-bja10042.

Downes, Ronnie, von Trapp, Lisa and Jansen, Juliane (2018): Budgeting in Austria. OECD Journal on Budgeting 18 (1), pp. 1–84.

Grabenwarter, Christoph (2015): Constitutional Law, in Christoph Grabenwarter and Martin Schauer (eds.), Introduction to the Law of Austria, Alphen aan de Rijn: Wolters Kluwer.

Hausmaninger, Herbert (2000): The Austrian Legal System, Wien: Manz.

Heinisch, Reinhard and Christoph Konrath (2023): Bundespräsident, Bundesregierung und Parlament, in Markus Senn , Franz Eder and Markus Kornprobst (eds.), Handbuch Außenpolitik Österreichs, Wiesbaden: Springer VS, pp. 51–75.

Högenauer, Anna-Lena (2021): The Mainstreaming of EU Affairs: A Challenge for Parliamentary Administrations. Journal of Legislative Studies, (online first), https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2021.1965364.

Kneucker, Raoul (2020): Bürokratische Demokratie, demokratische Bürokratie, Wien: Böhlau.

Konrath, Christoph (2017): Parlamentarische Opposition in Österreich: Recht und Praxis in Zeiten eines fragmentierten Parteiensystems. Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen, 48 (3), pp. 557–574.

Konrath, Christoph (2020): Regierung und Parlament: Organisation und Praxis, in: Reinhard Heinisch (ed.), Kritisches Handbuch der österreichischen Demokratie, Wien: Böhlau, pp. 203–256.

Miklin, Eric (2015): The Austrian Parliament and EU Affairs: Gradually Living Up to Its Legal Potential, in: Claudia Hefftler et al. (eds.), The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, Houndsmills: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 389–405.

Pegan, Andreja (2015): An Analysis of Legislative Assistance in the European Parliament, Doctoral Thesis University of Luxembourg.

Pogatschnigg, Ilse (2006): Die Klubbildung im österreichischen Parlament, Frankfurt: Peter Lang. Pollak, Johannes and Slominski, Peter (2014): The Silence of the Shepherds: How the Austrian Parliament Informs its Citizens on European Issues. Journal of Legislative Studies, 20 (1) 2014, pp. 109–124. Posnik, Rosi (2016): Tätigkeit der Parlamentsverwaltung für BürgerInnen – ein Überblick, Journal für Rechtspolitik, 24, pp. 27–31.

Rattinger, Christof and Wagner, Gerlinde (2021): Aktuelle Rechtsfragen zum Gesetzgebungsverfahren und zum Ibiza-Untersuchungsausschuss, in Gerhard Baumgartner (ed.), Öffentliches Recht Jahrbuch, Wien: Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag, pp. 246–278.

Schefbeck, Günther (2007): Auf dem Weg zur E-Konsultation? Zur Praxis "deliberativer Politik" in Österreich, in: Alexander Prosser and Peter Parycek (eds.), *Elektronische Demokratie in Österreich*, Wien: Österreichische Computer Gesellschaft.

Schefbeck, Günther (2013a): Parlamentsverwaltung auf dem Weg zur Autonomie, *Mitteilungen des Österreichischen Staatsarchivs* – Sonderband 12.

Schefbeck, Günther (2013b): Law-Making Support through ICT: The Austrian "E-Law" System. eGov Präsenz 12 (2), pp. 82–84.

Siess-Scherz, Ingrid (2019): Artikel 30 Bundes-Verfassungsgesetz, in: Georg Lienbacher and Benjamin Kneihs (eds.), *Rill-Schäffer-Kommentar Bundesverfassungsrecht*, Wien: Verlag Österreich.

Steininger, Barbara (2001): Der Bundesrat, in: Wolfgang C. Müller, et al. (eds.), *Die österreichischen Abgeordneten. Individuelle Präferenzen und politisches Verhalten*, Wien: WUV, pp. 421–454.

Uhlmann, Felix and Konrath, Christoph (2017): Participation, in: Ulrich Karpen , and Helen Xanthaki (eds.), *Legislation in Europe. A Comprehensive Guide For Scholars and Practitioners* , Oxford: Hart, pp. 73–95.

Parlament (2018): Präsidiale des Nationalrats gibt grünes Licht für Crowdsourcing-Pilotprojekt. *Parlamentskorrespondenz* 646 – 2018-06-07.

Parlament (2020a): Parlamentsdirektion: Fünf neue Dienstleiterinnen und Dienstleiter eingesetzt. *Parlamentskorrespondenz* 160 – 2020-02-17.

Parlament (2020b): Budgetausschuss startet Detailberatungen über Budget 2021 mit Parlamentsbudget. Parlamentskorrespondenz 1153 – 2020-11-09.

Parlament (2021): GRECO-Empfehlung zur Korruptionsprävention: Verhaltensregeln für ParlamentarierInnen veröffentlicht. *Parlamentskorrespondenz* 834 – 2020-07-24.

Group of States against Corruption (GRECO) (2011): Evaluation Report on Austria – Transparency of Party Funding (Theme II).

Group of States against Corruption (GRECO) (2017): Corruption Prevention in Respect of Members of Parliament, Judges and Prosecutors.

Belgium's Parliamentary Administration

Bar-Siman-Tov, I. (2020) 'Covid-19 meets politics: the novel coronavirus as a novel challenge for legislatures', The Theory and Practice of Legislation, 8(1–2), p11–48.

Caboor, P., Van Koekenbeek, S. and Mussely, H. (2020) 'De wetgevingsprocedure in tijden van social distancing: een overzicht van enkele parlementaire reglementswijzigingen' Tijdschrift voor Wetgeving, 23(2), p124–127.

Delreux, T. and Randour, F. (2015) 'Belgium: Institutional and Administrative Adaptation but Limited Political Interest' in Hefftler, C., *et al.* (eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union. London: Palgrave MacMillan, p153–169.

Dunn, K. (2015) 'Voice, representation and trust in parliament', Acta Politica, 50(2), p171–219.

Elst, M. and Caboor, P. (2020) 'Wetgevingsprocedure aangetast door corona of immuniteit opgebouwd?' Tijdschrift voor Wetgeving, 23(4), p320–330.

Holmberg, S., Lindberg, S. and Svensson, R. (2017) 'Trust in parliament', Journal of Public Affairs, 17, p1–9.

Leston-Bandeira, C. (2012) 'Conclusion: 'Parliaments' endless pursuit of trust; refocusing on symbolic representation' The Journal of Legislative Studies, 18(3–4), p514–526.

Mussely, H. and Caboor, P. (2021) 'Spoedwetgeving tijdens de COVID-19-pandemie' Tijdschrift voor Wetgeving 24(1), p31–36.

Norris, P. (ed.) (1999) Critical citizens: Global Support for Democratic Government. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Popelier, P. (2020) 'The Duty of Parliament to Adopt Reliable Legislation: Linking Trust in Parliament with Legitimate Expectations' in De Benedetto *et al.* (eds) The Crisis of Confidence in Legislation, Oxford: Hart, p243–257.

Röttger, R., Van der Hulst, M. and Van der Jeught, S. (2003) 'L'organisation interne de la Chambre des représentants', in Gerard, E. *et al.* (eds) Histoire de la Chambre des Représentants de Belgique 1830-2002, Brussels: Belgian House of Representatives, 2003, p179–216.

Vandenbruwaene, W. and Popelier, P. (2017) 'Belgian Parliaments and the Early Warning System', in Jonsson Cornell, A. and Goldoni, M. (eds.) National and Regional Parliaments in the EU Legislative Procedure Post-Lisbon: The Impact of the Early Warning Mechanism, Oxford: Hart 2017, p181–198.

Waismel-Manor, I. *et al*. (2020) 'Covid-19 and Legislative Activity: A Cross-National Study' [online]. Available at file:///C:/Users/ppopelier/Downloads/Covid-19Legislatures-26-6-20-FW1.pdf (Accessed: 8 August 2021).

Wilson, W. (1887) 'The study of administration' Political Science Quarterly, 2(2), p197–222.

Bulgaria's Parliamentary Administration

Belov, M 2021, Mastering Emergency Situations: The Activist Role of the Bulgarian Constitutional Court in Redefining the Constitutional Design of War, State of Siege and State of Emergency in: Courts and Judicial Activism under Crisis Conditions: Policy Making in a Time of Illiberalism and Emergency Constitutionalism, Routledge, Abingdon.

Belov, M , A Tsekov 2021, Covid-19 Pandemic and Its Impact on Parliamentarism in Bulgaria. A self-restricting of the control power in The Parliament in the time of coronavirus, Robert Schuman Foundation Study papers, available at https://www.robert-schuman.eu/en/doc/ouvrages/FRS_Parliament_Bulgaria.pdf, pp. 1–9.

Beyme, K 1999, Die parlamentarische Demokratie. Entstehung und Funktionsweise 1789 – 1999. Opladen/Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag, p. 188.

Kirov, V 2004, *Public Power*, Ciela, Sofia, p. 208 (in Bulgarian).

Laprat, G 1995, Parliamentary scrutiny of Community legislation: an evolving idea, in Laursen, F, S Pappas (eds.), The Changing Role of Parliaments in the European Union, EIPA, Maastricht, Marschall, St 2005, Parlamentarismus, Nomos, Baden-Baden, p. 115.

Lijphart, A 1999, Patterns of Democracy. Government Forms and Performance in Thirty-Six Countries, Yale University Press, London, p. 72.

Croatia's Parliamentary Administration

Alexander, David A. (2020): The Committee Secretariat of the European Parliament: administrative mobility, expertise and keeping the legislative wheels turning. The Journal of Legislative Studies. DOI: 10.1080/13572334.2020.1832389.

Briški, Tatjana & Jelena Špiljak (2014): Posredno uključivanje nacionalnih parlamenata u europski zakonodavni process: prioritet Hrvatskoga sabora u europskim poslovima. Suvremene teme god 7., br. 1. 7–28.

Deren-Antoljak, Štefica (1993): Odnos Zastupničkog i Županijskog doma Sabora Republike Hrvatske. Politička misao Vol. XXX, No. 4. 74–86.

Heidar, Knut & Ruud Koole eds. (2000): Parliamentary Party Groups in European Democracies – Political Parties Behind Closed Doors. London: Routledge.

Högenauer, Anna-Lena, Christine Neuhold & Thomas Christiansen (2016): Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union. Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.

Ilišin, Vlasta (2007): Hrvatski sabor 2003.: obrasci političke regrutacije parlamentarne elite. Politička misao Vol. 44, No. 4. 55–92.

Kettl, Donald F. (2006): 'Public Bureaucracies' in Rhodes R. A. W., Sarah A. Binder & Brent A. Rockman eds. The Oxford Handbook of Political Institutions. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 366–384.

National Democratic Institute for International Affairs NDI (1996): Committees in Legislatures: A Division of Labor. Legislative Research Series: Paper #2.

The Constitution of the Republic of Croatia (Consolidated Text), https://www.sabor.hr/en/constitution-republic-croatia-consolidated-text, Article 71; accessed on 9 March 2021

Zakon o državnim službenicima (Official Gazette Narodne novine nos. 92/05, 140/05, 142/06, 77/07, 107/07, 27/08, 34/11, 49/11, 150/11, 34/12, 49/12, 37/13, 38/13, 01/15, 138/15, 61/17, 70/19, and 98/19), https://www.zakon.hr/z/108/Zakon-o-dr%C5%BEavnim-slu%C5%BEbenicima; accessed on 9 March 2020 (Croatian Text Only)

Standing Orders of the Croatian Parliament (Consolidated Text) (Official Gazette Narodne novine nos. 81/13, 113/16, 69/17, 29/18, 53/20, 119/20 – Decision of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Croatia, and 123/20), https://www.sabor.hr/en/information-access/important-legislation/standing-orders-croatian-parliament-consolidated-text; accessed on 9 March 2021

Odluka o stručnoj službi Hrvatskoga sabora (Official Gazette Narodne novine no. 64/12), https://narodne-novine.nn.hr/clanci/sluzbeni/2012_06_64_1518.html; accessed on 9 March 2021 (Croatian Text Only) Parlametar, https://parlametar.hr/; accessed on 9 March 2021 and 11 October 2021

Cyprus' Parliamentary Administration

Civil Service Law 1990, Law 1/1990.

Constitution of the Republic of Cyprus, 1960.

House of Representatives, http://www.parliament.cy/en/general-information [Accessed 25 July 2021]. House of Representatives, 2019, *Èkthesi Pepragmènon 2018–2019*, [Activities Report 2018–2019], Available at:

http://www.parliament.cy/el/%CE%B1%CF%81%CF%87%CE%B5%CE%AF%CE%BF/%CE%B5%CE%BA %CE%B8%CE%AD%CF%83%CE%B5%CE%B9%CF%82-

%CF%80%CE%B5%CF%80%CF%81%CE%B1%CE%B3%CE%BC%CE%AD%CE%BD%CF%89%CE%BD/-%CE%B5%CE%BA%CE%B8%CE%B5%CF%83%CE%B7-

%CF%80%CE%B5%CF%80%CF%81%CE%B1%CE%B3%CE%BC%CE%AD%CE%BD%CF%89%CE%BD-2019 [Accessed 25 April 2021].

House of Representatives, 2014, *Ekthesi Pepragmènon 2013–2014*, [Activities Report 2013–2014], Available at: http://www.parliament.cy/images/media/assetfile/APOLOGISMOS%202013-2014.pdf [Accessed 25 April 2021].

House of Representatives , 2020 Èkthesi Pepragmènon 2019–2020, [Activities Report 2019–2020], Available at:

http://www.parliament.cy/el/%CE%B1%CF%81%CF%87%CE%B5%CE%AF%CE%BF/%CE%B5%CE%BA %CE%B8%CE%AD%CF%83%CE%B5%CE%B9%CF%82-

 $\label{eq:cfw80} $$ \cF\%80\%CF\%80\%CF\%81\%CE\%B1\%CE\%B3\%CE\%BC\%CE\%AD\%CE\%BD\%CF\%89\%CE\%BD/-\%CE\%B5\%CE\%BA\%CE\%B8\%CE\%B5\%CF\%83\%CE\%B7-$

%CF%80%CE%B5%CF%80%CF%81%CE%B1%CE%B3%CE%BC%CE%AD%CE%BD%CF%89%CE%BD-2020 [Accessed 28 April 2021].

House of Representatives 2020, Proedrikì Demokratìa versus Proedrevòmenis Koinovouleftikìs Demokratìas [Presidential System versus Presidential Parliamentary System], Government Printing Office, Nicosia.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2021 The Cyprus Question, Available at: https://mfa.gov.cy/historicalbackground.html [Accessed 28 April 2021].

Parliamentary Advisors and Other Relevant Issues Law 2019, Law 41(I)/2019.

Political Rights of Civil Servants, Education Officials, Municipal Employees, Community Employees and Public Law Organization Employees Law 2015, Law 102(I)/2015.

Services and Personnel of the House of Representatives Law 1961, Law 24/1961.

Czechia's Parliamentary Administration

Act 219/2000 Col. On the property of Czech Republic and its actions in legal affairs.

Act 90/1995 Col. On the rules of procedure of the Chamber of Deputies.

Act 107/1999 Col. On the rules of procedure of the Senate.

Act 300/2017 Col. On the principles of negotiation and contact between the Chamber of Deputies and externally.

Aktuálně (2018). Senátní kancléř Uklein končí na vlastní žádost ve funkci. Available at (https://zpravy.aktualne.cz/domaci/senatni-kancler-uklein-konci-na-vlastni-zadost-vefunkci/r44d855ac89e011e8a4080cc47ab5f122/).

DeníkN (2020). Kancléřka Senátu čelí nařčení z nekalostí. Pochybení odmítá, čeká ji ale vysvětlování. Available at (https://denikn.cz/493829/kanclerka-senatu-celi-narceni-z-nekalosti-pochybeni-odmita-ceka-jiale-vvsvetlovani/#).

Hrabálek, Martin - Strelkov, Alexander (2015) The Czech Parliament and European Integration. In: Hefftler C., Neuhold C., Rozenberg O., Smith J. (eds) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union. Palgrave Macmillan, London.

Idnes (2016). Skončí ve službách státu český "sir Humphrey"? Přežil vlády od roku 1990. Available at (https://www.idnes.cz/zpravv/domaci/skonci-petr-kvnstetr-sir-humphrev-

snemovna.A161214 092310 domaci hro).

Interview with OCD employee, 12.3.2021.

Interview with OCD employee, 16.3.2021.

Interview with SC employee, 12.3.2021.

Linek, Lukáš - Mansfeldová, Zdena (2007). The Parliament of the Czech Republic, 1993-2004, The Journal of Legislative Studies, 13:1, 12-37, DOI: 10.1080/13572330601165238.

Mansfeldová, Zdena (2014). The Czech Parliament on the Road to Professionalization and Stabilization, In: Semenova E., Edinger M., Best H. (eds) Parliamentary Elites in Central and Eastern Europe: Recruitment and Representation. pp. 33-53. Routledge: Oxon/New York.

OCD Annual report on information provided 2005-2020, available at

(https://www.psp.cz/sqw/hp.sqw?k=33#zpravy).

Organizational rules of the Office of the Chamber of Deputies.

Organizational rules of the Office of the Senate Chancellery.

Respekt (2006). Utajený vládce českého sněmu. Available at

(https://www.respekt.cz/tydenik/2006/28/utajeny-vladce-ceskeho-snemu).

SC Annual Report 2005–2020, available at (https://senat.cz/kancelar/index-

eng.php?ke dni=18.3.2021&O=13).

Senát (2006). Kancelář Senátu mění organizační strukturu. Available at

(https://www.senat.cz/zpravodajstvi/zprava.php?ke_dni=28.5.2019&id=290).

SeznamZprávy (2021). Kancelář Senátu po patnácti letech změnila své uspořádání. Available at (https://www.seznamzpravy.cz/clanek/kancelar-senatu-po-patnacti-letech-zmenila-sve-usporadani-144942).

Zbíral, Robert (2020). Legislation in the Czech Republic. In: Karpen U., Xanthaki H. (eds) Legislation in

Europe: A Country by Country Guide. pp. 119-137. Hart: Oxford/New York/Dublin.

Denmark's Parliamentary Administration

Allen, Mike (2017) Informant Interview. In Allen, Mike (ed.) The SAGE Encyclopedia of Communication Research Methods, New York: SAGE Publications, pp. 700-703.

Christensen, Jørgen Grønnegård, Jensen, Jørgen Albæk, Mortensen, Peter Bjerre, & Pedersen, Helene Helboe (2020). Når Embedsmænd Lovgiver. Copenhagen: Djøf Forlag.

Folketinget (2018). Folketingets forretningsorden, https://www.ft.dk/da/dokumenter/bestil-publikationer/forretningsorden/forretningsorden-for-folketinget (accessed March 12 2021).

Folketinget (2019). Udvalgsvejledningen. Præsidiets guide til arbejdet i Folketingets stående udvalg,

https://www.ft.dk/-/media/sites/ft/pdf/publikationer/udvalgsveiledningen2019.ashx (accessed March 12 2021).

Folketinget (2021a). Gruppestøtte og regnskaber, https://www.ft.dk/da/partier/om-politiske-partier/gruppestoette-og-regnskaber (accessed January 29 2021).

Folketinget (2021b). EU-note, https://www.ft.dk/samling/20201/almdel/EUU/eu-note/27/2355849.pdf (accessed March 25 2021).

Pedersen, Helene Helboe & Borghetto, Enrico (2020). *Fighting COVID-19 on Democratic Terms. Parliamentary Functioning in Italy and Denmark during the Pandemic*, paper presented at the ECPR general conference 2020, P491: Lessons Learned, Lessons Missed.

Pedersen, Helene Helboe (2020). The Parliament (Folketinget): Powerful, Professional, and Trusted? In Christiansen, Peter Munk, Elklit, Jørgen, & Nedergaard, Peter (eds.) The Oxford Handbook of Danish Politics, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 88–106.

Senninger, Roman (2017). Issue expansion and selective scrutiny–how opposition parties used parliamentary questions about the European Union in the national arena from 1973 to 2013. European Union Politics, 18 (2), 283–306.

Sieberer, Ulrich (2011). The institutional power of Western European parliaments: A multidimensional analysis. West European Politics, 34 (4), 731–754.

Sousa, Maja Møller (2008). Learning in Denmark? The case of Danish parliamentary control over European Union policy. Scandinavian Political Studies, *31* (4), 428–447.

Estonia's Parliamentary Administration

Aarma, O. (2005). 2004. aastal ühinenud riikide parlamentide kaasatus Euroopa Liidu otsustusprotsessi. Riigikogu Toimetised, 12, pp. 151–156.

Allik, M. (2009). Kaasamine Riigikogus: komisjonide tavad ja liitude arvamused. Riigikogu Toimetised, 20, pp. 130–139.

Högenauer, A.-L., Neuhold, C. and Christiansen, T. (2016). Parliamentary Administration in the European Union. Basingstoke, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Kalev, L., Lumi, O. and Saarts, T. (2021). *Interviews with 7 parliamentary administrators and 1 politician*. Riigikogu (2007), Riigikogu X koosseis: Statistika ja ülevaated. Tallinn: Riigikogu, Kantselei, Rahvusraamatukogu.

Riigikogu (2019), Riigikogu XIII koosseis: Statistika ja ülevaated. Tallinn: Riigikogu, Kantselei, Rahvusraamatukogu.

Riigikogu , (2021). *Riigikogu website.* [online] Available at: https://www.riigikogu.ee/ [Accessed 6 July 2021]. Riigikogu Kantselei (2021). Finance and Personnel Data by Riigikogu Chancellery Based on Authors Query. Tallinn.

Solvak, M. (2012). Kakskümmend aastat Riigikogu: kas tööparlamendist kõneparlamendiks? Riigikogu Toimetised, 26, pp. 83–94.

Velmet, A. (2020). The Blank Slate E-State: Estonian information society and the politics of Novelty in the 1990s. Engaging Science Technology and Society, 6, pp. 162–184.

Finland's Parliamentary Administration

Arter, David (2011): The Michael Marsh question: How do Finns do constituency service? Parliamentary Affairs 64:1, 129–152.

Aula, Ville & Lea Konttinen (2020): Miten kansaa edustetaan? selvitys kansanedustajien työstä eduskuntatyön uudistamiseksi. Sitran selvityksiä 165.

Karvonen, Lauri, Heikki Paloheimo & Tapio Raunio eds. (2016): The Changing Balance of Political Power in Finland. Stockholm: Santérus Academic Press.

Koskimaa, Vesa (2016): Towards Leadership Democracy: The Changing Balance of Power in Three Finnish Political Parties 1983–2012. Tampere: Tampere University Press, Acta Universitatis Tamperensis 2224.

Koskimaa, Vesa (2020): The 'genetic' effect: Can parties' past organizational choices condition the development of their internal distribution of power in the cartel party era? Evidence from Finland, 1983–2017. Politics 40:3, 313–331.

Lampi, Santeri (2019): 'Keskusta siirtyy ryhmäkansliamalliin – kansanedustajien avustajajärjestelmä menee remonttiin', *Suomenmaa*, 4 January 2019, https://www.suomenmaa.fi/keskusta/keskusta-siirtyy-ryhmakansliamalliin-kansanedustajien-avustajajarjestelma-menee-remonttiin/, accessed 21 March 2021. Länkinen, Tiina (2015): 'Eduskunta äänesti Maija-Leena Paavolan pääsihteerikseen', *Yle*, 11 December 2015, https://yle.fi/uutiset/3-8521620, accessed 19 March 2021.

Raunio, Tapio & Taru Ruotsalainen (2018): Exploring the most likely case for constituency service: Finnish MPs and the change towards personalised representation. Representation 54:1, 37–53.

Raunio, Tapio (2022): Committees in the Finnish Eduskunta: Cross-party cooperation and legislative scrutiny behind closed doors. In Sven T. Siefken & Hilmar Rommetvedt (eds) Parliamentary Committees in the Policy Process. London: Routledge, 79–97.

Seo, Hyeon Su & Tapio Raunio (2017): Reaching out to the people? Assessing the relationship between parliament and citizens in Finland. The Journal of Legislative Studies 23:4, 614–634.

Seo, Hyeon Su (2017): Reaching Out to the People? Parliament and Citizen Participation in Finland. Tampere: Tampere University Press, Acta Universitatis Tamperensis 2264.

Sutinen, Teija (2017): 'Eduskunta tuhoaa päivittäin tiedot talossa käyneistä vierailijoista – hävittäminen alkoi, kun oikeus määräsi tiedot julkisiksi', *Helsingin Sanomat*, 12 September 2017, https://www.hs.fi/politiikka/art-2000005363066.html, accessed 23 March 2021.

Uusikumpu, Maarit (2018): 'Ilman näitä miehiä kansanedustajat olisivat hukassa – Eduskunnan tietopalvelun Antti Rautava ja Martti Tanskanen välittävät päättäjille faktaa, jotta valheet eivät myrkyttäisi politiikkaa', *Motiivi*, 11 December 2018, https://motiivilehti.fi/lehti/artikkeli/ilman-naita-miehia-kansanedustajat-olisivat-hukassa-eduskunnan-tietopalvelun-antti-rautava-ja-martti-tanskanen-valittavat-paattajille-faktaa-jotta-valheet-eivat-myrkyttaisi-politiikkaa/, accessed 22 March 2021.

France's Parliamentary Administration

Arrêté du Président et des Questeurs n° 03-102 of 17 September 2003 relatif à la nature des épreuves et à la composition du jury

Assemblée nationale (2012). Les concours de l'Assemblée nationale, Paris: Assemblée nationale.

Assemblée nationale a, Fiche de synthèse $n^{\circ}69$: Les secrétaires généraux, retrieved at:

https://www2.assemblee-nationale.fr/decouvrir-l-assemblee/role-et-pouvoirs-de-l-assemblee-nationale/l-administration-de-l-assemblee-nationale/les-secretaires-generaux

Assemblée nationale b, Fiche de synthèse n°67: Statut et carrière des fonctionnaires de l'Assemblée nationale, retrieved at https://www2.assemblee-nationale.fr/decouvrir-l-assemblee/role-et-pouvoirs-de-l-assemblee-nationale/l-administration-de-l-assemblee-nationale/statut-et-carrière-des-fonctionnaires-de-l-assemblee-nationale

Avril, P. (2013). Renforcer le Parlement: qu'est-ce à dire?. Pouvoirs, 146, (3), 9–19.

Avril, P., Gicquel, J.-E. and Gicquel, J. (2021). Droit parlementaire, Paris: L.G.D.J.

Balnath, M. (2016). Un mandat représentatif peut en cacher un autre: lumière sur l'administration parlementaire sous la Cinquième République. Cahiers Jean Moulin, 2. https://doi.org/10.4000/cjm.273 Carcassonne, G. (1985). L'appareil de l'Assemblée, *Pouvoirs*, 34 – L'Assemblée – September, 31–36

Duverger, M. (1962), Le Système politique français, Paris: PUF.

Duverger, M. (1973). Sociologie de la politique, Paris: PUF.

Fish, M. S. , and Kroenig, M. (2009). The Handbook of National Legislatures: A Global Survey. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Gicquel, J. and Gicquel, J.-E. (2014). Droit constitutionnel et institutions politiques, 28e édition, Paris: LGDJ. Le Divellec, A. (2011). Vers la fin du "parlementarisme négatif"? Une problématique introductive à l'étude des réformes constitutionnelles de 2008, Jus Politicum, 9 (October), 1–3.

Rosanvallon, P. (2008), La légitimité démocratique. Impartialité, reflexivité, proximité, Paris: Éditions du Seuil.

Saint-Dizier, A.-L. (2014). Parlementaire (administration) in Kada N. eds, Dictionnaire d'administration publique. Grenoble: Presses universitaires de Grenoble, 363–364.

Secrétariat général de l'Assemblée nationale et de la Présidence and Secrétariat Général de la Questure (2018). Rapport au Président de l'Assemblée nationale. Quelle administration parlementaire en 2022? Les misions, l'organisation et le statut de l'administration de l'Assemblée nationale, retrieved at:

https://fr.irefeurope.org/SITES/fr.irefeurope.org/IMG/pdf/rapport-au-president-de-l-assemblee-nationale-quelle-adminsitration-parlementaire-en-2022.pdf

Germany's Parliamentary Administration

Arndt, F. (2013). Parliamentary Assemblies, International in: Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public Law. Auel K., Rozenberg, O., Tacea, A. 2015. 'Fighting Back? And, If so, How? Measuring Parliamentary Strength and Activity in EU Affairs', in C. Hefftler, C. Neuhold, O. Rozenberg and J. Smith, eds., The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.

Datenhandbuch zur Geschichte des Deutschen Bundestages [Online],

https://www.bundestag.de/datenhandbuch. (consulted in 2021).

Deutscher Bundestag . (2020). Wegweiser in EU Angelegenheiten. Referat Öffentlichkeitsarbeit.

Fish, M. S. , Kroenig, M. 2009. The Handbook of National Legislatures, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Högenauer A. L. , Neuhold, C. , Christiansen, T. (2016). Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union, London: Palgrave.

https://www.bundesrat.de/DE/bundesrat/sekretariat/sekretariat-node.html, consulted on 12/11/2021.

https://www.bundestag.de/en/parliament/administration, consulted on 12/11/2021.

https://www.bundestag.de/parlament/verwaltung, consulted on 12/11/2021.

https://www.bundestag.de/parlamentsdokumentation, consulted on 10/01/2022.

Neuhold C., Högenauer, A. L. (2016), "An Information Network of Officials? Dissecting the Role and Nature of the Network of Parliamentary Representatives in the EP", Journal of Legislative Studies 22(2), 237–256. Written response by the Bundesrat to a request for information, April 2021.

Written response by the Bundestag to a request for information, April 2021.

Greece's Parliamentary Administration

Angelis, V. (2017) A Milestone Exhibition by the Hellenic Parliament; "Loannis Kapodistrias: His Path in Time". The Clarity of Historical Vision. Days of Art in Greece, 6, pp. 88–101.

Auel, K. and Christiansen, T. (2015) After Lisbon: National Parliaments in the European Union. West European Politics, 38 (2), pp. 261–281.

Babounis, C. (2013) I kyvernósa voulí katá tin ellinikí mesovasileía [The governing parliament during the Greek interregnum]. Athens: Stochastis.

Cheibub, J.A. and Rasch, B.E. (2022) Constitutional Parliamentarism in Europe, 1800–2019. West European Politics, 45 (3), pp. 470–501.

de Vrieze, F. and Norton, P. (2020) Parliaments and Post-Legislative Scrutiny. London: Rutledge.

Fitsilis, F. (2018) Inter-Parliamentary Cooperation and Its Administrators. Perspectives on Federalism, 10(3), pp. 28–55.

Fitsilis, F. and Bayiokos, V. (2017) Implementing Structured Public Access to the Legal Reports on Bills and Law Proposals of the Scientific Service of the Hellenic Parliament, Greece. Knowledge Management for Development Journal, 13 (2), pp. 63–80.

Fitsilis, F. and Costa, O. (2022) Parliamentary Administration Facing the Digital Challenge. In Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations. London: Routledge.

Fitsilis, F. and Koryzis, D. (2016) Parliamentary control of Governmental actions on the interaction with European organs in the Hellenic Parliament and the National Assembly of Serbia. *Online Papers on Parliamentary Democracy*, V. Available at: https://www.pademia.eu/wp-

content/uploads/2014/02/Fitsilis Koryzis PademiaOnlineSeries.pdf> [Accessed: 1 March 2021].

Fitsilis, F. and Koutsogiannis, A. (2017) Strengthening the Capacity of parliaments through development of parliamentary research services. *Paper presented at the 13th Workshop of Parliamentary Scholars and Parliamentarians*, Wroxton, UK. 29–30 July 2017. Available at: https://wroxtonworkshop.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/2017-Session-5A-Fitsilis-and-Koutsogiannis.pdf [Accessed: 19 November 2022]. Fitsilis, F. and Pliakogianni, A. (2021) The Hellenic Parliament's Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic – A

Fitsilis, F. and Pliakogianni, A. (2021) The Hellenic Parliament's Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic – F. Balancing Act between Necessity and Realism. IALS Student Law Review, 8 (1), pp. 19–27.

Fitsilis, F. and Stavridis, S. (2021) The Hellenic Parliament's use of digital media in its 2019 Turkey-Libya Memorandum of Understanding on maritime boundaries in the Mediterranean Sea: a preliminary assessment. LSE Hellenic Observatory, GreeSE Paper No. 163.

Fitsilis, F., Koryzis, D., Svolopoulos, V. and Spiliotopoulos, D. (2017). Implementing digital parliament innovative concepts for citizens and policy makers. In International Conference on HCI in Business, Government, and Organizations (pp. 154–170). Cham: Springer.

Foundethakis, P. (2003) The Hellenic Parliament: The New Rules of the Game. The Journal of Legislative Studies, 9 (2), pp. 85–106.

Gerapetritis, G. (2012) Sýntagma kai Voulí. Aftonomía kai anélenkto ton esoterikón tou sómatos [Constitution and Parliament. Autonomy and uncontrollability of the body's interna corporis]. Athens: Nomiki Bibliothiki.

Gerapetritis, G. (2020) The Parliament. In: Featherstone, K. and Sotiropoulos, D.A. (eds), The Oxford Handbook of Modern Greek Politics. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.154–169.

Hellenic Parliament (2018) *Stratigikó Schédio 2018-2021* [Strategic Plan 2018–2021]. Available at: https://www.hellenicparliament.gr/UserFiles/8158407a-fc31-4ff2-a8d3-433701dbe6d4/Strategic_Final.pdf [Accessed: 4 March 2021].

Hellenic Parliament (2021) Periodikó tis Voulís ton Ellínon. Available at:

https://www.hellenicparliament.gr/Enimerosi/periodiko/ [Accessed: 24 June 2021].

Högenauer, A.-L., Neuhold, C. and Christiansen, T. (2016) Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Kamilaki, M. (2019) Exhibitions at the service of Parliaments: The case of "Glossopolis". In Presentation at the 35th Annual Pre-Conference of Parliamentary Research and Library Services, 22–23 August 2019, Athens. Available at: https://www.ifla.org/files/assets/services-for-

parliaments/conference/2019/kamilaki_exhibitions_at_the_service_of_parliaments.pdf> [Accessed: 9 March 2021].

Karabarbounis, H., Mastakas, P. and Dalis, S. (2004). Koinovouleftikí Diplomatía kai i Voulí ton Ellínon [Parliamentary diplomacy and the Hellenic Parliament]. Athens: Sakkoulas A. N.

Kyrkos, H. (2006) I B' en Athínais Ethnikí ton Ellínon Synélefsis [The 2nd National Assembly of the Greeks in Athens]. Athens, Thessaloniki: University Studio Press.

Mavrias, K. (2016) Syntagmatikó Díkaio [Constitutional Law]. 6th edn. Athens, Thessaloniki: P.N. Sakkoulas. Philippidou, S., Karageorgiou, M., Tarantilis, C., Soderquist, E. and Prastacos, G. (2008) Meeting the Challenge of Technology-Driven Change within an Institutional Context: the Greek Case. Public Administration, 86 (2), pp. 429–442.

Repousis, S. (2017) Is the Third Greek Memoranda of Understanding and Loan Agreement of August 2015 odious? Truth Committee on Public Debt in Greek or Hellenic Parliament and Criticism on Results. Journal of Money Laundering Control, 20(3), 220–230.

Sakellariou, M.B. (2012) I Athinaïkí Dimokratía. Athens: Panepistimiakés Ekdóseis Krítis.

Saripolos, N. I. (1874) Pragmateía tou syntagmatikoú dikaíou. 2nd edn. Athens: M.N. Aggelidou.

Sigalas, E. and Blavoukos, S. (2014) The response of the Greek parliament following the financial crisis: In search for evidence. Paper presented at the ECPR 42nd Joint Sessions of Workshops, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain, 10–15 April. Available at:

Early Evidence [Accessed: 1 March 2021].

Sotiropoulos, D.A. (2015) The Greek Parliament and the European Union After the Lisbon Treaty: A Missed Opportunity to Empower Parliament. In Hefftler, C., Neuhold, C., Rozenberg, O., and Smith, J. (eds), The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 335–352.

Stasis, A., Dalakou, V., Karakatsanis, I., Demiri, L., Valatsou, G. and Sarantis, D. (2020). Better access to law by codification and consolidation of legal acts: the case of the Hellenic Law Codification Portal. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance (pp. 696–704). New York: Association for Computing Machinery.

Stavridis, S. (2018) Greek parliamentarians and Greek foreign policy (2004–2014). LSE Hellenic Observatory, GreeSE Paper No. 121. Available at: https://www.lse.ac.uk/Hellenic-

Observatory/Assets/Documents/Publications/GreeSE-Papers/GreeSE-121.pdf> [Accessed: 4 March 2021]. Stefan, O. (2020). COVID-19 Soft Law: Voluminous, Effective, Legitimate? A Research Agenda. European Papers, 5 (1), pp. 663–670.

Tridimas, G. (2010) Referendum and the Choice Between Monarchy and Republic in Greece. Constitutional Political Economy Volume, 21, pp. 119–144.

Vassilouni, S. (2001) The role of the directorate of studies in the legislative work of the Hellenic Parliament. In Presented at the 67th IFLA Council and General Conference in Boston. August 16-25, 2001. Available at: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED459776.pdf [Accessed: 1 March 2021].

Virkar, S., Alexopoulos, C., Stavropoulou, S., Tsekeridou, S. and Novak, A.S., 2020, September. User-centric decision support system design in legal informatics: a typology of users. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance (pp. 711–722). New York: Association for Computing Machinery.

Hungary's Parliamentary Administration

Erdős, Csaba: How much does the operation of a parliament cost? In: Péter Smuk (ed.): Costs of Democracy, Gondolat, Budapest, 2016, 87–104.p. https://dfk-

online.sze.hu/images/egyedi/Smuk Costs of Democracy%20.pdf.

Kerekes, Margit – Andrási, Réka : Az Országgyűlés Hivatala törvényhozást modellező ifjúsági programjai, In: Parlamenti Szemle, 2020, volume 5, issue 2, pp. 155–170.

Soltész, István : Az Országgyűlés Hivatalának története: az irodától a professzionális hivatalig, In: Parlamenti Szemle, 2017, volume 2, issue 2, pp. 145–158.

Szabó, Zsolt: Hungary, In: Zbiral, Robert (szerk.) The Cradle of Laws: Drafting and Negotiating Bills within the Executives in Central Europe, Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2020, pp. 85–105, 21 p.

Italy's Parliamentary Administration

Bifulco, R. 2017. 'La lunga attesa dell'integrazione della commissione parlamentare per le questioni regionali', in F. Bassanini, A. Manzella (eds), Due Camere, un Parlamento. Per far funzionare il bicameralismo, Firenze: Passigli, pp. 137–149.

Caroli Casavola, H. (ed) 2014. I collaboratori dei parlamentari. Il personale addetto alla politica, Napoli: Editoriale Scientifica.

Cheli, E. 1987. 'Ruolo del Parlamento e nuovi caratteri della burocrazia parlamentare', in VV.AA. (ed), Studi in memoria di Vittorio Bachelet, Milano: Giuffrè, pp. 187–204.

Chimenti, C., 1994. 'Ruolo delle Camere e amministrazioni parlamentari', in Lo stato delle istituzioni italiane: problemi e prospettive, Milano: Giuffrè, pp. 236 *et seq.*

Cotta, M. and Verzichelli, L. 2007, Political institutions in Italy, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

De Caro, M. 1991. 'L'evoluzione degli apparati nel sistema della documentazione nel Parlamento italiano: l'esperienza del Servizio Studi', in Associazione per gli studi e le ricerche parlamentari, Quaderno No. 1. Seminari 1989-1900, Milano: Giuffrè, pp. 173 et seq.

De Caro, M. and Lupo, N. (eds), 2009. *Il dialogo tra i Parlamenti. Obiettivi e risultati* , Roma: Luiss University Press.

D'Orta, C. and Garella, F. (eds). 1997. Le amministrazioni degli organi costituzionali: ordinamento italiano e profili comparati, Roma-Bari: Laterza.

Fasone, C. 2009. 'L'autodichia delle Camere dopo il caso Savino. Una condanna (lieve) da parte della Corte di Strasburgo', in Diritto pubblico comparato ed europeo, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 1074–1089.

Fasone, C. 2012. Sistemi di commissioni parlamentari e forme di governo, Padova: Cedam.

Fasone, C. and Griglio, E. 2013. 'Can Fiscal Councils enhance the role of National Parliaments in the European Union? A comparative analysis', in B. De Witte, A. Héritier, A.H. Trechsel (eds), The Euro Crisis and the state of European Democracy, Fiesole: European University Institute e-book.

Gianniti, L. 2007. 'Per un ragionevole bicameralismo paritario', in A. Manzella, F. Bassanini (eds), Per far funzionare il Parlamento. Quarantaquattro modeste proposte, Bologna: Il Mulino.

Gianniti, L. and Goretti, C. 2013. 'Prime note sull'Ufficio parlamentare di bilancio', in Rivista giuridica del Mezzogiorno, Vol. 1–2, pp. 81–90.

Griglio, E. 2020. *Parliamentary oversight of the executives. Tools and procedures in Europe*, Oxford: Hart. Griglio, E. and Lupo, N., 2020. 'Parliaments in Europe Engaging in Post-legislative Scrutiny: Comparing the French, Italian and Swiss Experiences', in Journal of Southeast Asian Human Rights, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 100–127.

Griglio, E. and Lupo, N., 2021. 'Parliamentary Administrations in the Bicameral Systems of Europe: Joint or Divided?', in The Journal of Legislative Studies, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 513–534.

Lupo, N. 2010. 'Presidente di Assemblea', in Digesto delle Discipline Pubblicistiche. Aggiornamento. Vol. IV, Torino: Utet Giuridica, pp. 444–480.

Lupo, N. 2012. 'Il ruolo delle burocrazie parlamentari alla luce dei mutamenti dell'assetto istituzionale nazionale e sovranazionale', Rassegna parlamentare, Vol. 54, No. 1, pp. 51–89.

Lupo, N. and Rizzoni, G., 2014. 'Foreign influences on (the procedure and on the content of) the Italian legislative process', in N. Lupo, L. Scaffardi (eds), Comparative law in legislative drafting. the increasing importance of dialogue amongst parliaments, The Hague: Eleven International Publishing, pp. 121–138.

Lupo, N. 2015. 'Prospettive di unificazione degli apparati di Camera e Senato: una mossa "difensiva", ma "opportuna", in M. Bertolissi (ed), Riforme. Opinioni a confronto, Padova: Cedam, pp. 103–118.

Lupo, N. 2017. 'The Scrutiny of the principle of subsidiarity in the procedures and reasoned opinions of the Italian chamber and senate', in A. Jonsson Cornell , M. Goldoni (eds), National and Regional Parliaments in the EU-Legislative Procedure Post-Lisbon. The Impact of the Early Warning Mechanism, Oxford: Hart, pp. 225–252.

Lupo, N. 2019. 'The failed constitutional reform of the Italian Senate'. In *DPCE Online*, n. 2, pp. 1595–1608. Available at: http://www.dpceonline.it/index.php/dpceonline/article/view/747>.

Lupo, N. 2021. 'L'evoluzione dei regolamenti delle Camere in relazione alle trasformazioni del sistema politico ed elettorale', in V. Lippolis (ed.), Il Filangieri. Quaderno 2021. A cinquant'anni dai regolamenti parlamentari del 1971: trasformazioni e prospettive, Napoli: Jovene, pp. 11–42.

Manzella, A. 1977. Il parlamento, I ed., Bologna: Il mulino.

Manzella, A. 2003. Il parlamento, III ed., Bologna: Il mulino.

Mazziotti di Celso, M. 1981. 'Parlamento', in Enciclopedia del diritto, XXXI, Milano: Giuffrè.

Pacelli, M. and Giovannetti, G. 2020. *Interno Montecitorio. I luoghi, l'istituzione, le persone*, Torino: Giappichelli.

Palanza, A., 1998. 'L'informazione tecnica nelle procedure parlamentari: l'esperienza dei Servizi del bilancio della Camera e del Senato', Rivista trimestrale di diritto pubblico, Vol. 48, pp. 753 *et seq.*

Pegan, A. and Högenauer, A.L. 2016. 'The role of parliamentary administrations in interparliamentary cooperation', in N. Lupo, C. Fasone (eds), Interparliamentary cooperation in the composite European Constitution, Oxford: Hart, pp. 147–166.

Petta, P. 1994. 'Le prime esperienze del servizio "drafting" del Senato', in Rassegna Parlamentare, Vol. 36, pp. 79 et seq.

Piccirilli, G. and Zuddas, P. 2012. 'Assisting Italian MPs in Pre-legislative Scrutiny: The Role Played by Chambers' Counsellors and Legislative Advisors in Enhancing the Knowledge and Skills Development of Italian MPs: The Assistance Offered to an Autonomous Collection of Information', in Parliamentary Affairs, Vol. 65, pp. 1–16.

Regonini, G. 2012. 'Parlamenti analitici', Rivista Italiana di Politiche Pubbliche, Vol. 1, 33-87.

Rizzoni, G. 2021. 'Parliamentarism and encyclopaedism: how Parliaments produce and elaborate knowledge, *Luiss School of Government Working Paper Series*, no. 65.

Simoncini, A. 1987. 'L'apparato conoscitivo del Parlamento italiano', Rassegna parlamentare, Vol. 29, pp. 166 *et seq.*

Soddu, F. 1992. L'amministrazione interna del Senato regio. I. Dallo Statuto albertino alla crisi di fine secolo, Sassari: Dessì.

VV.AA . 2013. Il ruolo degli apparati serventi delle assemblee legislative tra tradizione e linee di sviluppo: atti del convegno, Palermo 20 gennaio 2012 , Napoli: Jovene.

Venturini, F. 2019. *Libri, lettori e bibliotecari a Montecitorio. Storia della Biblioteca della Camera dei deputati*, Padova: Cedam.

Vernata, A. 2020. L'Ufficio parlamentare di bilancio. Il nuovo organo ausiliare alla prova del primo mandato e della forma di governo, Napoli: Jovene.

Zuddas, P., 2004. Amministrazioni parlamentari e procedimento legislativo. Il contributo degli apparati serventi delle Camere al miglioramento della qualità della legislazione, Milano: Giuffrè.

Latvia's Parliamentary Administration

Auers, D. (2020). Europeanization of Latvia's political system. In D. Auers (ed.), Europeanization. Latvia Human Development Report 2019/2020. Riga: University of Latvia Press, pp. 17–25.

Beizītere, I., Grumolte-Lerhe, I., Ziemane, I., Valtenbergs, V. (2020). Iekļaujoša izglītība bērniem ar īpašām vajadzībām. Aprīlis. LR Saeimas Analītiskais dienests [In Latvian]. Available:

https://www.saeima.lv/petijumi/leklaujosa_izglitiba_berniem_spec_vajadzibam_Latvija.pdf. Accessed: 30.12.2021.

Cabinet of Ministers. (2021). Cabinet of Ministers Instruction No 617 "Procedure for assessing the initial impact of a draft law", 7 September. Available: https://likumi.lv/ta/id/325945-tiesibu-akta-projekta-sakotnejas-ietekmes-izvertesanas-kartiba. Accessed: 20.01.2022.

Central Electoral Commission (CEC) . (24.01.2022.). CVK reģistrē parakstu vākšanai grozījumus Satversmē, kas rosina samazināt nepieciešamo parakstu skaitu likumu un tautas nobalsošanas ierosināšanai. Available: https://www.cvk.lv/lv/jaunumi/cvk-registre-parakstu-vaksanai-grozijumus-satversme-kas-rosina-samazinat-nepieciesamo-parakstu-skaitu-likumu-un-tautas-nobalsosanas-ierosinasanai-921. Accessed 03.02.2022.

Kalniņš, V. (2019). The Use of Research-Based Evidence in the Latvian Parliament. The Case of Demography and Migration policies, Rīga: University of Latvia.

https://www.izm.gov.lv/images/zinatne/SMP study.PDF

Kozins, I. (2021). Sabiedrisko iniciatīvu portāla "Manabalss.lv" ietekme uz likumdošanas procesu Latvijā: likumdevēja perspektīva lēmumu pieņemšanā. Master's Thesis University of Latvia [In Latvian].

Saeima . (1994a). Saeimas kārtības rullis, pieņemts 28.07.1994. Latvijas Vēstnesis, Nr. 96 (227). Available: https://likumi.lv/ta/id/57517-saeimas-kartibas-rullis. Accessed: 03.02.2022.

Saeima . (1994b). Likums "Par tautas nobalsošanu un likumu ierosināšanu un Eiropas pilsoņu iniciatīvu", pieņemts 31.03.1994. Latvijas Vēstnesis, Nr. 47 (178), 20. apr.; 2012. gada 20. septembra redakcija.

Available: https://likumi.lv/ta/id/58065-par-tautas-nobalsosanu-likumu-ierosinasanu-un-eiropas-pilsonu-iniciativu. Accessed: 03.02.2022.

Saeima . (2018). Saeimas Prezidija 2018. gada 15. oktobra lēmuma "Par analītiskā dienesta nolikuma apstiprināšanu" pielikums. 15. oktobris. Dok. Nr. 611-237-12/18 [In Latvian]. Available:

https://titania.saeima.lv/ELDIS/webpublic.nsf/0/2E366FC67A2BD39AC22583240044464F. Accessed: 21.01.2022.

Saeima . (2020). Parlaments gatavs darbam e-Saeimas režīmā. 25 May. Available:

https://www.saeima.lv/lv/aktualitates/saeimas-zinas/28981-parlaments-gatavs-darbam-e-saeimas-rezima. Accessed: 04.02.2022.

Saeima . (2021). 2020. gada publiskais pārskats. LR Saeima. Available:

https://www.saeima.lv/files/PP/Saeimasgadaparskats2020.pdf. Accessed: 04.02.2022.

Saeima . (2022). Sabiedrības līdzdlība. Available: https://www.saeima.lv/lv/sabiedribas-lidzdaliba. Accessed: 04.02.2022.

Valtenbergs, V., Čaplinska, L. (2021). *Introducing Digital Advocacy Tool for Civil Society Organisations in Latvia: Pilot Study.* MyVoice Group. Available: https://manabalss.lv/system/cso-advocacy-tool-eng.pdf. Accessed: 04.02.2022.

Valtenbergs, V., Kalniņš, V., Grumolte-Lerhe, I., Beizītere, I. (2019). Lobēšanas normatīvais regulējums un tā problemātika Latvijā un Eiropā. Novembris [In Latvian]. Available:

https://www.saeima.lv/petijumi/Lobesana_Latvija_un_Eiropa_2019.pdf. Accessed: 30.12.2021.

Veitners, K. (2020). Latvia. In R. Stockmann, W. Meyer, L. Taube (eds.), The Institutionalisation of Evaluation in Europe, Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 377–403.

Lithuania's Parliamentary Administration

Barrett V. (2019). Parliamentary administration: what does it mean to manage a parliament effectively? Doctoral dissertation. The Australian National University.

Blažytė-Baužienė, D. , Tamošaitis, M. and Truska, L. (2009). Lietuvos Seimo istorija. XX-XXI a. pradžia. Vilnius: Baltos lankos.

Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. Administrative Science Quarterly. 44 (2): 350–383.

Dweck, C. S. (2006). Mindset: The new psychology of success. Random House.

Gumuliauskas, A., Anušauskas, A., Juršėnas, Č. and Sinkevičius, V. (ed.) (2020). Parlamentarizmas Lietuvoje. Vilnius, Lithuania: Lietuvos Respublikos Seimo kanceliarija.

Högenauer, A.-L. , Neuhold, C. and Christiansen, T. (2016). Parliamentary Administration in the European Union. Basingstoke, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Jurgaitis, R. (2016). Nuo bajoriškosios savivaldos iki parlamentarizmo: Vilniaus seimelio veikla, 1717-1795 m. Parlamentarizmo istorijos tyrimų centras.

Lukošaitis, A. and Juknevičiūtė, D. (2021). Teisėkūra Lietuvos ir kitų Europos valstybių parlamentuose: racionalumo ir sprendimų kokybės užtikrinimo problemos (analitinė apžvalga, AN 21/46). Vilnius: Lietuvos Respublikos Seimo kanceliarijos Informacijos ir komunikacijos departamento Tyrimų skyrius.

Radnor, Z. and Bateman, N. (2016). Debate: the development of a new discipline—public service operations management. Public Money & Management. 36 (4): 246–248. doi: 10.1080/09540962.2016.1162586 Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania Statute (2021) Vilnius, Lithuania.

Svarauskas, A. (2020). Steigiamasis Seimas ir Lietuvos Respublikos kūrimas 1920–1922 metais. Vilnius, Lithuania: Lietuvos istorijos institutas.

Yin, R. K. (2018). Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods. Sixth edition. Los Angeles: SAGE.

Luxembourg's Parliamentary Administration

Demmke, C. / Moilanen, T. (2010) Civil Services in the EU of 27. Reform Outcomes and the Future of the Civil Service, Peter Lang, p.188.

Maurer, A. / Wessels, B. (2001) National Parliaments on Their Ways to Europe: Losers or Latecomers? Nomos.

Spreitzer, A. (2014) Effects of European integration on parliamentary control of government: the case of Luxembourg, 1999–2011, PhD thesis, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg,

https://orbilu.uni.lu/bitstream/10993/16868/1/PhThesis%20AS 20140521.pdf, viewed 23 April 2022.

Spreitzer, A. (2015) Luxembourg's Chamber of Deputies and EU Affairs, Chapter in The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union, Palgrave Macmillan, p.232–251.

Malta's Parliamentary Administration

Bulmer, W. (2014) 'Constrained Majoritarianism: Westminster Constitutionalism in Malta', Commonwealth and Comparative Politics, Vol. 52(2) 232–253, Routledge, UK.

Harwood, M. (2014) Malta in the European Union, Routledge, UK.

Hazell, R. (ed.) (2008) Constitutional Futures Revised: Britain's constitution to 2020, Macmillan, UK.

Högenauer, A., Neuhold, C., Christiansen, T. (2016) Parliamentary Administration in the European Union, Palgrave Macmillan, UK.

Office of the Speaker (2017) *mill-Parlament*, no. 16. Available online at:

https://parlament.mt/media/89384/mill-parlament-nru-16.pdf.

Office of the Speaker (2020) mill-Parlament, no. 24. Available online at:

https://parlament.mt/media/107592/periodical-no-24.pdf.

Parliament of Malta (2019) Interim Expenditure Report 2019. Available online at:

https://www.parlament.mt/media/102833/03745.pdf.

Parliament of Malta (2020) Annual Report 2019. Available online at:

https://parlament.mt/media/110105/annual-report-2019.pdf.

Parliament of Malta (2021a) 'Historical Background'. Available at: Parliament of Malta – Historical Background (parlament.mt).

Parliament of Malta (2021b) 'Parliament Practice'. Available at: Parliament of Malta – Parliament Practice (parlament.mt).

Parliament of Malta (2021c) 'Mission Statement'. Available at: Parliament of Malta – Mission Statement (parlament.mt).

Parliament of Malta (2021d) 'Objectives and Responsibilities'. Available at: Parliament of Malta – Objectives & Responsibilities (parlament.mt).

Parliament of Malta (2021e) 'Speaker of the House of Representatives'. Available at:

https://parlament.mt/en/13th-leg/political-groups/speaker-farrugia-anglu/

Viola, D. (2019) 'Scrutinising European Union Legislation in Light of Subsidiarity: the Maltese Parliament', Commonwealth and Comparative Politics, Vol. 52 (2) 208–222.

The Netherlands' Parliamentary Administration

Ambtelijke organisatie (no date). Available at: https://www.eerstekamer.nl/begrip/ambtelijke_organisatie (Accessed: 20 January 2021).

Becker, S. & Bauer, M. (2021). 'Two of a kind? On the influence of parliamentary and governmental administrations', The Journal of Legislative Studies, 27(4), p. 494–512.

Besluit tot instelling van een regulier overleg tussen het managementteam van de Tweede Kamer en de fractieorganisaties (2008). In Tweede Kamer, *Reglement van Orde*. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal, p. 146–149.

Bovenop Europa: Evaluatie van de versterkte EU-ondersteuning van de Tweede Kamer, 2007-2011 (2011). Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Christiansen, T., Griglio, E. & Lupo, N. (2021). 'Making representative democracy work: the role of parliamentary administrations in the European Union', The Journal of Legislative Studies 27(4), p. 477–493. De Leeuw, J. (2014). Meer verzakelijking en verdere professionalisering: Externe validatie van het functioneren van de ambtelijke ondersteuning van de Tweede Kamer. Den Haag: ABTOPConsult.

Eerste Kamer (2008). Wijziging van het Reglement van Orde van de Eerste Kamer en van het Reglement voor de Griffie voor de interparlementaire betrekkingen in verband met het toevertrouwen van de zorg voor de Griffie voor de interparlementaire betrekkingen aan de Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal. Den Haag: Eerste Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Eerste Kamer (2022). Raming der voor de Eerste Kamer in 2023 benodigde uitgaven, evenals aanwijzing en raming van de ontvangsten. Den Haag: Eerste Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Enthoven, G. (2011). Hoe vertellen we het de Kamer? Een Empirisch Onderzoek naar de Informatierelatie tussen Regering en Parlement. Delft: Eburon.

Gattermann, K., Högenauer, A-L. & Huff, A. (2016). 'Research note: studying a new phase of europeanisation of national parliaments', European Political Science 15, p. 89–107.

Griglio, E. & Lupo, N. (2021). 'Parliamentary administrations in the bicameral systems of Europe: joint or divided?', The Journal of Legislative Studies, 27(4), p. 513–534.

Handreiking ambtelijke bijstand bij initiatiefwetgeving (2018). Available at:

https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/rapporten/2018/08/28/tk-bijlage-handreiking-ambtelijke-bijstand-bij-initiatiefwetgeving (Accessed: 29 March 2021).

Högenauer, A-L. & Neuhold, C. (2015). 'National Parliaments after Lisbon: administrations on the rise?, West European Politics, 38(2), p. 335–354.

Högenauer, A-L., Neuhold, C. & Christiansen, T. (2016). Parliamentary administrations in the European Union. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Loeffen, S. (2013). 'Naar een sterker parlement: meer (ruimte voor) onderzoek', *Montesquieu Instituut Policy Papers*, 1.

Mastenbroek, E., Zwaan, P., Groen, A., van Meurs, W., Reiding, H., Dörrenbächer, N. & Neuhold, C. (2014). Engaging with Europe: Evaluating national parliamentary control of EU decision making after the Lisbon Treaty. Radboud University Nijmegen.

Neuhold, C. & Högenauer, A-L. (2016). 'An information network of officials? Dissecting the role and nature of the network of parliamentary representatives in the European Parliament', The Journal of Legislative Studies, 22(2), p. 237–256.

Organogram (no date). Available at: https://www.tweedekamer.nl/over_de_tweede_kamer/organogram (Accessed: 20 January 2021).

Regeling financiële ondersteuning fracties Tweede Kamer 2014 (2020). BWBR0035068. Available at: https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0035068/2020-01-28 (Accessed: 30 March 2021).

Regeling vertrouwelijke stukken (2018). Available at: https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0031383/2018-04-17 (Accessed: 30 March 2021).

Reglement griffie interparlementaire betrekkingen Staten-Generaal (2020). Available at:

https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0024419/2020-01-28 (Accessed: 15 April 2022).

Staatscommissie parlementair stelsel (2018). Lage drempels, hoge dijken: Democratie en rechtsstaat in balans. Amsterdam: Boom.

Tweede Kamer (no date). Functielijst ambtelijke organisatie. Available at:

https://www.tweedekamer.nl/kamerstukken/detail?id=2020D16659&did=2020D16659 (Accessed: 21 January 2021).

Tweede Kamer (2002). Liaisonfunctie Brussel-Den Haag en ondersteuning inzake Europees Beleid: Brief van de algemene commissie voor Europese Zaken. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2008). Parlementaire zelfreflectie 2007-2009. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2010). Vaststelling van de begrotingsstaat van de Staten-Generaal (IIA) voor het jaar 2011: Brief van de minister van Binnenlandse Zaken en Koninkrijksrelaties. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2011). Vaststelling van de begrotingsstaat van de Staten-Generaal (IIA) voor het jaar 2012: Memorie van toelichting. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2012). Vaststelling van de begrotingsstaat van de Staten-Generaal (IIA) voor het jaar 2011: Memorie van toelichting. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2014a). Regeling financiële ondersteuning fracties Tweede Kamer: Brief van het presidium. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2014b). Democratische legitimiteit: Verslag van de rapporteur. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2015a). Raming der voor de Tweede Kamer in 2016 benodigde uitgaven, alsmede aanwijzing en raming van de ontvangsten: Begrotingstoelichting (uitgaven en ontvangsten). Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2015b). Vaststelling van de begrotingsstaat van de Staten-Generaal (ILA) voor het jaar 2016: Memorie van toelichting. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2017a). Raming der voor de Tweede Kamer in 2018 benodigde uitgaven, alsmede aanwijzing en raming van de ontvangsten: Nota naar aanleiding van het verslag. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2017b). Jaarverslag en slotwet Staten-Generaal 2016. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2019a). Nota over de toestand van 's Rijks Financiën: Motie van het lid Jetten c.s. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2019b). Vaststelling van de begrotingsstaat van de Staten-Generaal (IIA) voor het jaar 2011: Amendement van het lid Sneller c.s. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2020a). Vaststelling van de begrotingsstaat van de Staten-Generaal (IIA) voor het jaar 2021: Memorie van toelichting. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2020b). Raming der voor de Tweede Kamer in 2021 benodigde uitgaven, alsmede aanwijzing en raming van de ontvangsten: Nota naar aanleiding van het verslag. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2020c). Reglement van orde. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2020d). Update vereist: Naar meer parlementaire grip op digitalisering. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2021). Versterking functies Tweede Kamer: Meer dan de som der delen. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Tweede Kamer (2022a). Aantallen medewerkers. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal. Tweede Kamer (2022b). Raming der voor de Tweede Kamer in 2023 benodigde uitgaven, alsmede aanwijzing en raming van de ontvangsten: Geleidende brief. Den Haag: Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal.

Van der Wilde, D. (2019). 'Het Haagse Taboe: De capaciteitsproblemen van de Kamer zijn 'een bloody shame", *NPO Radio 1.* Available at: https://www.nporadio1.nl/politiek/17236-het-haagse-taboe-decapaciteitsproblemen-van-de-kamer-zijn-een-bloody-shame (Accessed: 19 January 2021).

Van der Woude, W. (2014). 'Staten-Generaal en wetgeving', RegelMaat, 29(6), p. 322-333.

Waling, G. (2019). 'Steun de Kamer, versterk onze democratie'. Available at: https://steundekamer.nl (Accessed: 19 January 2021).

Poland's Parliamentary Administration

Konstytucja Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej z dnia 2 kwietnia 1997 r. [The Constitution of the Republic of Poland of 2 April 1997], J. of L. 1997, No. 78, item 483 as amended.

Ustawa z dnia 16 września 1982 r. o pracownikach urzędów państwowych [Act of 16 September 1982 on Employees of State Offices], consolidated text: J. of. L of 2001, No. 86, item 953, as amended.

Ustawa z dnia 21 sierpnia 1997 r. o ograniczeniu prowadzenia działalności gospodarczej przez osoby pełniące funkcje publiczne [Act of 21 August 1997 on Restriction on Conduct of Business Activities by Persons Performing Public Functions], J. of L. of 1997, No. 106, item 679, as amended.

Ustawa z dnia 20 lipca 2000 r. o ogłaszaniu aktów normatywnych i niektórych innych aktów prawnych [Act of 20 July 2000 on the Publishing Normative Acts and Certain Other Legal Acts], J. of L. of 2000, No. 62, item 718.

Ustawa z dnia 27 sierpnia 2009 r. o finansach publicznych [Act of 27 August 2009 on Public Finance], J. of. L. of 2009, No. 157, item 1240, as amended.

Uchwała Sejmu Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej z dnia 30 lipca 1992 r. Regulamin Sejmu Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej [Resolution of the Sejm of the Republic of Poland of 30 July 1992. Rules of Procedure of the Sejm of the Republic of Poland], consolidated text: M.P. 2020, item 476, as amended.

Uchwała Senatu Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej z dnia 23 listopada 1990 r. Regulamin Senatu [Resolution of the Senate of the Republic of Poland of 23 November 1990. Rules of Procedure of the Senate of the Republic of Poland], consolidated text: M.P. 2018, item 846, as amended.

Załącznik do zarządzenia nr 6 Marszałka Sejmu z dnia 21 marca 2002 r. Statut Kancelarii Sejmu [Annex to Order No. 6 of the Speaker of the Sejm of 21 March 2002: The Statute of the Chancellery of the Sejm], online access: https://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/page.xsp/KS statut

Załącznik do zarządzenia nr 6 Marszałka Senatu z dnia 20 października 2006 r. Statut Kancelarii Senatu [Annex to Order No. 6 of the Speaker of the Senate of 20 October 2006. The Statute of the Chancellery of the Senate], online access: https://www.senat.gov.pl/kancelaria/statut-kancelarii-senatu/

łłowiecki, R. (2010) Status legislatora w organach władzy publicznej. Zawód legislator [Status of Legislative Drafting. The profession of Drafter], Przegląd Sejmowy, no. 6, pp. 28–30.

Radziewicz, P. (2013) Doradztwo naukowe w pracach Sejmu [Scientific Consultancy Services in the work of the Sejm]. Przegląd Sejmowy, no. 5, pp. 36–37.

Witkowski, Z. (2021) 'Sejm [The Sejm]' in Witkowski, Z. , Lis-Staranowicz, D. Serowaniec, M. (eds.), Polskie prawo konstytucyjne w obliczu wyzwań współczesności [Polish constitutional law in the context of contemporary challenges]. Toruń: TNOiK Publishing House, pp. 272–273.

Woś, K. (2010) Kancelaria Sejmu – zadania, struktura, funkcjonowanie [The Chancellery of the Sejm – tasks, structure, functioning]. Warsaw: The Sejm Publishing House.

Zientarski, P.B. (2011) Organizacja wewnętrzna Senatu. Studium prawnoustrojowe [The internal organization of the Senate: A legal and organizational study]. Warsaw: The Chancellery of the Senate. Zubik, M. (2003) Organizacja wewnętrzna Sejmu RP [Internal organization of the Sejm of the Republic of Poland]. Warsaw: The Sejm Publishing House.

Analysis of the execution of the state budget and assumptions of the monetary policy by the Supreme Audit Office in 2000–2020, online access: www.nik.gov.pl [accessed: 27.03.2021]

Maciej Serowaniec – Associate Professor, Department of Constitutional Law, Faculty of Law and Administration, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń (Poland), ORCID: 0000-0003-4693-7977, e-mail: mserowaniec@umk.pl

Portugal's Parliamentary Administration

Araújo, J. M. and Ferreira, R. P. (2019) 'Diplomacia parlamentar' in Vargas, A. and Fonseca, T. (eds.) Como funciona o Parlamento. Lisboa: Assembleia da República, p. 368.

Bernardo, A. P. and Cipriano, V. (2019) 'O Orçamento do Estado' in Vargas, A. and Fonseca, T. (eds.) Como funciona o Parlamento. Lisboa: Assembleia da República, p. 92.

Dias Pinheiro, B. and Ribeiro Lopes, C. (2019) 'A Assembleia da República e a União Europeia' in Vargas, A. and Fonseca, T. (eds.) Como funciona o Parlamento. Lisboa: Assembleia da República, p. 368. Fernandes, T. and Tibúrcio, T. (2019) 'Raio-X da participação dos cidadãos no Parlamento' in Vargas, A. and Fonseca, T. (eds.) Como funciona o Parlamento. Lisboa: Assembleia da República, p. 313. Gonçalves, F. P. (2019) 'Autonomia parlamentar' in Vargas, A. and Fonseca, T. (eds.) Como funciona o Parlamento. Lisboa: Assembleia da República, p. 268.

Romania's Parliamentary Administration

Badea, C. (2019) 'Câți salariați plătim la Camera Deputașilor și cât ne costă consilierii lui Dragnea', *Ziare.com*, 17 January 2019 [Online], Available at: https://ziare.com/politica/camera-deputatilor/cati-salariati-platim-la-camera-deputatilor-si-cat-ne-costa-consilierii-lui-dragnea-1545976 (Accessed: 7 March 2021). Campbell, S., and Laporte, J. (1981) 'The Staff of the Parliamentary Assemblies in France', Legislative Studies Quarterly, VI(4), pp. 521–531.

Chiva, C. (2007) 'The Institutionalization of Post-Communist Parliaments: Hungary and Romania in a Comparative Perspective', Parliamentary Affairs, 60(2), pp. 187–211. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsm012 Dădăcuș, L. (2013) 'Exclusiv, Parlamentarii au 2265 de angajați pentru care decontează peste 3 milioane de lei lunar – lista completă a parlamentariior și a numărului de angajați', *Mediafax*, 15 November 2013 [Online]. Available at: https://translate.google.com/translate?hl=en&sl=ro&u=https://www.mediafax.ro/politic/exclusiv-parlamentarii-au-2-265-de-angajati-pentru-care-deconteaza-peste-3-milioane-de-lei-lunar-lista-completa-a-parlamentarilor-si-a-numarului-de-angajati-11689769&prev=search&pto=aue (Accessed: 21 January 2021). Dima, B. (2009) 'Semiprezidențialismul românesc postdecembrist', Sfera Politicii 17(139), pp. 14–29. Dragoman, D. (2019) Democratic Transition and Consolidation in Romania: Civic Engagement and Elite Behavior After 1989. Berlin: Peter Lang.

Elgie, R. (2011) Semi-presidentialism: Sub-types and Democratic Performace. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

G4Media (2020) 'Traian Berbeceanu a fost angajat ca funcționar public la grupul deputaților PNL. Pentru a fi numit prefect, el trebuie să facă parte din corpul funcționarilor publici', *G4 Media*, 20 October 2020 [Online]. Available at: https://www.g4media.ro/traian-berbeceanu-a-fost-angajat-ca-functionar-public-la-grupul-deputatilor-pnl-pentru-a-fi-numit-prefect-el-trebuie-sa-faca-parte-din-corpul-functionarilor-publici.html (Accessed: 27 January 2021).

Gaspar, I. (2014) 'Evoluţia instituţiei secretarului general în sistem parlamentar', Drepturile omului, 2, pp. 34–39.

Gherghina, S. (2019) 'Hijacked Direct Democracy: The Instrumental Use of Referendums in Romania', East European Politics and Societies, 33(3), pp. 778–797. doi: 10.1177/0888325418800553.

Gherghina, S. and Chiru, M. (2018) 'Romania: an ambivalent parliamentary opposition' in: De Giorgi, E. and Ilonszki, G. (eds.) Opposition Parties in European Legislatures: Conflict or Consensus? Series: Routledge studies on political parties and party systems. Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 191–209.

Gherghina, S. et al. (2016) 'Former Presidents and their parties: making post-mandate carriers. Insights from Bulgaria and Romania', *European Consortium of Political Research General Conference*, 7–10 September 2016, Prague [Online]. Available at: https://ecpr.eu/Events/PaperDetails.aspx?PaperID=30116&EventID=95 (Accessed: 28 January 2021).

Iancu, A. (2013) 'Searching for Representation. Romanian Parliamentary Elites and their Political Roles', Studia Politica. Romanian Political Science Review, 2, pp. 225–256.

lancu, A. (2018) 'Questioning Anticorruption In Post-Communist Contexts: Romanian MPs from Commitment to Contestation', Südosteuropa. Journal of Politics and Society, 66(3), pp. 392–417. https://doi.org/10.1515/soeu-2018-0030

Iancu, A. (2019) La démocratie roumaine à ses débuts: Élitisme, apolitisme et informalité gouvernementale. Iasi: Institutul European.

Iancu, B. (2009) 'Constitutionalism in Perpetual Transition: The Case of Romania' in: Iancu, B. (ed.) The Law/Politics Distinction in Contemporary Public Law Adjudication. Utrecht: Eleven International Publishing. Ionascu, A. (2006) 'The Evolution of Parties Supporting Government forms of Patronage in Post-Communist Romania', Sfera Politicii, 123–124, pp. 62–77.

Ionescu, V. (2018) 'Sondaj CURS: Încrederea în Parlament a scăzut cu 9%, până la 14%. Încrederea în Justiție a crescut la 34%', *Curs de guvernare.ro*, 10 July 2018 [Online]. Available at:

https://cursdeguvernare.ro/sondaj-curs-increderea-parlament-scazut-cu-9-pana-la-14-increderea-justitie-crescut-la-34.html (Accessed: 9 February 2021).

lorgovan, A. (1998) Odiseea elaborării constituției. Fapte și documente, oameni și caractere, cronica și explicații, dezvăluiri și meditații. Târgu Mureș: Uniunea Vatra Româneasca.

IPP (2012) 'Sinteza activității parlamentarilor în mandatul 2008 – 2012', Institute for public policies, *Seria de Rapoarte de monitorizare a activității parlamentare*, September 2012 [Online]. Available at: http://www.ipp.ro/protfiles.php?IDfile=162 (Accessed: 15 May 2015).

IPP (2016) 'Parlamentul Absent. Scurt bilanț al legislaturii 2012–2016', Institute for public policies, *Seria de Rapoarte de monitorizare a activității parlamentare*, 2016 [Online]. Available at: https://www.ipp.ro/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Bilanţ-al-principalelor-aspecte-care-au-marcat-activitatea-actualului-legislativ-în-perioada-2012—-2016.pdf (Accessed: 15 January 2021).

Law 7/2006 (Legea 7 din 11 ianuarie 2006 privind statutul funcționarului public în parlamentar), *Monitorul Oficial*, 345, 25 mai 2009, Available at: http://legislatie.just.ro/Public/DetaliiDocument/106147 (Accessed: 15 December 2020).

Parau, C. (2015) 'Explaining Governance of the Judiciary in Central and Eastern Europe: External Incentives, Transnational Elites and Parliamentary Inaction', Europe-Asia Studies 67(3), pp. 409–442. DOI: 10.1080/09668136.2015.1016401.

Perju, V. (2015) 'The Romanian Double Executive and the 2012 Constitutional Crisis', International Journal of Constitutional Law, 13(1), pp. 246–278. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mov011

Petcu, T. (2020) 'Statul la stat. Cabinetele parlamentare, trambulină pentru ocuparea fără concurs a unui post bine plătit în instituții publice', Digi24, 8 October 2020, [online]. Available at:

https://www.digi24.ro/special/statul-la-stat/statul-la-stat-cabinetele-parlamentare-trambulina-pentru-ocuparea-fara-concurs-a-unui-post-bine-platit-in-institutii-publice-1380656 (Accessed: 25 January 2021).

Postelnicu, V. (2017) 'Asta, da, viață de parlamentar! 800 de angajați în slujba aleșilor noștri', *Libertatea*, 7 April 2017, [online]. Available at: https://www.libertatea.ro/stiri/exclusiv-peste-800-de-persoane-si-au-angajat-parlamentarii-de-la-inceputul-legislaturii-campionul-angajarilor-un-deputat-pnl-care-10-colaboratori-vrancea-1798921 (Accessed: 5 January 2021).

Profit (2021) 'Parlamentarii au stabilit salariile pentru angajații de la propriile cabinete. Cât vor câștiga oamenii de încredere ai aleșilor', *Profit*, 24 February 2021 [Online]. Available at:

https://www.profit.ro/stiri/politic/parlamentarii-au-stabilit-salariile-pentru-angajatii-de-la-propriile-cabinete-cat-vor-castiga-oamenii-de-incredere-ai-alesilor-20004318 (Accessed: 1 March 2021).

Protv (2010) 'Consilierii parlamentarilor: multi si foarte bine platiti din bani public', *Stirile Protv*, 23 June 2010 [online]. Available at: https://stirileprotv.ro/stiri/politic/consilierii-parlamentarilor-multi-si-foarte-bine-platiti-din-bani-publici.html (Accessed: 15 February 2021).

Roper, S. and Crowther, W. (1998) 'The Institutionalization of the Romanian Parliament: A Case Study of the State-building Process in Eastern Europe', Southeastern Political Review, 26(2), pp. 401–426. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1346.1998.tb00488.x

Sajó, A. and Uitz, R. (2017) The Constitution of Freedom. An Introduction to Legal Constitutionalism. Oxford: UP.

Selejan-Gutan, B. (2016) The Constitution of Romania A Contextual Analysis. Oxford: Hart.

Semenova, E., Edinger, M. and Best, H. (2014) 'Parliamentary elite formation after communism: an introduction' in: Semenova, E., Edinger, M. and Best, H. (eds.) Parliamentary Elites in Central and Eastern Europe Recruitment and representation. London: Routledge, pp. 1–31.

Tacea, A. (2015) 'The slow adaptation of the new member states: the Romanian parliament and the European integration', in: Hefftler, C. et al. (eds.) The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 613–631.

Tănăsescu, S. (2015) 'Conflicting revisions to the Romanian constitution give rise to questions about semi-presidentialism', in: Liao, D.C., Shen, Y.C. and Wu, Y-S. (eds.) Semi-Presidentialism Across Continents: A Dialogue Between Asia and Europe. Taipei: Wunan Books, pp. 57–87.

Zf (2016) 'Consilierii aleşilor ar putea deveni funcţionari publici parlamentari', Ziarul Financiar, 7 May 2016, 7.05.2016, [Online]. Available at: https://www.zf.ro/print/15289891 Accessed: 18 January 2021).

Ziare.com (2014) 'Deputatii si-au aprobat bugetul pe 2015: Cati bani primesc in plus', *Ziare.com*, 9 December 2014 [Online]. Available at: https://ziare.com/politica/camera-deputatilor/deputatii-si-au-aprobat-bugetul-pe-2015-cati-bani-primesc-in-plus-1337766 (Accessed: 17 December 2020).

Slovakia's Parliamentary Administration

Act of the National Council of the Slovak Republic on the Rules of Procedure of the National Council of the Slovak Republic 1996 as amended, No. 350/1996, Bratislava: Slov-Lex právny a informačný portál, available in Slovak language from: https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/1996/350/20210101.html [accessed 30 March 2021].

Act on Salaries of Certain Constitutional Officials of the Slovak Republic 1993 as amended, No. 120/1993, Bratislava: Slov-Lex právny a informačný portál, available in Slovak language from: https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/1993/120/20210101 [accessed 12 April 2021].

Act on Civil Service and on Amendment and Supplementation of Certain Acts 2017 as amended, No. 55/2017, Bratislava: Slov-Lex právny a informačný portál, available in Slovak language from: https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/2017/55/20210301 [accessed 30 March 2021].

Act on the State Budget for 2021, No. 425/2020, Bratislava: Bratislava: Slov-Lex právny a informačný portál, available in Slovak language from: https://www.slov-lex.sk/pravne-predpisy/SK/ZZ/2020/425/20210101.html [accessed 30 March 2021].

National Council of the Slovak Republic . (2021a). *Annual Reports of the National Council of the Slovak Republic for years 2003–2020* [online]. Available in Slovak language from:

https://www.nrsr.sk/web/?sid=nrsr/kancelaria/pi/oa/vyrsprava [accessed 10 April 2021].

National Council of the Slovak Republic . (2021b). *Organizational Rules of the Chancellery of the National Council of the Slovak Republic* [online]. Available in Slovak language from:

https://www.nrsr.sk/web/Static/sk-SK/NRSR/organizacny_poriadok_20190515.pdf [accessed 10 April 2021]. National Council of the Slovak Republic . (2021c). Roles and Responsibilities of Parliamentary Groups [online]. Available in Slovak language from: https://www.nrsr.sk/web/?sid=poslanci/kluby/postavenie [accessed 10 April 2021].

Parliamentary Institute . (2020). *Information Bulletin* [online]. Available in Slovak language from: https://www.nrsr.sk/web/Dynamic/DocumentPreview.aspx?DocID=489575 [accessed 10 April 2021].

Parliamentary Library of the National Council . (2021). *Welcome* [online]. Available in English language from: https://kniznica.nrsr.sk/index.php/en/welcome [accessed 15 April 2021].

Slovak National Council. (1990). Draft of the Interim Organizational Structure of the Chancellery of the Slovak National Council No. 643/1990. Bratislava: Parliamentary Archives.

Statistical Office of the Slovak Republic . (2021). *Quarterly GDP data by industry in current prices* [online]. Available in Slovak language from:

http://datacube.statistics.sk/#!/view/sk/VBD_INTERN/nu0005qs/v_nu0005qs_00_00_00_sk [accessed 30 March 2021].

Slovenia's Parliamentary Administration

Elgie, R. and Moestrup, S. eds., (2008). Semi-Presidentialism in Central and Eastern Europe. Manchester, New York: Manchester University Press.

Fink-Hafner, D., (2020). Destabilizacija slovenskega strankarskega sistema po letu 2000. In: A. Krašovec and T. Deželan, eds. Volilno leto. Ljubljana: Fakulteta za družbene vede. pp. 5–35.

Fink-Hafner, D. and Krašovec, A., (2005). Is Consultation Everything? The Influence of Interest Groups on Parliamentary Woking Bodies in Slovenia. Czech Sociological Review. 41 (3), 401–421.

Fink-Hafner, D. and Krašovec, A., (2019). The Presidentialisation of Parties in Slovenia: Leaders and Parties. In: G. Passarelli, ed. The Presidentialisation of Political Parties in the Western Balkans. Cham: Palgrave, MacMillan. pp. 145–167.

Haughton, T. and Deegan Krause, K., (2020). The New Party Challenge. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Krašovec, A., (2000) Moč v političnih strankah. Ljubljana: Fakulteta za družbene vede.

Krašovec, A., (2017). A Hint at Entrepreneurial Parties? The Case of Four New Successful Parties in Slovenia. Politologicky Časopis. 24 (2), 158–178.

Krašovec, A., (2018). Evolution of Party Regulation in Slovenia and the Party System: From Some to Marginal Impact? In: F. Casal Bertoa and I. van Biezen, eds. The Regulation of Post-Communist Party Politics. London and New York: Routledge. pp. 236–256.

Krašovec, A. and Haughton, T., (2011). Money, Organization and the State: The Partial Cartelization of Party Politics in Slovenia. Communist and Post-Communist Studies. 44 (3), 199–209.

Krašovec, A. and Krpič, T., (2019). Slovenia: Majority Coalitions and the Strategy of Dropping out of Cabinet. In: T. Bergman, G. Ilonszki and W. C. Müller, eds. Coalition Governance in Central Eastern Europe. Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp. 475–521.

Krašovec, T., (2004). Parlamentarne Administracije. In: T. Krašovec, ed. Zbornik 2004. Ljubljana: Državni zbor RS. pp. 46–75. Available from: https://fotogalerija.dz-

rs.si/datoteke/Publikacije/Zborniki RDS/Zbornik RDS - 2003.pdf [Accessed 22 April 2021].

Lončar, L., (2008). Organiziranost služb Državnega zbora Republike Slovenije – izkušnje in novim izzivom naproti. Uprava. 6 (3), 131–153.

Lukšič, I., (2003). Corporatism Packaged in Pluralist Ideology: The Case of Slovenia. Communist and Post-Communist Studies. 36 (4), 509–525.

Olson, M. D. and Mezey, L. M., (1991). Parliaments and Public Policy. In: D. M. Olson and M. L. Mezey, eds. Legislatures in the Policy Process: The Dilemmas of Economic Policy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 1–23.

Samuels, D. J. and Shugart, M. S., (2010). Presidents, Parties, and Prime Ministers: How the Separation of Powers Affects Party Organization and Behaviour. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Sancin, V. et al., (2018). Avtonomija državnega zbora v vseh vidikih njene pojavnosti. Ljubljana: Pravna fakultete Univerze v Ljubljani. [Viewed 15 April 2021]. Available from: https://fotogalerija.dz-

rs.si/datoteke/Publikacije/Raziskovalni_projekti/Avtonomija_Drzavnega_zbora_v_vseh_vidikih_njene_pojavnosti.pdf

Stanič Igličar, H., (2007). Parlamentarni uslužbenci v Sloveniji. M.A. Dissertation, Faculty of Social Science, University of Ljubljana.

Velišček, J., (2011). Avtonomija parlamenta na primeru Državnega zbora Republike Slovenije. M.A. Dissertation, Faculty of Social Science, University of Ljubljana.

Žagar, K. and Blažič, J. , (2008). *Sodelovanje med državljani in Državnim zborom: Raziskovalna naloga št. 4/08* . Ljubljana: Državni zbor Republike Slovenije.

Zajc, D., (2000). Parlamentarno odločanje. Ljubljana: Fakulteta za družbene vede.

Zajc. D. , (2009). Sodobni parlamentarizem in proces zakonodajnega odločanja. Ljubljana: Fakulteta za družbene vede.

Decree on the Organization and Functioning of the Državni Zbor's Services, Official Gazette 12/1993.

Decree on the Organization and Functioning of the Državni Zbor's Services. Official Gazette 19/1997.

Decree on the Organization and Functioning of the Državni Zbor's Services. Official Gazette 124/2000.

Decree on the Internal Organization and the System of Positions in the Services of the Državni Zbor's. Official Gazette 45/2021.

Interview with Milan Brglez, former President of the Državni Zbor's. Interview with an author, 20 June 2021. Law on the Državni Zbor's. Official Gazette 66/2019.

Law on Members of the Parliament. Official Gazette 48/1992.

Report for period 23.12.1992–16.10.1996. Ljubljana: Državni zbor. [Viewed 17 April 2021]. Available from: https://fotogalerija.dz-

rs.si/datoteke/Publikacije/PorocilaDZ/1992_%E2%80%93_1996/Mandatno_porocilo_1992-1996.pdf

Report on Work of the Državni Zbor's in the 2018–2022 period: Third Year of the Term (2021). Ljubljana:

Državni zbor. [Viewed 17 April 2021] Available from: https://fotogalerija.dz-

rs.si/datoteke/Publikacije/PorocilaDZ/Mandat_2018%E2%80%9

32022/Porocilo o delu Drzavnega zbora v letu 2020.pdf

Report on Work of the Research Section in 2020 (2021). Ljubljana: Državni zbor.

Rules of Procedure of the Državni Zbor's. Official Gazette 40/1993.

Rules of Procedure of the Državni Zbor's. Official Gazette 35/2002.

Spain's Parliamentary Administration

Astarloa, I. (2017) El Parlamento moderno. Importancia, descrédito y cambio. Madrid: lustel.

García-Escudero, P. (2021) 'Parliamentary scrutiny of the quality of legislation in Spain. The role of parliamentary clerks', The Theory and Practice of Legislation, DOI: 10.1080/20508840.2021.1904565.

García-Escudero, P. (2020) 'Actividad y funcionamiento de las Cortes generales durante el estado de alarma por COVID-19', Cuadernos Manuel Giménez Abad, 19, pp. 18–27.

Griglio, E. and Lupo, N. (2021) 'Parliamentary administrations in the bicameral systems of Europe: joint or divided?', The Journal of Legislative Studies, DOI: 10.1080/13572334.2021.1953268.

Santamaría Pastor, J.A. (2016), Los gestores del Congreso y el Senado, in http://www.ahorasemanal.es/los-gestores-del-congreso-y-el-senado

Santos Canalejo, E. de. (2018) 'Evolución y retos de la Administración Parlamentaria en las Cortes Generales' Revista de las Cortes Generales, 105 pp. 17–50.

Tudela, J. (2010) 'La Administración parlamentaria en la encrucijada de la renovación', Corts: Anuario de derecho parlamentario, 23 pp. 157–191.

Sweden's Parliamentary Administration

Bäck, Hanna and Hellström, Johan , (2018). "Sverige behöver underlätta för minoritetsstyren", *Svenska Dagbladet*, 2018-12-01, available on https://www.svd.se/sverige-behover-underlatta-for-minoritetsstyren, quoted 2021-04-20.

Bolin, Niklas and Larue, Thomas, (2016). "The Reparliamentarization of Sweden? The Use and Relevance of Parliamentary Resolutions to the Government", Statsvetenskaplig tidskrift 118(3), pp. 307–337.

Hajdarevic, Asmir and Larue, Thomas, (2021). "Swedish Parliamentary Committees' Right to Initiative – The Opposition's New Hammer?", Statsvetenskaplig tidskrift 123(3), pp. 471–510.

Lindvall, Johannes, Bäck, Hanna, Dahlström, Carl, Naurin, Elin and Teorell, Jan, (2017). SNS

Demokratirapport 2017 – Samverkan och strid i den parlamentariska demokratin. Stockholm: SNS Förlag. Loewenberg, Gerhard and Patterson, Samuel C. , (1979). Comparing Legislatures. Lanham: University Press of America.

Mattson, Ingvar, (1995). "Private Members' Initiatives and Amendments" in H. Döring (ed.), Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe. Frankfurt: Campus Verlag and New York: St. Martin's Press, pp. 448–487. Mattson, Ingvar and Strøm, Kaare, (1995). "Parliamentary Committees" in H. Döring (ed.), Parliaments and Majority Rule in Western Europe. Frankfurt: Campus Verlag and New York: St. Martin's Press, pp. 249–307. Oscarsson, Henrik, Bergman, Torbjörn, Bergström, Annika and Hellström, Johan, (2021). SNS Demokratirapport 2021: Polarisering i Sverige. Stockholm: SNS förlag.

Petersson, Olof, (2020). "Kan vi få en riksrättsprocess som den i USA här i Sverige", *Dagens Nyheter*, 2020-01-28, available on https://www.dn.se/debatt/kan-vi-fa-en-riksrattsprocess-som-den-i-usa-har-i-sverige/, quoted 2021-03-14.

Sartori, Giovanni, (1976). Parties and Party Systems: A Framework for Analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Teorell, Jan, Bäck, Hanna, Hellström, Johan and Lindvall, Johannes, (2020). 134 dagar – Om regeringsbildningen efter valet 2018. Göteborg: Makadam förlag.

Wehner, Joachim, (2007). "Budget Reform and Legislative Control in Sweden", Journal of European Public Policy 14(2), pp. 313–332.

Albania's Parliamentary Administration

Act 166/2004 (amending). Rules of procedure of the Assembly of the Republic of Albania,

https://www.legislationline.org/download/id/8100/file/Albania_Rules_of_procedure_assembly_as_of_2011_en.pdf

Assembly annual reports 2005–2020, available at

http://staging.parlament.al/Files/Informacione/Buletini%20statistikor%202021-2025..pdf

Bufi, Y. (2010). The Temple of Democracy, Ombra GVG, Tirana. https://shtetiweb.org/leksione-shtetiweb/leksione-mbi-procedurat-parlamentare/

BTI (2021) Albania Country Report 2020 https://www.bti-project.org/en/reports/country-report-ALB-2020.html EU (2020) Progress Reports on Albania, available at

https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/COUNTRY 20 1794

European Commission . (2014). Enlargement Strategy and Main Challenges 2014–15' 1, the Commission put forward the following conclusions and recommendations on Albania: https://wbc-

rti.info/object/document/14456/attach/20141008-albania-progress-report en.pdf

Helsinki Committee (2020). Assessing Human Rights and the Rule of Law as Albania chairs the OSCE, https://ahc.org.al/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Vleresimi-i-te-Drejtave-te-Njeriut-dhe-Shtetit-te-se-Drejtes-teksa-Shqiperia-kryeson-OSBE-ne.pdf

Interview with Budget Director, Ministry of Finance, 19.03.2021.

Interview with parliamentary employee, 18. 3. 2021.

IPA National Programme (2010) for Albania Project fiche no 4: "Strengthening the Assembly of Albania" https://ec.europa.eu/neighbourhood-enlargement/sites/near/files/pdf/albania/ipa/2010/pf4_parliament_en.pdf ISP (2016–2020). Monitoring Reports on the Assembly, available at http://deputetim.al/index.php/publikime/ ISP (2019). The Parliament in the time of Covid-19, pg.25, http://isp.com.al/wp-

content/uploads/2020/09/ISPPARLAMENTI-GJATE-PERIUDHES-SE-COVID-19.pdf

ISP (2018). Parliament and representation: survey, monitoring and issues, Tirana. http://isp.com.al/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/ISP-RAPORT-ANKETIMI-I-DEPUTETEVE-2018.pdf

ISP (2020) Survey/Citizen perception on MPs and the Assembly, http://isp.com.al/index.php/2020/08/28/isp-perceptimi-gytetar-mbi-deputetet-dhe-parlamentin-anketim-2020-2/

Krasniqi, A. (2020) The Albanian Parliament in transition. Kuvendi, No. 12, pp.25-45,

https://www.parlament.al/Files/Informacione/Revista%20nr.%2012.pdf

Krasniqi, A. (2021). Impact on Democracy of Emergency Measures Against Covid-19: The Case of Albania, https://journals.sas.ac.uk/lawreview/article/view/5268/5104

Law no. 8550/1999. On the Status of MPs. http://parlament.al/Files/Informacione/ligji-per-statusin-e-deputetit.pdf

Law no 8549/1999. On the Status of Civil Servants. http://dap.gov.al/legjislacioni/per-sherbimin-civil Laws and acts for public administration, http://dap.gov.al/legjislacioni/per-administraten-publike Law no. 8417/1998, (amending). The Constitution of the Republic Of Albania,

http://parlament.al/Files/sKuvendi/kushtetuta.pdf

Pano, M. (2016). The role of the Assembly in European integrations process. Kuvendi, no.6, pp.45–63. https://www.parlament.al/Files/Informacione/Revista%20nr%206.pdf

SIGMA (2003). Public management profiles of Western Balkan Countries: Albania

http://www.sigmaweb.org/publications/35039571.pdf

Shllaku, A. (2005). The legistative process. Kuvendi, No.4, pp. 19–27,

https://www.parlament.al/Files/Informacione/Revistanr.4.pdf

Bosnia and Herzegovina's Parliamentary Administration

Agency for Statistics of BiH 2016, *Census of Population, Households and Dwellings in Bosnia and Herzegovina, 2013 – Final Results.* Sarajevo, June 2016.

Banović, D , Gavrić, S & Barreiro Marino, M 2021, The Political System of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Institutions – Actors – Processes, Springer, Cham.

Central Election Commission 2021, *Izborni Zakon Bosne i Hercegovine (Tehnički prečišćeni tekst*), viewed 22 March 2021,

<a href="https://www.izbori.ba/Documents/documents/ZAKONI/Tehnicki_precisceni_tekst/Tehnicki_pre

Civil Service Agency of BiH 2017a, *Zakon o državnoj službi u institucijama Bosne i Hercegovine – Integralni tekst*, viewed 22 March 2021, https://www.ads.gov.ba/en/articles/82/zakon-o-drzavnoj-sluzbi-u-institucijama-bosne-i-hercegovine-integralni-tekst.

Civil Service Agency of BiH 2017b, *Pravilnik o uslovima i načinu obavljanja internih konkursa, internih i eksternih premještaja državnih službenika u institucijama Bosne i Hercegovine – integralni tekst,* viewed 22 March 2021, https://www.ads.gov.ba/bs-Latn-BA/articles/96/pravilnik-o-uslovima-i-nacinu-obavljanja-internih-konkursa-internih-i-eksternih-premjestaja-drzavnih-sluzbenika-u-institucijama-bosne-i-hercegovine-integralni-tekst">https://www.ads.gov.ba/bs-Latn-BA/articles/96/pravilnik-o-uslovima-i-nacinu-obavljanja-internih-konkursa-integralni-tekst">https://www.ads.gov.ba/bs-Latn-BA/articles/96/pravilnik-o-uslovima-i-nacinu-obavljanja-internih-konkursa-integralni-tekst

Civil Service Agency of BiH 2017c, Pravilnik o karakteru i sadržaju javnog konkursa, načinu provođenja intervjua i obrascima za provođenje intervjua – Integralni tekst, viewed 22 March 2021,

https://www.ads.gov.ba/bs-Latn-BA/articles/97/pravilnik-o-karakteru-i-sadrzaju-javnog-konkursa-nacinu-provo-enja-intervjua-i-obrascima-za-provo-enje-intervjua-integralni-tekst.

Constitution of Bosnia and Herzegovina (as Amended in 2009).

Flessenkemper, T & Moll, N 2018, Das politische System Bosnien und Herzegowinas. Herausforderungen zwischen Dayton-Friedensabkommen und EU-Annäherung, Springer VS, Wiesbaden.

Kapidžić, D 2019, 'A Mirror of the Ethnic Divide: Interest Group Pillarization and Elite Dominance in Bosnia and Herzegovina'. Journal of Public Affairs, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1–12.

Kapidžić, D & Komar, O 2022, 'Segmental Volatility in Ethnically Divided Societies: (re)Assessing Party System Stability in Southeast Europe'. Nationalities Papers, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 535–553.

PABiH 2006, *Pravilnik o unutrašnjoj organizaciji i sistematizaciji radnih mjesta u Sekretarijatu Parlamentame skupštine BiH*, nr. 03-34-7-251/06, adopted 6 April 2006.

PABiH 2009, *Pravilnik o međunarodnim aktivnostima Parlamentarne skupštine BiH*, numbers: 01/7,02/3-50-1-17-4-20/06 adopted 26 July 2006. and 03/5-50-1-17-20,9/08 adopted 22 January 2009.

PABiH 2010, Parlamentarna Skupština Bosne i Hercegovine, PABiH, Sarajevo.

PABiH 2011, *Interna pravila o dostavljanju i obradi zahtjeva za istraživanje*, number 03-34-6-1448-2/10 adopted 3 February 2011.

PABiH 2014a, Poslovnik Zastupničkog doma Parlamentarne skupštine BiH, adopted 6 June 2014.

PABiH 2014b, Poslovnik Doma naroda Parlamentarne skupštine BiH, adopted 14 May 2014.

PABiH 2014c, Interna pravila o dostavljanju i obradi zahtjeva za stručnu pomoć pri izradi prijedloga zakona i amandmana na prijedloge zakona, number 03-02-4-1237/14 adopted 23 December 2014.

PABiH 2017a, *Zakon o Radu u Institucijama Bosne i Hercegovine (Neslužbeni pročišćeni tekst*), viewed 22 March 2021, https://www.parlament.ba/law/DownloadDocument?lawDocumentId=dbc1328e-41fd-4108-9620-8eb1fd9cb145&langTag=hr.

PABiH 2017b, *Jedinstvena pravila za izradu pravnih propisa u institucijama BiH (Neslužbeni pročišćeni tekst)*, viewed 16 August 2021, https://www.parlament.ba/law/DownloadDocument?lawDocumentId=8ff2657b-1df7-48c0-8e72-12a5d6737b9b&langTag=hr.

PABIH 2018, Pravilnik o komunikaciji, number 03-02-4-568/17 adopted 30 May 2018.

PABiH 2020a, *Pravilnik o radu zaposlenih u Sekretarijatu Parlamentarne skupštine Bosne i Hercegovine*, numbers: 03-34-6-1415/10 adopted 29 December 2010, 03-02-4-1332/12 adopted 29 November 2012, 03-02-4-771/14 adopted 26 March 2015, 03-02-4-2433/17 adopted 05 June 2018, and 03-02-4-652/20 adopted 29 September 2020.

PABiH 2020b, Instrukcija o organizaciji rada i pravima i obavezama zaposlenih u Sekretarijatu Parlamentarne skupštine BiH za vrijeme trajanja epidemije COVID-19, number 03-02-4-1928/20 adopted 28 September 2020.

Pejanović, M 2017, The State of Bosnia and Herzegovina and Democracy, University Press-Magistrat Izdanja, Sarajevo.

Službeni glasnik BiH 2000, *Pravilnik o unutrašnjoj organizaciji Sekretarijata Parlamentame skupštine Bosne i Hercegovine*, Službeni glasnik BiH, nr. 36/00.

Službeni glasnik BiH 2005, *Odluka o organizaciji Sekretarijata PSBiH*, Službeni glasnik BiH, nr. 92/05.

Službeni glasnik BiH 2008, *Odluka o organizaciji Sekretarijata PSBiH*, Službeni glasnik BiH, nr. 63/08.

Službeni glasnik BiH 2017, *Odluka o načinu provođenja obuke državnih službenika u institucijama Bosne i Hercegovine*, Službeni glasnik BiH, nr. 15/17.

Službeni glasnik BiH 2020, *Odluka o postupanju institucija BiH i pravima i obavezama zaposlenih u institucijama BiH tokom trajanja epidemije COVID-19*, Službeni glasnik BiH, nr. 58/20.

Iceland's Parliamentary Administration

Bernódusson, H. (2012). Parlamentsdirektørens lod og opgaver. In B. Brenno , ed. I Stortingets tjeneste: artikler ved Hans Brattestås fratreden som Stortingets direktør [In the Service of Stortinget: articles on the occasion of the retirement of Secretary General Hans Brattestås]. Oslo: Stortinget, pp. 13–22.

Félagsvísindastofnun Háskóla Íslands (2017). Úttekt á launum starfsfólks á skrifstofu Alþingis [Analysis of the salaries of Althingi Secretariat personnel]. Reykjavík: Félagsvísindastofnun Háskóla Íslands, p. 13. Fjársýsla ríkisins (2021). *Starfsmannaupplýsingar – Alþingi [Althingi staff statistics*]. [e-mail].

Magnússon, Þ. (1987). The Icelandic Althingi and Its Standing Committees. PhD. Exeter University.

Magnússon, Þ. (2005). Alþingi í ljósi samþættingar löggjafarvalds og framkvæmdarvalds. Stjórnmál og stjórnsýsla [The Icelandic Review of Politics and Administration], [online] Volume 1 (1), p. 38–42. Available at: http://www.irpa.is/article/view/a.2005.1.1.2/pdf 1 [Accessed 27 February 2021].

Magnússon, Þ. (2011a). Samþætting valdþáttanna og þróun Alþingis. In R. Helgadóttir , H.S. Kjartansson , and Þ. Magnússon , eds. Þingræði á Íslandi [Parliamentary Democracy in Iceland]. Reykjavík: Forlagið, pp. 424–430.

Magnússon, Þ. (2011b). Samþætting valdþáttanna og hlutverk Alþingis. In R. Helgadóttir , H.S. Kjartansson , and Þ. Magnússon , eds. Þingræði á Íslandi [Parliamentary Democracy in Iceland]. Reykjavík: Forlagið, pp. 403–422.

Magnússon, Þ. (2020). Punktar um sögu Skrifstofu Alþingis [Notes on the history of the Althingi's Secretariat] . Author's manuscript.

Skrifstofa Alþingis (1875). *Umræður [Debates]*. [online, p. 6] Available at

https://www.althingi.is/altext/althingistidindi/L001/001 thing 1.pdf [Accessed 20 February 2021].

Skrifstofa Alþingis (1915). Þingsköp Alþingis [Althingi's Standing Orders]. Reykjavík: Skrifstofa Alþingis.

Skrifstofa Alþingis (1996). Alþingismannatal [Biographical Directory of Members of Althingi]. Reykjavík: Skrifstofa Alþingis, pp. 482–484.

Skrifstofa Alþingis (2018). *Frumvarp um breytingu á lögum um þingfararkaup alþingismanna og þingfararkostnað* [Bill amending the Members' Salaries and Expenses Act]. [online] Available at https://www.althingi.is/altext/149/s/0704.html [Accessed 13 February 2021].

Skrifstofa Alþingis (2019). Handbók Alþingis 2017 [The Althingi Handbook]. Reykjavík: Skrifstofa Alþingis, pp. 212–217.

Skrifstofa Alþingis (2021a). *Þingsköp Alþingis [Althingi's Standing Orders]*. [online] Available at https://www.althingi.is/lagas/151a/1991055.html [Accessed 13 February 2021].

Skrifstofa Alþingis (2021b). Frumvarp um breytingu á þingsköp Alþingis [Bill amending the Althingi's Standing Orders]. [online] Available at https://www.althingi.is/altext/151/s/0790.html [Accessed 20 February 2021].

Skrifstofa Alþingis (2021c). *Skipurit og hlutverk [Organisational chart and role]*. [online] Available at https://www.althingi.is/um-althingi/skrifstofa-althingis/skipurit-og-hlutverk/ [Accessed 6 March 2021].

Skrifstofa Alþingis (2021d). Tölur varðandi skrifstofuna [Althingi staff statistics]. [email].

Skrifstofa Alþingis (2021e). Starfsmannahandbók [Staff Handbook]. [online] Available at

https://innri.althingi.is/starfsmannamal/starfsmannahandbok [Accessed 27 February 2021].

Skrifstofa Alþingis (2021f). *Traust til Alþingis vaxandi [Increased trust to the Althingi]*. [online] Available at https://www.althingi.is/tilkynningar/traust-til-althingis-vaxandi [Accessed 19 August 2021].

Skrifstofa Alþingis , (2021g). Organisational chart. [online] Available at

https://www.althingi.is/english/information-and-enquiries/organisational-chart/ [Accessed 19 August 2021].

Stjórnarráð Íslands (2021a). *Constitution of Iceland*. Available at:

https://www.government.is/Publications/Legislation/Lex/?newsid=89fc6038-fd28-11e7-9423-005056bc4d74 [Accessed 6 March 2021].

Stjórnarráð Íslands (2021b). *Tölfræði og útgefið efni [Statistics and publications]*. [online] Available at https://www.stjornarradid.is/verkefni/mannaudsmal-rikisins/tolfraedi-og-utgefid-efni/ [Accessed 19 March 2021].

Montenegro's Parliamentary Administration

Center for Democratic Transition (2019), Parliament Openness in the Region and Montenegro, Podgorica: Center for Democratic Transition.

Official Gazette of Montenegro (2007), Constitution of Montenegro, No 1/07, 38/13, Podgorica: Official Gazette of Montenegro.

Official Gazette of Montenegro (2013), Law on Civil Servants and State Employees, No, 39/11, Podgorica: Official Gazette of Montenegro.

Official Gazette of Montenegro (2018a), Law on Civil Servants and State Employees, No 2/18, Podgorica: Official Gazette of Montenegro.

Official Gazette of Montenegro (2018b). Rulebook on the Method of Assessment of Knowledge, Abilities, Competencies, Skills and Criteria and Method of Rating of Candidates During the Assessment of Knowledge, Abilities, Competencies and Skills in recruitment process in the Service of the Parliament of Montenegro, No 81/18, Podgorica, Official Gazette of Montenegro.

Official Gazette of Montenegro (2018c), Rulebook on the Content, Method or Preparation and Adoption of the Program of Professional Training and Development of the Parliamentary Service of Montenegro, No 81/18, Podgorica, Official Gazette of Montenegro.

Parliament of Montenegro (2021), Rulebook on Organisation and Systematization of the Parliamentary Service, No 00-57-2/21-44/7, Podgorica: Parliament of Montenegro.

Parliament of Montenegro (2006), Rules of Procedure of the Parliament of Montenegro, No 51/06, Podgorica: Official Gazette of Montenegro.

The European Commission (2017), Quality of Public Administration – A Toolbox for Practitioners, Brussels: The European Commission.

The Inter-Parliamentary Union (2020), Comparative research paper on parliamentary administration, Geneva: The Inter-Parliamentary Union.

The Parliament of Montenegro (2016), Cooperation Agreement between the Parliament and NGOs in Montenegro, Podgorica: The Parliament of Montenegro.

North Macedonia's Parliamentary Administration

Assembly of North Macedonia , 2021. Draft law amending the Law on the Assembly of the Republic of Macedonia, shortened procedure [WWW Document]. URL

https://www.sobranie.mk/materialdetails.nspx?materialId=a716e92d-7626-429a-a24e-422c119b4cda (accessed 7.31.21).

Assembly Strategic Plan (2021–2023) [WWW Document], 2021. URL https://www.sobranie.mk/strateshki-plan-na-sobranieto.nspx (accessed 4.1.21).

Assembly Yearly Report (August-December 2020) [WWW Document], 2021. URL

https://www.sobranie.mk/godishen-izveshtai.nspx (accessed 7.14.21).

Dimitrievski, A., 2021. 25 years in prison for "The April 27th Organizers" [WWW Document]. URL https://360stepeni.mk/25-godini-zatvor-za-organizatorite-na-27-april/ (accessed 7.30.21).

Jakov Marusic, S., 2017a. Macedonia's Wiretap Whistleblower Hails 'Fairytale' Ending. Balk. Insight. URL https://balkaninsight.com/2017/09/01/whistleblower-sheds-light-on-macedonia-wiretapping-scandal-09-01-2017/ (accessed 3.30.21).

Jakov Marusic, S., 2017b. Violence Erupts as Protesters Storm Macedonia Parliament. Balk. Insight. URL https://balkaninsight.com/2017/04/27/macedonia-elects-parliament-speaker-amid-ongoing-tension-04-27-2017-1/ (accessed 4.1.21).

Law on Assembly [WWW Document], 2020. URL https://www.sobranie.mk/zakon-za-sobranieto-na-rm.nspx (accessed 4.1.21).

Nimetz, M., 2020. The Macedonian "Name" Dispute: The Macedonian Question—Resolved? Natl. Pap. 48, 205–214. https://doi.org/10.1017/nps.2020.10

Ozimec, K., 2016. Macedonia: "Colorful Revolution" paints raucous rainbow | DW | 21.04.2016 [WWW Document]. DW.COM. URL https://www.dw.com/en/macedonia-colorful-revolution-paints-raucous-rainbow/a-19203365 (accessed 3.30.21).

Parliamentary Institute (2020) 5 November. Available at: shorturl.at/jqvQ2 (accessed:30.3.21).

Parliamentary Institute (2021) 8 March. Available at: shorturl.at/alvI7 (accessed 30.3.21).

Rechica, V., Jovevska Gjorgjevikj, A., 2021. Parliament Watch: Results from the field survey on citizens' perception about the work of the Assembly of Republic of North Macedonia (17 February–10 March 2021). IDSCS. URL https://idscs.org.mk/en/portfolio/parliament-watch-results-from-the-field-survey-on-citizens-perception-about-the-work-of-the-assembly-of-republic-of-north-macedonia-17-february-10-march-2021/ (accessed 7.30.21).

Rulebook for the Internal Organization of the Service of the Assembly [WWW Document], 2021. URL https://www.sobranie.mk/akti-generalen-sekretar.nspx (accessed 4.1.21).

Rules of Procedure of the Assembly of the Republic of North Macedonia [WWW Document], 2013. URL https://www.sobranie.mk/rules-procedures-of-the-assembly-ns_article-rules-of-procedure-of-the-assembly-of-the-republic-of-macedonia-precisten-tekst-2013.nspx (accessed 7.14.21).

The Consitution of North Macedonia [WWW Document], 2019. URL https://www.sobranie.mk/the-constitution-of-the-republic-of-macedonia-ns_article-constitution-of-the-republic-of-north-macedonia.nspx (accessed 4.1.21).

The Law on Administrative Servants [WWW Document], 2020. Minist. Inf. Soc. Adm. URL https://mioa.gov.mk/?q=en/documents/legislation (accessed 4.1.21).

Vasilevski, M., 2020. Minister Besimi officially handed over the Draft Budget for 2021 to the President of the Assembly [WWW Document]. URL

https://finance.gov.mk/2020/11/12/%D0%BC%D0%B8%D0%BD%D0%B8%D1%81%D1%82%D0%B5%D1%80%D0%BE%D1%82-%D0%B1%D0%B5%D1%81%D0%B8%D0%BC%D0%B8-

%D0%BE%D1%84%D0%B8%D1%86%D0%B8%D1%98%D0%B0%D0%BB%D0%BD%D0%BE%D0%BC%D1%83-%D0%B3%D0%BE-%D0%B2%D1%80/ (accessed 4.1.21).

WFD , 2020. Parliamentary Budget Office in North Macedonia presents their work and their first analysis to new MPs. Westminst. Found. Democr. WFD. URL https://www.wfd.org/2020/09/21/parliamentary-budget-office-in-north-macedonia-presents-their-work-and-their-first-analysis-to-new-mps/ (accessed 7.30.21).

Norway's Parliamentary Administration

Brenno, B., Grønn, H., Sandgrind, G. & Skjørestad, J. (eds.) (2012). I Stortingets tjeneste. Oslo: Stortinget.

Figved, I. L. (2012). Storting og samfunn – informasjons- og dokumentasjonsavdelingen. In Brenno, B. ,

Grønn, H., Sandgrind, G. & Skjørestad, J. (eds.) I Stortingets tjeneste. Oslo: Stortinget, 192–200.

Grønlie, T. (2014). Til forsvar for folkestyret. In Narud, H. M., Heidar, K. & Grønlie, T. (eds.) Stortingets historie 1964-2014. Bergen: Fagbokforlaget, 21–196.

Heidar, K. & Rommetvedt, H. (2020). Er fagkomiteene eller partigruppene Stortingets «politiske verksteder»? In Bergh, J., Haugsgjerd, A. H. & Karlsen, R. (eds.) Valg og politikk siden 1945. Oslo: Cappelen Damm Akademisk, 140–153.

Hernes, G. (1983). Det moderne Norge 5. Makt og demokrati. Oslo: Gyldendal.

Kvavik, R. B. (1976). Interest Groups in Norwegian Politics. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.

Martin, S. (2014). Committees. In Martin, S., Saalfeld, T. & Strøm, K. W. (eds.) The Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 352–368.

Nordby, T. (2000). I politikkens sentrum. Variasjoner i Stortingets makt 1814-2000. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.

Olsen, J. P. (1983). Organized Democracy. Political Institutions in a Welfare State – The Case of Norway. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.

Østbø, I. B. (2010). Storting og regiering. Oslo: Schibsted.

Rokkan, S. (1966). Norway: numerical democracy and corporate pluralism. In Dahl, R. A. (ed.) Political Opposition in Western Democracies. New Haven: Yale University Press, 89–105.

Rommetvedt, H. (2003). The Rise of the Norwegian Parliament. London: Frank Cass (now Routledge).

Rommetvedt, H. (2017). Politikkens allmenngjøring. Stortinget, regjeringen og de organiserte interessene i et nypluralistisk demokrati. 3rd edition. Bergen: Fagbokforlaget.

Rommetvedt, H. (2021). Norwegian parliamentary committees: split and sidelined in the policy process. In Siefken, S. T. & Rommetvedt, H. (eds.) Parliamentary Committees in the Policy Process. London: Routledge.

Rommetvedt, H., Thesen, G., Christiansen, P. M. & Nørgaard, A. S. (2013). Coping with Corporatism in Decline and the Revival of Parliament: Interest Group Lobbyism in Denmark and Norway, 1980-2005. Comparative Political Studies, 46 (4), 457–485.

Rommetvedt, H., Zajc, D. & Langhelle, O. (2009). The Internationalisation of National Parliaments: The Norwegian Storting and the Slovene Drzavni zbor. Politics in Central Europe, 5 (1), 55–85.

Siefken, S. T. & Rommetvedt, H. (eds.) (2021). Parliamentary Committees in the Policy Process. London: Routledge.

Stortingets administrasjon (2021). Årsrapport 2020. Oslo: Stortinget.

Syse, C. (2012). Trekk av utenrikskomiteens historie – dens ledere, medlemmer og sekretærer. In Brenno, B., Grønn, H., Sandgrind, G. & Skjørestad, J. (eds.) I Stortingets tjeneste. Oslo: Stortinget, 253–274.

Serbia's Parliamentary Administration

Bieber, Florian et al., 'The Western Balkans in Times of the Global Pandemic', *BiEPAG (Balkans in Europe Policy Advisory Group) Policy Brief*, April 2020.

Djurbabić, Jovana, 'Transparentnost i otvorenost Narodne skupštine Republike Srbije [Transparency and Openness of the National Assembly of the Republic of Serbia]', in Slaviša Orlović (ed.), Iskušenja Parlamentarizma [The Challenges of Parliamentarism] (Friedrich Ebert Stiftung and the Centre for Democracy of the Faculty of Political Sciences of the University of Belgrade, 2013), 167–175.

European Commission, "Serbia 2020 Report' Accompanying the '2020 Communication on EU Enlargement Policy", SWD(2020) 352 final, 6 October 2020.

European Parliament , 'Resolution of 25 March 2021 on the 2019-2020 Commission Reports on Serbia, doc. no. P9_TA(2021)0115'.

European Western Balkans , 'MEPs Warn Várhelyi of Serious Situation in Serbia in Regard to Human Rights', 16 April 2020, available at https://europeanwesternbalkans.com/2020/04/16/meps-warn-varhelyi-of-serious-situation-in-serbia-in-regard-to-human-rights, accessed on 25 April 2021.

Gordon, Rebecca and Nic Cheeseman , 'Legislative Leadership in the Time of Covid-19', WFD Report, January 2021.

Krstić, Ivana and Marko Davinić, 'Serbia: Legal Response to Covid-19', in Jeff King and Octávio LM Ferraz et al. (eds), The Oxford Compendium of National Legal Responses to Covid-19 (Oxford University Press, 2021), doi: 10.1093/law-occ19/e7.013.7.

Minevich, Mark, 'Serbia and Key International Sovereigns Lead with Data and AI to Become Vaccination Champions', *The Forbes*, 5 February 2021.

Orlović, Slaviša. 'Constitutional, Political and Institutional Framework of the National Assembly of the Republic of Serbia', in Slaviša Orlović (ed.), Comparative Analysis of Democratic Performances of the Parliaments of Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Montenegro (The Centre for Democracy of the Faculty of Political Sciences of the University of Belgrade, Sarajevo Open Centre, and the Faculty of Political Science of the University of Montenegro, 2012), 17–46.

Radojević, Ivan and Nevenka Stanković, 'The Parliamentary Response to Covid-19 and States of Emergency in the Western Balkans', *WFD Report*, July 2020.

'Serbia Is Outpacing Nearly Every Country in the EU at Vaccination', The Economist, 3 April 2021.

Slavujević, Zoran Dj, 'Javnost rada parlamenta kao sredstvo promocije partija i političara [The Publicity of Parliamentary Work as a Means of Promoting Parties and Politicians]', in Slaviša Orlović (ed.), Iskušenja Parlamentarizma [The Challenges of Parliamentarism] (Friedrich Ebert Stiftung and the Centre for Democracy of the Faculty of Political Sciences of the University of Belgrade, 2013), 61–69.

Tepavac, Tara, 'Narodna skupština Republike Srbije: hram ili paravan demokratije? [The National Assembly of the Republic of Serbia: The Temple or Smokescreen of Democracy?]', Working paper prepared for the conference on 'Civil Society for Accountable Governance' held on 4-5 February 2019 in Belgrade.

UNDP Serbia , 'Strategy of National Assembly for Building and Sustaining Public Engagement Using Social Media', May 2016.

Verrier, June, 'Benchmarking Parliamentary Administration: the United Kingdom, Canada, New Zealand and Australia', (2007) 22(1) Australasian Parliamentary Review 45–75.

Switzerland's Parliamentary Administration

Gesellschaft für Parlamentsfragen (2007). Dienstleistungen für das Parlament , in: Parlament: Mitteilungsblatt der schweizerischen Gesellschaft für Parlamentsfragen. Nr. 1, 10. Jg.

Gesellschaft für Parlamentsfragen (2009). Stellung der Parlamentsverwaltungen im Verwaltungsgefüge, in: Parlament: Mitteilungsblatt der schweizerischen Gesellschaft für Parlamentsfragen. Nr. 2, 12. Jg.

Graf, Martin (2014). Art. 155, in: Ehrenzeller/Schindler/Schweizer/Vallender (Hrsg.), Die schweizerische Bundesverfassung, St. Galler Kommentar, Schulthess, Zürich/Basel/Genf, S. 2626 ff.

Graf, Martin, Theler, Cornelia und von Wyss, Moritz (2014). Parlamentsrecht und Parlamentspraxis der Schweizerischen Bundesversammlung. Kommentar zum Parlamentsgesetz (ParlG) vom 13. Dezember 2002. Basel, Helbing Lichtenhahn.

Lanz, Ch. (26 septembre 2008). A quoi servent les services parlementaires?, Rencontre des présidents des parlements cantonaux, Bâle.

Lanz, Ch. (4 octobre 2013). La confidentialité des séances de commission, Séminaire des vices-présidentes et vice-présidents des parlements cantonaux, Sion.

Linder, Wolf und Sean Muller (2017). Schweizerische Demokratie. Institutionen, Prozesse, Perspektiven, Bern: Haupt, 4. Auflage.

Sägesser, Thomas (1999). Bestimmungen über die Bundesbehörden der neuen Bundesverfassung. LeGes 1999/1, S. 11–49.

Schwab, Philippe (2011). Welche Vor- und Nachteile hat die Unabhängigkeit der Parlamentsdienste?, in: Parlament: Mitteilungsblatt der schweizerischen Gesellschaft für Parlamentsfragen. Nr. 2, 14. Jg.

Schwab, Philippe (2013). Administration parlementaire suisse: Partage des responsabilités en matière de gestion du personnel, in: Communication de l'association des secrétaires généraux des parlements francophones (ASGPF), Paris, France.

Schwab, Philippe (2017). L'administration conjointe des deux chambres dans les parlement bicaméraux, contribution de Philippe Schwab à la session de l'association des secrétaires généraux des parlements, Saint-Pétersbourg, Russie.

Stengel, Karl (1977) Die Parlamentsdienste im Bund. Entstehung, Arbeitsweise und verfassungsrechtliche Grundlage, Bern/Stuttgart.

Vatter, Adrian (2016). Das politische System der Schweiz, 2. Auflage, Baden-Baden: Nomos.

Z`graggen, Heidi und Wolf Linder (2004). Professionalisierung der Parlamente im internationalen Vergleich. Studie im Auftrag der Parlamentsdienste der Schweizerischen Bundesversammlung. Institut für Politikwissenschaft der Universität Bern.

Turkey's Parliamentary Administration

Bilgiç, S. S. (2020a) *Reply to the written question No. 7/36779*. The Grand National Assembly of Turkey. Available at: https://www2.tbmm.gov.tr/d27/7/7-36779c.pdf (Accessed: December 12, 2020).

Bilgiç, S. S. (2020b) *Reply to the written question No. 7/36466*. The Grand National Assembly of Turkey. Available at: https://www2.tbmm.gov.tr/d27/7/7-36466c.pdf (Accessed: December 12, 2020).

Çolak, Y. (2020) 'Meclis çalışanlarının sadece %28'i kadın', *Birgün Newspaper* 14 December. Available at: https://www.birgun.net/haber/meclis-calisanlarinin-sadece-yuzde-28-i-kadin-326507 (Accessed: December 12, 2020).

Demirsu, İ. and Müftüler-Baç, M. (2019) 'The Turkish–EU Cooperation on the Refugee Crisis: The Turkish Perceptions in the Parliamentary Debates', in Raube K. Müftüler-Baç M. and Wouters J. (eds.) Parliamentary Cooperation and Diplomacy in EU External Relations: An Essential Companion. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, pp. 251–268.

Esen, B. and Gümüşçü, Ş. (2018) 'The Perils of 'Turkish Presidentialism", Review of Middle East Studies, 52(1), pp. 43–53. DOI: 10.1017/rms.2018.10

Gençkaya, Ö. F. (2019) 'The Grand National Assembly of Turkey: A Decline in Legislative Capacity', Politics Spotlight, April, pp. 273–274. DOI: 10.1017/S1049096518002287

Gençkaya, Ö. F. (2020) 'The Grand National Assembly of Turkey: A Decline in Legislative Capacity' in Khamelko I., Stapenhurst R. and Mezey M. L. (eds.) Legislative Decline in the 21st Century: A Comparative Perspective. New York and Oxon: Routledge, pp. 82–93.

Gönenç, L. and Kontacı, A. E. (2019) '2017 Tarihli Anayasa Değişikliği Sonrasında Yasama-Yürütme İlişkileri', Türkiye Barolar Birliği (TBB) Dergisi, 145(1), pp. 53–79.

Hale, W. (2012) Turkish Foreign Policy since 1774. New York: Routledge.

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) (2020) *Comparative research paper on parliamentary administration*. Available at: https://www.ipu.org/resources/publications/reference/2020-09/comparative-research-paper-parliamentary-administration (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) (2021) Turkey. Available at:

https://data.ipu.org/content/turkey?chamber_id=13548 (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

Işık, A. (2009) *The written question No. 7/9632*, The Grand National Assembly of Turkey. Available at: https://www2.tbmm.gov.tr/d23/7/7-9632s.pdf (Accessed: December 12, 2020).

Kalaycıoğlu, E. (1990) 'Cyclical Breakdown, Redesign and Nascent Institutionalization: The Turkish Grand National Assembly', in Liebert U. and Cotta M. (eds.) Parliament and Democratic Consolidation in Europe. London: Pinter, pp. 184–222.

Kalaycıoğlu, E. (2010) 'The Turkish Grand National Assembly: New Challenges and Old Problems' in Kerslake C. , Öktem K. and Robins P. (eds.) Turkey's Engagement with Modernity. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 119–141.

Kalaycıoğlu, E. (2014) 'Siyasal Rejim Tasarımı ve Demokrasi', in Kalaycıoğlu E. and Sarıbay Y. (eds.) Türk Siyasal Hayatı. Ankara: Sentez Yayıncılık, pp. 423–449.

NTV-MSNBC (2020), 'Meclis'te kaç kişi nerede çalışıyor?', Archive, 4 December. Available at: http://arsiv.ntv.com.tr/news/48469.asp (Accessed: July 7, 2021).

Oğuzlu, T. (2007) 'Soft Power in Turkish Foreign Policy', Australian Journal of International Affairs, 61, pp. 81–97. DOI: 10.1080/10357710601142518

Öniş, Z. and Yılmaz Ş. (2009) 'Between Europeanization and Euro-Asianism: Foreign Policy Activism in Turkey During the AKP Era', Turkish Studies, 10(1), pp. 7–24. DOI: 10.1080/14683840802648562

Özbudun, E. (1992) 1921 Anayasası, Ankara: Atatürk Kültür, Dil ve Tarih Yüksek Kurumu, Atatürk Araştırma Merkezi Yayınları.

Özsoy-Boyunsuz, Ş. (2016) 'The AKP's Proposal for a 'Turkish Type of Presidentialism' in Comparative Context', Turkish Studies, 17(1), pp. 68–90. DOI: 10.1080/14683849.2015.1135064

Pakdil, N. (2009) *Reply to the written question No. 7/9632*, The Grand National Assembly of Turkey. Available at: https://www2.tbmm.gov.tr/d23/7/7-9632c.pdf (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

Soysal, M. (1969) *Dinamik Anayasa Anlayışı: Anayasa Diyalektiği Üzerine bir Dene*me, Ankara: Ankara Üniversitesi Siyasal Bilgiler Fakültesi Yayını.

Tanrıkulu, S. M. (2020a) *The written question No. 7/36779*, The Grand National Assembly of Turkey, November 19. Available at: https://www2.tbmm.gov.tr/d27/7/7-36779s.pdf (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

Tanrıkulu, S. M. (2020b) *The written question No. 7/36466*, The Grand National Assembly of Turkey, November 13. Available at: https://www2.tbmm.gov.tr/d27/7/7-36466s.pdf (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

The Grand National Assembly of Turkey (GNAT) (2021). Available at: www.global.tbmm.gov.tr (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

The Journal of the Committee on Planning and Budget (2019), The Grand National Assembly of Turkey, November 7. Available at: https://www.sbb.gov.tr/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/07-Kasim-2019 PBK Gorusmeler.pdf (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

The Journal of the Committee on Planning and Budget (2020), The Grand National Assembly of Turkey, October 28. Available at:

https://www.tbmm.gov.tr/develop/owa/komisyon_tutanaklari.goruntule?pTutanakld=2588 (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

The Law No. 6253 (2011), 'The Law on the Administrative Organization of the Assembly', *Official Gazette No. 28146*, December 18. Available at: https://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/MevzuatMetin/1.5.6253.pdf (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

The Standing Order of the GNAT (1973), *Official Gazette No. 14506*, April 13. Available at: https://www.tbmm.gov.tr/docs/ictuzuk.pdf (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

Turan, İ. (2000) *Devlet Reformu: TBMM'nin Etkinliği*, İstanbul: TESEV Yayınları. Available at: https://www.tesev.org.tr/wp-content/uploads/rapor TMBB Etkinligi.pdf (Accessed: June 7, 2021).

Turan, İ. (2003) 'Volatility in Politics, Stability in Parliament: An Impossible Dream? The Turkish Grand National Assembly During the Last Two Decades', The Journal of Legislative Studies, 9(2), pp. 151–176. DOI: 10.1080/1357233032000250671

Türkeş-Kılıç, S. (2019) 'Justifying Privileged Partnership with Turkey: An Analysis of Debates in the European Parliament', Turkish Studies, (21)1, pp. 29–55. DOI:10.1080/14683849.2019.1565941 Yıldız, M. (2007) 'Türkiye'de Yasama İşlevi Açısından Milletvekili Danışmanlığı', Yasama Dergisi, 4 (1), pp. 100–114.

Yılmaz, Z. (2020) 'Erdoğan's Presidential Regime and Strategic Legalism: Turkish Democracy in the Twilight Zone', Southeast European and Black Sea Studies, 20(2), pp. 265–287. DOI: 10.1080/14683857.2020.1745418

Zürcher, E. J. (1993) Modernleşen Türkiye'nin Tarihi, İstanbul: İletişim Yayınları.

United Kingdom's Parliamentary Administration

Cowley, P. and Russell, M. (2016) 'The Policy Power of the Westminster Parliament: the Parliamentary State' and the Empirical Evidence', Governance, 29(1), pp. 121–137.

Cox, L. (2018) The Bullying and Harassment of House of Commons Staff: Independent Inquiry Report. https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/conduct-in-parliament/dame-laura-cox-independent-inquiry-report.pdf Accessed on: 2 April 2021.

Crewe, E. (2017) 'Magi or Mandarins? Contemporary Clerkly Culture'. In Evans, P., (ed), Essays on the History of Parliamentary Procedure. Oxford; Portland: Hart, pp. 45–68.

Ellenbogen, E. (2019) An Independent Inquiry into Bullying and Harassment in the House of Lords. https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/lords-committees/house-of-lords-commission/2017-19/ellenbogen-report.pdf Accessed on: 2 April 2021.

Hern, A. (2020) 'Commons Twitter account banned from tweeting vote results,' *The Guardian.* 4 October 2020. Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2020/oct/04/commons-twitter-account-banned-from-tweeting-vote-results Accessed on: 28 February 2021.

House of Commons (2020) Annual Report and Accounts 2020. HC 580.

House of Commons (2021) Staff Handbook. Available at:

https://www.parliament.uk/business/commons/governance-of-the-house-of-commons-/house-of-commons-staff-handbook/ Accessed on: 3 March 2021.

House of Commons Commission (2001) Annual Report 2000–2001. HC 155.

House of Commons Commission (2011) Thirty-Third Report of the Commission, and Annual Report of the Administration Estimate Audit Committee: Financial Year 2010/11. HC 1439 London: TSO.

House of Commons Commission (2020) *Minutes of Meeting of the House of Commons Commission 13 January*. Available at: https://old.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/other-

committees/house-of-commons-commission/news-parliament-2019-21/commission-decisions-13-january-2020/ Accessed on: 28 February 2021.

House of Commons Commission (2020) *Minutes of Meeting of the House of Commons Commission/MEC 9 November 2020* Available at: https://committees.parliament.uk/publications/3612/documents/44093/default/ Accessed on: 28 February 2021.

House of Commons Governance Committee (2014) *House of Commons Governance, Session 2014–15.* HC 692.

House of Commons Library (2016) *Research Briefing: Total Number of MPs, Peers and Staff 3 October 2016.* Available at: https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/sn02411/ Accessed on: 9 March 2021.

House of Commons (2020) Annual Report and Accounts 2020 HC 580.

https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/commons-expenditure/admin-annual-

accounts/administration annual report and accounts 2019 20.pdf

House of Lords (2020) Annual Report and Resource Accounts 2019–20 HL 110.

https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/hl-management-board/house-of-lords-annual-report-and-accounts-2019-20.pdf

House of Lords Administration (2001) Annual Report and Accounts 2000–01.

House of Lords Commission (2020) *Rules relating to Parliamentary passes for Members' Staff: follow-up report* 1st Report of Session 2019–21 HL 160.

House of Lords Commission (2021) *House of Lords External Management Review.* Available at: https://committees.parliament.uk/committee/362/house-of-lords-commission/news/138780/external-management-review/ Accessed on: 28 February 2021.

IPSA (Independent Parliamentary Standards Authority) (2020) Freedom of Information Response: Request for Details on the Numbers of Staff Working for MPs 11 June 2020 Available at:

https://www.theipsa.org.uk/freedom-of-information/rfi0292992-4 Accessed on: 8 March 2021.

Judge, D. and Leston-Bandeira, C. (2018) 'The Institutional Representation of Parliament', Political Studies, 66(1), pp. 154–172.

Leston-Bandeira, C. (2016) 'Why Symbolic Representation Frames Parliamentary Public Engagement', The British Journal of Politics and International Relations, 18(2), pp. 498–516.

Meakin, A. (2021) 'Groundhog Day for Restoration and Renewal after the Strategic Review: There is still no alternative', *Hansard Society Blog*. https://www.hansardsociety.org.uk/blog/groundhog-day-for-restoration-and-renewal-after-the-strategic-review-still-no-alternative Accessed on: 30 April 2021.

Meakin, A. and Geddes, M. (2022) 'Explaining Change in Legislatures: Dilemmas of Managerial Reform in the UK House of Commons', Political Studies. Online First publication 70(1), pp. 216–235.

Prior, A. and Leston-Bandeira, C. (2022) 'Parliamentary Storytelling: A New Concept in Public Engagement with Parliaments', The Journal of Legislative Studies, 28(1), pp. 67–92.

https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13572334.2020.1848081.

White, G. (2019) *Bullying and Harassment of MPs' Parliamentary Staff: Independent Inquiry Report.* HC 2206. https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/Conduct-in-Parliament/GWQC-Inquiry-Report-11-July-2019 .pdf Accessed on: 28 February 2021.

Yong, B. (2018) 'The Governance of Parliament'. In Horne, A. and Drewry, G. (eds) Parliament and the Law (second edition). Oxford: Hart Publishing, pp. 75–102.

Yong, B. Davies, G. and Leston-Bandeira, C. (2019) 'Tacticians, Stewards, and Professionals: The Politics of Publishing Select Committee Legal Advice', Journal of Law and Society, 46(3), pp. 367–395.

Argentina's Parliamentary Administration

Alcántara Sáez, M., García Montero, M., & Sánchez López, F. (2005). Funciones, Procedimientos y Escenarios: Un Análisis del Poder Legislativo en América Latina. Ediciones Universidad de Salamanca. Aleman, E. (2013). Latin American Legislative Politics: A Survey of Peer-Reviewed Publications in English. Journal of Politics in Latin America, *5*(1), 15–36.

Alexander, D. A. (2020). The Committee Secretariat of the European Parliament: Administrative Mobility, Expertise and Keeping the Legislative Wheels Turning. The Journal of Legislative Studies. https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2020.1832389

Bonvecchi, A., & Rodriguez, J. (2004). *El papel del poder legislativo en el proceso presupuestario: la experiencia argentina*. División Desarrollo Económico (CEPAL – Naciones Unidas).

Bonvecchi, A., Cherny, N., & Cella, L. (2018). *Modernizar el Congreso. Propuestas para el Reglamento de la Cámara de Diputados*. Documento de Políticas Públicas/Recomendación N°200 CIPPEC.

Calvo, E. (2013). Representación Política, políticas públicas y estabilidad institucional en el Congreso argentino. In ¿Cuanto Importan las Instituciones? Gobierno, Estado y actores en la política argentina (pp. 121–157). Carlos H. Acuña.

Calvo, E. (2014). Legislator Success in Fragmented Congresses in Argentina. Plurality Cartels, Minority Presidents, and Lawmaking. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Calvo, E., & Sagarzazu, I. (2011). Legislator Success in Committee: Gatekeeping Authority and the Loss of Majority Control. American Journal of Political Science. DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00476.x

Calvo, E., & Tow, A. (2009). Cajoneando el debate: El papel de los presidentes de las comisiones en la productividad del Congreso argentino. Desarrollo Económico. Revista de Ciencias Sociales, 195, 451–477. Dirección de Asistencia Social del Congreso de la Nación. (2021). https://das.gob.ar/

Estrada, J. H. (2002). Comentarios en torno al parlamento. Prometeo.

Ferretti, N. (2012). Centralización y poder compartido: la creación de la Comisión de Labor Parlamentaria en la Cámara de Diputados de la Nación. In *Los Legisladores en el Congreso Argentino* (pp. 13–61). Ana María

Mustapic, Alejandro Bonvecchi, Javier Zelaznik.

Grandío Buzaleh, M., Taibo, L., Martinez, F., & Da Cruz, J. (n.d.). *De la lógica partidaria a la profesión legislativa: El rol del asesor legislativo en el Senado de la Nación Argentina*. GICP – Carrera de Ciencia Política – UBA.

Honorable Cámara de Diputados de la Nación . (2021). https://www.diputados.gov.ar/

Honorable Cámara de Senadores de la Nación . (2021). https://www.senado.gob.ar/

Jones, M. P., Saiegh, S. Spiller, P. T., & Tommasi, M. (2002) Amateur legislators—professional politicians: The consequences of party-centered electoral rules in a federal system. American Journal of Political Science, *46* (3), 656–669.

Llanos, M., & Mustapic, A. M. (2006). El Control Parlamentario en Alemania, Argentina y Brasil. HomoSapiens.

Molinelli, G. (1991). Presidentes y congresos en Argentina. Mitos y Realidades. Grupo Editor Latinoamericano.

Molinelli, G., Sin, G., & Palanza, V. (1999). Congreso, Presidencia y Justicia en Argentina. Temas Grupo Editorial.

Mustapic, A. M., & Bonvecchi, A. (2011). El Secreto Eficiente del presidencialismo argentino. In Algo más que Presidentes: el papel del Poder Legislativo en América Latina (pp. 305–338). Manuel AlcántaraSáez y Mercedes García Montero (editores), Algo más que Presidentes: el papel del Poder Legislativo en América Latina, Zaragoza: Fundación Manuel Giménez Abad de Estudios Parlamentarios y del Estado Autonómico. Pitt Villegas, J. (2019). Reglas del Reglamento de la Cámara de Senadores de la Nación Argentina. Dunken. Reynoso, D. (2004). Bicameralismo y sobre-representación en Argentina en perspectiva comparada. Revista SAAP. *2* . 69–94.

Sued, G. (2019). Los secretos del Congreso. Ediciones B, Penguin Random House.

Tchintian, C., Goyburu, M. L., & Cella, L. (2019). *Reglamentos comparados de Cámaras de Diputados de América del Sur.* Documento de Trabajo N°18. CIPPEC.

Australia's Parliamentary Administration

Adams, J 2002, Parliament: Master of Its Own Household? Australian Public Service Commission, Canberra. Aldons, M 2001, 'Performance indicators for the Parliament—sharp or blunt instruments of reform?', Australasian Parliamentary Review, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 27–37.

Barrett, V 2019, Parliamentary administration: what does it mean to manage a parliament effectively? Doctoral dissertation, Australian National University.

Baxter, K 2015, *Review of the Department of Parliamentary Services*, Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee, Additional Estimates 2016-17 (February and March 2017), 'Answers to Questions on Notice', no. 111, 13 April 2017, viewed 25 May 2017,

http://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Senate_Estimates/fapactte/estimates/add1617/parliamentary/index.

Birmingham, S 2021a, *Independent review into Commonwealth parliamentary workplaces*, Minister for Finance Media Release, 5 March 2021, viewed 7 March 2021, https://www.financeminister.gov.au/media-release/2021/03/05/independent-review-commonwealth-parliamentary-workplaces.

Birmingham, S 2021b, *Launch of Parliamentary Workplace Support Service*, Minister for Finance Media Release, 23 September 2021, viewed 5 October 2021, https://www.financeminister.gov.au/media-release/2021/09/23/launch-parliamentary-workplace-support-service.

Cox, L 2018, *The bullying and harassment of House of Commons staff*, Independent inquiry report, 28 October 2018, viewed 28 November, 2018, https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/conduct-in-parliament/dame-laura-cox-independent-inquiry-report.pdf.

Daly, T 2020, 'In times of crisis, does Parliament really matter?', *Pursuit*, University of Melbourne, 10 August 2020, viewed 18 March 2020, https://pursuit.unimelb.edu.au/articles/in-times-of-crisis-does-parliament-really-matter.

Department of the House of Representatives 2006, *Annual report*, *2005-06*, viewed 8 March 2018, http://webarchive.nla.gov.au/gov/20070831040247/http://www.aph.gov.au/house/pubs/ar05-06/index.htm. Department of the House of Representatives 2007, *Annual report*, *2006-07*, viewed 8 March 2018, http://webarchive.nla.gov.au/gov/20080730014510/http://www.aph.gov.au/house/pubs/ar06-07/index.htm. Department of the House of Representatives 2012, *Annual report*, *2011-12*, viewed 17 March 2021, https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Department_of_the_House_of_Representatives/Annual Reports/2011-12 Annual Report.

Department of the House of Representatives 2020, *Annual report 2019-20*, viewed 18 March 2021, https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Department_of_the_House_of_Representatives/Annual Reports.

Department of the Senate 2013, Annual report 2012-13, viewed 15 February 2018,

https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Department_of_the_Senate/Annual_Reports/Annual_Report 2012-2013.

Department of the Senate 2017, Annual report 2016-17, viewed 26 April 2018,

https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Department_of_the_Senate/Annual_Reports/Annual_Report 2016-2017.

Ellenbogen, N 2019, *An Independent inquiry into bullying and harassment in the House of Lords*, Report, 10 July 2019, viewed 19 July 2019, https://www.parliament.uk/documents/lords-committees/house-of-lords-commission/2017-19/ellenbogen-report.pdf.

Evans, H 2004, in Department of the Senate annual report 2003-04, Clerk's review, Canberra.

Evans, H 2005, in Department of the Senate annual report 2004-05, Clerk's review, Canberra.

Flinders, M & Kelso, A 2011, 'Mind the gap: political analysis, public expectations and the parliamentary decline thesis', The British Journal of Politics and International Relations, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 249–268.

Halligan, J , Miller, R & Power, J 2007, Parliament in the Twenty-First Century: Institutional Reform and Emerging Roles, Melbourne University Press, Melbourne.

House of Representatives Standing Committee on Procedure 1993, *About time: bills, questions and working hours*, report of the inquiry into reform of the House of Representatives, October 1993, viewed 17 March 2021.

https://www.aph.gov.au/parliamentary_business/committees/house_of_representatives_committees?url=rep-orts/1993/1993 pp194.pdf.

House of Representatives Standing Committee on Procedure 2020, *The House must go on: report of the inquiry into the practices and procedures put in place by the House in response to the COVID-19 pandemic*, December 2020, viewed 18 March 2021,

https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/House/Procedure/ResponsetoCOVID-19/Report.

Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit 2008, *The efficiency dividend and small agencies: size does matter*, Report 413, December 2008, viewed 18 March 2021,

https://www.aph.gov.au/parliamentary_business/committees/house_of_representatives_committees?url=jcp aa/efficdiv/report/fullreport.pdf.

Joint Select Committee on Parliamentary Standards 2022 , Final report, November 2022, viewed 1 December 2022.

Joint Standing Committee on the National Capital and External Territories 2019, *Telling Australia's story—and why it's important: report on the inquiry into Australia's national institutions*, April 2019, Canberra. Judge, D & Leston-Bandeira, C 2018, 'The institutional representation of parliament', Political Studies, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 154–172.

Karp, D & Evans, M 2019, Submission to the Legal and Constitutional Affairs References Committee inquiry into nationhood, national identity and democracy, 30 September 2019, viewed 16 March 2021,

https://www.democracy2025.gov.au/documents/Senate%20Constitutional%20Inquiry%20Democracy%2020 25%20Submission.pdf.

Maley, J & Curtis K, 2021, "'Suck it up": parliament staff claim bullying is rife and complaints ignored', *Sydney Morning Herald*, 17 February, viewed 20 February 2021,

https://www.smh.com.au/politics/federal/suck-it-up-parliament-staff-claim-harassment-complaints-ignored-20210217-p57381.html.

Mills, S 2020, 'Parliament in a time of virus: representative democracy as a non-essential service', Australasian Parliamentary Review, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 7–20.

Monk, D 2009, *In the Eye of the beholder? A framework for testing the effectiveness of parliamentary committees*', Parliamentary Studies Paper 10, Crawford School of Economics and Government, Australian National University, Canberra.

Norton, P 2017, 'Speaking for parliament', Parliamentary Affairs, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 191–206.

Parliamentary Library 2013, *The Hung Parliament: procedural changes in the House of Representatives*, research paper, 22 November 2013, viewed 29 November 2018.

 $https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/library/prspub/2855740/upload_binary/2855740.pdf; file Type=application/pdf).$

Podger, A 2002, Review by the Parliamentary Service Commissioner of aspects of the administration of the Parliament: final report, Canberra.

Reid, G S & Forrest, M 1989, Australia's Commonwealth Parliament: 1901-1988 Ten Perspectives, Melbourne University, Melbourne.

Russell, M 2000, Reforming the House of Lords: Lessons from Overseas, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee 2012, *The performance of the Department of Parliamentary Services: final report*, 28 November 2012, Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee 2015, *Department of Parliamentary Services: final report*, 17 September 2015, Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee 2020, *Estimates*, 19 October 2020, viewed 14 March 2021,

https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Finance_and_Public_Administration/Estimates_reports/Budget2021.

Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee 2021, *Operation and management of the Department of Parliamentary Services*, viewed 17 March 2021,

https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Finance_and_Public_Administration/OperationofDPS.

Senate Procedure Committee 2020a, *Routine of business: remote participation in Senate proceedings*, First report of 2020, 21 August 2020, viewed 18 March 2021,

https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary Business/Committees/Senate/Procedure/2020/Report.

Senate Procedure Committee 2020b *Covid-19* and the Senate, Second report of 2020, October 2020, viewed 18 March 2021.

https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary Business/Committees/Senate/Procedure/2020/Report2.

Senate Select Committee on COVID-19, 2020, First interim report, viewed 6 October 2021,

https://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/committees/reportsen/024513/toc_pdf/Firstinterimreport.pdf;file Type=application%2Fpdf.

Sloane, M 2014, 'The role of the separation of powers and the parliamentary budget setting processes', Australasian Parliamentary Review, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 140–158.

Stoker, G , Evans, M & Halupka M 2018, Democracy 2025, *Trust and democracy in Australia: democratic decline and renewal*, Museum of Australian Democracy, University of Canberra, Institute for Governance and Policy Analysis, viewed 5 December 2018, https://www.democracy2025.gov.au/documents/Democracy2025-report1.pdf.

Twomey, A 2020, 'A virtual Australian parliament is possible—and may be needed—during the coronavirus pandemic', *The Conversation*, 25 March 2020, viewed 13 July 2020, https://theconversation.com/a-virtual-australian-parliament-is-possible-and-may-be-needed-during-the-coronavirus-pandemic-134540.

Walker, J, Chambers, G & McKenna, M 2021, 'Life's dream torn apart under glare of publicity', *Weekend Australian*, 20–21 February, p. 8.

Brazil's Parliamentary Administration

Abreu, A. A.; Dias, J. L. (1995), O Futuro do Congresso Brasileiro. Rio de Janeiro: FGV Editora.

Almeida, A. (2018), Governo Presidencial Condicionado: delegação e participação legislativa na Câmara dos Deputados. Tese de Doutorado. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência Política do Instituto de Estudos Sociais e Políticos da Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (IESP-UERJ).

Amorim Neto, O.; Santos, F. (2003), "The Inefficient Secret Revised: Legislative Input and Output of Brazilian Deputies". Legislative Studies Quarterly, XXVII(4), pp. 449–479.

Azevedo, L. H. C. (2011), "Consultoria Legislativa e Assessoramento Institucional: 40 anos". *In:* Câmara dos Deputados (ed.), 40 anos de Consultoria Legislativa: Consultores Legislativos e Consultores de Orçamento. Brasília: Edições Câmara, Centro de Documentação e Informação. Brasília.

Baaklini, A. (1993), O Congresso e o Sistema Político no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro, Paz e Terra.

Beniers, K.J.; Swank, O. H. (2004), "On the Composition of Committees". Journal of Law, Economics and Organization, 20(2), pp. 353–378.

Carvalho, N. R. (2003), E no início eram as bases: Geografia Política do Voto e Comportamento Legislativo no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro, Revan.

Cox, G. W.; Morgenstern, S. (2002), "Epilogue: Latin America's Reactive and Proactive Presidents". *In:* Morgenstern, S.; Nacif, B. (eds.), Legislative Politics in Latin America. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Crawford, V. P.; Sobel, J. (1982), "Strategic Information Transmission". Econometrica, 50(6), pp. 1431–1451.

Dur, R.; Swank, O. H. (2005), "Producing and Manipulating Information". The Economic Journal, 115, pp. 185–199.

Figueiredo, A. C.; Limongi, F. (1999), Executivo e Legislativo na Nova Ordem Constitucional. Rio de Janeiro: Fundação Getulio Vargas Editora.

Figueiredo, A. C. ; Limongi, F. (2008), Política Orçamentária no Presidencialismo de Coalizão. Rio de Janeiro: Editora da FGV.

Freitas, Andréa. (2016), O Presidencialismo da Coalizão. Rio de Janeiro: Konrad Adenauer Stiftung. Gilligan, T. W.; Krehbiel, K. (1987), "Collective Decision-Making and Standing Committees: An Informational Rationale for Restrictive Amendment Procedures". Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 3, pp. 145–193.

Horta, A. B. (2011), "Breve Memória sobre o Assessoramento Legislativo na Câmara dos Deputados". *In*: Câmara dos Deputados (ed.), 40 anos de Consultoria Legislativa: Consultores Legislativos e Consultores de Orçamento. Brasília: Edições Câmara, Centro de Documentação e Informação.

Krehbiel, K. (1990), Information and Legislative Organization. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press. Krishna, V.; Morgan, J. (2001), "A Model of Expertise". Quarterly Journal of Economics, 116(2), pp. 747–775.

Mainwaring, S. (1986), "The Transition to Democracy in Brazil". Journal of Interamerican Studies and World Affairs, 28(1), pp. 149–180.

O'Donnell, G. ; Schmitter, P. C. ; Whitehead, L. (1986), Transitions from Authoritarian Rule: Latin America. Baltimore and London: The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Paiva, M. V. (1995), "Assessoramento do Poder Legislativo, Experiência Pessoal e Profissional, Avaliação da Situação Brasileira". *In:* Abreu, A. A. ; Dias, J. L. (eds.), O Futuro do Congresso Brasileiro. Rio de Janeiro: FGV Editora.

Pereira, C.; Mueller, B. (2000), "Uma Teoria da Preponderância do Poder Executivo: O Sistema de Comissões no Legislativo Brasileiro". Revista Brasileira de Ciências Sociais, 15(43), pp. 45–68. Polsby, Nelson W. (1968), "The Institutionalization of the House of Representatives". American Political Science Review, 62(1), pp. 144–168.

Raille, E. D.; Pereira, C.; Power, T. J. (2011), "The executive toolbox: building legislative support in a multiparty presidential regime". Political Research Quarterly, 64(2), pp. 323–334.

Rego, A. C. P. (1995), "O Assessoramento do Congresso". *In*: Abreu, A. A. ; Dias, J. L. (eds.), O Futuro do Congresso Brasileiro. Rio de Janeiro: FGV Editora.

Ribas, A. N.; Silva, P. V. (2011), "A Natureza do Assessoramento Legislativo". *In:* Câmara dos Deputados (ed.), 40 anos de Consultoria Legislativa: Consultores Legislativos e Consultores de Orçamento. Brasília: Edicões Câmara, Centro de Documentação e Informação.

Samuels, D. (2003), Ambition, Federalism, and Legislative Politics in Brazil. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Santos, F. 2003. *O Poder Legislativo no Presidencialismo de Coalizão*. Belo Horizonte: Editora da UFMG. Santos, F.; Almeida, A. (2011), Fundamentos Informacionais do Presidencialismo de Coalizão. Curitiba: Appris.

Santos, F.; Canello, J. (2016), "Comissões Permanentes, Estrutura de Assessoramento e o Problema Informacional na Câmara dos Deputados". Dados, 59, pp. 980–1015.

Santos, F.; Guarnieri, F.; Salles, N. (2021), "Brazil: Legislative Debate under Coalition Presidentialism". *In:* Back, H.; Deus, M.; Fernandes, J. M. (eds.), The Politics of Legislative Debate. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Santos, W. G. dos. (2018), A Difusão Parlamentar do Sistema Partidário: exposição do caso brasileiro. Rio de Janeiro: Editora da UFRJ.

Skidmore, T. E. (1967), Politics in Brazil, 1930–1964: an experiment in Democracy. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Skidmore, T. E. (1988), Politics of Military Rule in Brazil, 1964–1985. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Souza, C. de. (2011), "A Resolução n. 48, de 1993, e a Consolidação do Assessoramento Legislativo Institucional da Câmara dos Deputados". *In*: Câmara dos Deputados (ed.), 40 anos de Consultoria Legislativa: Consultores Legislativos e Consultores de Orçamento. Brasília: Edições Câmara, Centro de Documentação e Informação.

Squire, P. (2007), "Measuring State Legislative Professionalism: The Squire Index Revisited". State Politics and Policy Quarterly, 7(2), pp. 211–227.

Canada's Parliamentary Administration

Allen, Samantha Wright (2020) "'Historic' effort to run two hybrid Chambers a balancing act" *The Hill Times*, November 18, 2020.

Auditor-General of Canada (2012) Report of the Auditor General of Canada to the Board of Internal Economy of the House of Commons—Administration of the House of Commons of Canada (Ottawa).

Barnes, Andre, Brousseau, Laurence, and Hurtubise-Loranger, Élise (2019) Appointment of Officers of Parliament: Background Paper (Ottawa: Library of Parliament).

Bergman, Gwyneth. and Macfarlane, Emmett. (2018) "The impact and role of officers of Parliament:

Canada's conflict of interest and ethics commissioner" Canadian Public Administration 61: 5–25.

Canadian Council of Public Accounts Committees (n.d.) "About CCPAC" (Viewed July 29, 2021).

Cockram, Louise (2020) From Candidate to Elected Member: Does Organized or Informal Institutional Learning Shape the Careers of MPs in Canada and the UK? (Unpublished paper, Department of Political Science, Carleton University).

Cooper, Michael (2017) "How to Fix Question Period: Ideas for Reform" in Turning Parliament Inside Out: Practical Ideas for Reforming Canada's Democracy ed. Michael Chong, Scott Simms, and Kennedy Stewart (Vancouver: Douglas+McIntyre).

Franks, C.E.S. (1987) The Parliament of Canada (Toronto: University of Toronto Press).

Godbout, Jean-François (2020) Lost on Division: Party Unity in the Canadian Parliament (Toronto: University of Toronto Press).

House of Commons (2019) Report to Canadians (Ottawa: Parliament of Canada).

House of Commons (n.d.) "About the Board of Internal Economy" https://www.ourcommons.ca/Boie/en/about (Viewed July 29, 2021).

Ibbitson, John (2009) "The man who knows too much" The Globe and Mail, October 2.

Lawlor, Andrea and Crandall, Erin (2013) "Committee performance in the Senate of Canada: some sobering analysis for the chamber of 'sober second thought'" Commonwealth & Comparative Politics 51:4: 549–568.

Library of Parliament "About the Library"

https://lop.parl.ca/sites/PublicWebsite/default/en_CA/About/Overview

MacCharles, Tonda (2016) "Mike Duffy cleared on all charges of defrauding the Senate" *The Toronto Star*, April 21, 2016.

MacMinn, E. George and Vaive, Robert (1998) "The office of clerk" Canadian Parliamentary Review 21:2 (Summer 1998); 28–32.

Malloy, Jonathan (1996) "Reconciling expectations and reality in House of Commons committees: the case of the 1989 GST inquiry" Canadian Public Administration 39:3: 314–335.

Mazereeuw, Peter (2017) "'Shocked,' 'profoundly disappointed': ex-clerks pan appointment process after Bosc passed over for House clerk" *The Hill Times*, June 21.

Mazereeuw, Peter (2018a) "'Our senior ranks have been fleeing the ship,' Senate administration still in flux, Liberal and Independent Senators push through temporary reorganization" *The Hill Times*, July 23.

Mazereeuw, Peter (2018b) "Senators split over new clerk, Sen. McCoy calls him 'prime minister's man' in the Red Chamber" *The Hill Times*, February 12.

McCarthy, Shawn and Grant, Kelly (2014) "Potential flaws in parliamentary security pointed out in 2012 report" *The Globe and Mail*, October 22.

Parliament of Canada Act (1985) https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/p-1/page-2.html#h-390060 Parliament of Canada (n.d) "Joint Interparliamentary Council" https://www.parl.ca/diplomacy/en/icci (Viewed July 29, 2021).

Parliamentary Protective Service (n.d.) "Creation of the Service" https://pps.parl.ca/the-service/ (Viewed July 29, 2021).

Savoie, Donald (2008) Court Government and the Collapse of Accountability in Canada and the United Kingdom (Toronto: University of Toronto Press).

Senate of Canada (n.d.a) "Administration and Support" https://sencanada.ca/en/about/administration-support (Viewed July 29, 2021).

Senate of Canada (n.d.b) "Standing Committee on Internal Economy, Budgets and Administration" https://sencanada.ca/en/committees/ciba/ (Viewed July 29, 2021).

Stilborn, Jack (2014) "The investigative study role of Canada's House Committees: expectations met?" Journal of Legislative Studies 20 10.1080/13572334.2014.890801

Tasker, John Paul (2017) "'Wise owls': Senate issues children's book to explain role of Red Chamber" *CBC News*, May 1.

Thomas, Paul G. (2003) "The past, present and future of officers of Parliament" Canadian Public Administration 46: 287–314.

Thomas, Paul E.J. (2016) Across Enemy Lines: A Study of the All-Party Groups in the Parliaments of Canada, Ontario, Scotland and the United Kingdom (Unpublished dissertation, Department of Political Science, University of Toronto).

Wilson, R. Paul (2020a) "The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Canadian parliamentary political staffers" Canadian Parliamentary Review 43:3 (Autumn).

Wilson, R.P. (2020b) "The work of Canadian political staffers in parliamentary caucus research offices" Canadian Public Administration 63: 498–521.

India's Parliamentary Administration

Achary, P.D.T. 2010. "Independence of Parliamentary Secretariat." P.101. Bangkok Session, March/April 2010, Association of Secretaries-General of Parliaments, Inter-Parliamanetary Union.

https://www.asgp.co/past-meetings?page=18. Accessed Nov 3, 2021.

Achary, P.D.T. 2021. "Securing the Autonomy of House Secretariats, The Patel-Naidu way." in the Hindustan Times, Sep 14, 2021. https://www.hindustantimes.com/opinion/securing-the-independence-of-legislative-secretariats-the-patel-and-naidu-way-101631537849817.html. Accessed Sep 15, 2021.

ET (Economic Times) . August 29, 2021. "The Men Who Shaped India's Parliamentary Secretariat." https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/india/the-men-who-shaped-independent-indias-parliamentary-secretariat/articleshow/85731494.cms. Accessed Sep 6, 2021.

Indian Express . November 30, 2020. "Senior IAS Officer Utpal Kumar Singh Appointed Secretary-General of Lok Sabha." https://indianexpress.com/article/india/senior-ias-officer-utpal-kumar-singh-appointed-assecretary-general-of-lok-sabha-7074029/. Accessed Aug 22, 2021.

Kaul, M.N. and S.L. Shakdher (2016). Practice and Procedure of Parliament, 7th edition. Lok Sabha Secretariat.

Lok Sabha Secretariat Organization Chart . 2021.

http://164.100.47.194/Loksabha/Secretariat/OfficersOfSecretariat.aspx. Accessed Sep 22, 2021.

Lok Sabha Secretariat Rules . 2021. http://164.100.47.194/Loksabha/Secretariat/Rules.aspx. Accessed Sep 16, 2021.

Prasad, Narmadeshwar. Director PARI and former Additional Director, Rajya Sabha Secretariat. Personal interview on Sep 14, 2021.

PRIDE (Parliamentary Research and Training Institute for Democracies) . 2021. (https://pride.nic.in/). Accessed Aug 22, 2021.

Rajya Sabha Organization . 2021. https://rajyasabha.nic.in/rsnew/rssorgchart/showallrecord.aspx#Additional. Accessed Aug 22, 2021.

Rajya Sabha Secretariat . 2021. https://rajyasabha.nic.in/rsnew/rss_recruitment/rssecretariat.asp. Accessed Aug 22, 2021.

The Hindu . Sep 15, 2021. "Sansad TV launched; Modi calls it new voice of Parliament." https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/sansad-tv-launched-modi-calls-it-new-voice-of-parliament/article36483067.ece. Accessed Sep 22, 2021.

Israel's Parliamentary Administration

Alon, Gideon , 2020-1. "The Sergeant-at-arms: we never thought of such dimensions", *Yisrael Hayom*, April 6, 2020. (Heb)

Alon, Gideon, 2020-2. "The Knesset's Director General leaves: todays MKs seek jobs", *Yisrael Hayom*, June 29, 2020. (Heb)

Azulai, Moran, 2021. "Levin refused to announce when the vote on the new Government will take place: 'we shall convey later'", *Ynet*, June 7, 2021. (Heb)

Bar-Siman-Tov, Ittai , 2020. "Covid-19 Meets Politics: The Novel Coronavirus as a Novel Challenge for Legislators", Theory and Practice of Legislation, 8 (1–2): 11–48.

Confortes, Judah 2017. "The goal: full transparency of the Knesset work – by means of information systems", *People and Computers*, February 7, 2017. (Heb)

Horowitz, Keren and Ittai Bar-Siman-Tov, 2020. "The Knesset Legal Advisor and his position in the separation of powers in the legislative procedures", (Article to appear in *Iyunei Mishpat*). (Heb)

Lavi, Aharon Ariel, 2021. "The rise and fall of the Commission for Future Generations", *Hashilo'ah*, January 2021. (Heb)

Rolef, Susan Hattis, 2022. Israel's Knesset Members: A Comparative Study of an Undefined Job, Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, London and New York.

Shapira, Assaf, 2010. "Citizens in the Knesset Committees", the Israel Democracy Institute, *Parlament* No. 66, September 15, 2010. (Heb)

State Comptroller , 2015. Special Report of the Comptroller General on "Issues in the Administration of the Knesset".

Zerahia, Zvi, 2016. "The Knesset Director General of the Knesset wishes to appoint senior officials in the House by means of a search committee rather than tenders", *TheMarker*, November 5, 2016. (Heb)

Japan's Parliamentary Administration

e-gov (1947a). "Kokkai Shokuin Hō" [Diet Staff Act], https://elaws.e-gov.go.jp/document?law_unique_id=322AC0000000085_20190914_501AC0000000037 (accessed August 24, 2021).

e-gov (1947b). "Giin Jimukyoku Hō" [House Secretariat Law], https://elaws.e-gov.go.jp/document?lawid=322AC0000000083 (accessed August 13, 2021).

Hirano, Sadao (2020). Shūgiin Jimukyoku. Kokkai no Shin'ōbu ni Kakusareta Saikyō Kikan [Secretariat of the House of Representatives: The Strongest Institution Hidden in the Depths of the Diet]. Tokyo: Hakushūsha.

Hirose, Junko (2014). "Enhancing our Role as the 'Brains of the Legislature': Comprehensive and Interdisciplinary Research at the National Diet Library, Japan," IFLA Library and Research Services for Parliaments Section Preconference 2014, https://www.ifla.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/assets/services-for-parliaments/preconference/2014/hirose_japan_paper.pdf (accessed August 26, 2021).

House of Councillors, The National Diet of Japan (no date). "Sangiin no Aramshi. Soshiki-zu" (Summary of the House of Councillors. Organizational Chart),

https://www.sangiin.go.jp/japanese/aramashi/jimu_sosikizu.html (accessed October 23, 2021).

lio, Jun (2008). Nihon no Tōchi Kōzō [Structure of Government in Japan]. Tokyo: Chūō Kōron Shinsha. Kōno, Tarō (2003). Kōno Tarō no Kokkai Kōryaku Hon [The Book about Capture of the Diet by Kōno Tarō]. Tokyo: Eiji Shuppan.

Legislative Bureau of the House of Representatives (2012). "Organisation of the Legislative Bureau of the House of Representatives," https://www.shugiin.go.jp/internet/itdb_annai.nsf/html/statics/housei/html/horganisation.html (accessed August 17, 2021).

National Diet Library, Japan (2018), "Mission and Roles."

https://www.ndl.go.jp/en/aboutus/missionandroles.html (accessed August 20, 2021).

National Diet Library, Japan (2021a). "Organization, Staff and Budget,"

https://www.ndl.go.jp/en/aboutus/outline/organization.html (accessed August 19, 2021).

National Diet Library, Japan (2021b). "National Diet Library, Vision 2021–2025: The Digital Shift at the National Diet Library," https://www.ndl.go.jp/en/aboutus/vision_ndl.html (accessed August 26, 2021). National Diet of Japan (2000–2021). "Kokkai Kaigiroku Kensaku Shisutemu" [Diet Minutes Search System

National Diet of Japan (2000–2021). "Kokkai Kaigiroku Kensaku Shisutemu" [Diet Minutes Search System], https://kokkai.ndl.go.jp/#/ (accessed August 18, 2021).

Ōkura, Ayako (2018). "Sangiin Jimukyoku oyobi Shūgiin Jimukyoku ni okeru Gen'yō Bunsho no Kanri" [Record Management in the House of Representatives and the House of Councillors, the National Diet of Japan], *Rekōdo Manejimento*, No. 74.

Samuels, Richard J. (2004). "Politics, Security Policy, and Japan's Cabinet Legislation Bureau: Who Elected These Guys, Anyway?," JPRI Working Paper, No. 99,

http://www.jpri.org/publications/workingpapers/wp99.html (accessed July 19, 2019).

Shimizu Katsuhiko (2011). "Seiji Shudō" no Otoshiana [Pitfall of "Politician-Led Government"]. Tokyo: Heibonsha.

Shindō, Muneyuki (2019). Kanryōsei to Kōbunsho. Kaizan, Netsuzō, Sontaku no Haikei [Bureaucratic System and Official Documents. Manipulation, Forgery, Sontaku]. Tokyo: Chikuma Shobō.

Shinoda, Tomohito (2000). Leading Japan. The Role of the Prime Minister. Westport: Praeger Publishers. Takenaka, Harukata (2013). "Minshutō Seiken to Nihon no Giin Naikakusei" [DPJ Government and Japan's Parliamentary System], in Iio Jun (ed.) Seiken Kōtai to Seitō Seiji. Rekishi no Naka no Nihon Seiji 6

[Alternation of Power and Party Politics. Japanese Politics in History 6]. Tokyo: Chūō Kōron Shinsha. The House of Representatives, Japan (1947). "Shūgiin Kisoku" [Rules of the House of Representatives], June 28, https://www.shugiin.go.jp/internet/itdb_annai.nsf/html/statics/shiryo/dl-rules.htm#19 (accessed August 24, 2021).

The House of Representatives, Japan (no date). "Jimukyoku" (Secretariat),

https://www.shugiin.go.jp/internet/itdb_annai.nsf/html/statics/kokkai/jimukyoku.htm (accessed August 13, 2021).

Zakowski, Karol (2015). Decision-Making Reform in Japan: The DPJ's Failed Attempt at a Politician-Led Government, London and New York: Routledge.

Zakowski, Karol (2021). Gradual Institutional Change in Japan. Kantei Leadership under the Abe Administration, London and New York: Routledge.

Korea's Parliamentary Administration

Ahn, Hyung-Ki, Min, Jin, Kwon, Kyungdeuk & Yim, Dong-Wook (2004), "A Study on the Strategy to Improve Core Competencies of the Korea National Assembly Secretariat", Journal of Korean Society and Public Administration, No.15, Vol.1, pp.71–94.

Bak, Jun-gyu (November 2021), Newspaper Nailshinmun, "The National Assembly opens the 'digital age'... 'Telecommuting system' to be implemented next year (Gughoe 'dijiteolsidae' yeonda ...

'jaetaeggeunmusiseutem' naenyeon sihaeng), article published on 19/11/2021, last assessed on 19/01/2022, available at: http://m.naeil.com/m_news_view.php?id_art=405438

Beschel Jr, Robert, Kim, Soonhee & Choi, Changyong (2016), "Digital Government in Developing Countries: Reflections on the Korean Experience", Chapter one in edited book of Bringing Government into 21 Century – The Korean Digital Governance Experience, World Bank, NY.

Choi, Hyungchang (August 2020), Newspaper Segyeilbo, "Despite the recommendation to work from home... National Assembly system that cannot be 'at home (*Jaetaeggeunmu gwongo-edo... 'Jaetaeg' moshaneun gughoesiseutem*)', article published on 26/08/2020, last assessed on 19/01/2022, available at: http://m.segye.com/view/20200826521533

Citizen Participation Legislative Center (2021), *Legislative Progress Report*, available at https://opinion.lawmaking.go.kr/gcom/gcomMain

Heo, Ok & Roehrig, Terence (2010), South Korea Since 1980, Oxford University Press, New York. Jeong, Kuk-won (2015), "An Efficient Support Organization for Increasing Legislations by the National Assembly", Public Law Journal, Vol. 2, pp. 109–134.

KDCA (no date), Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency, "Overview of Social Distancing System - Key Points of the Revised Social Distancing Plan", last assessed on 19/01/2022, available at: http://ncov.mohw.go.kr/en/socdisBoardView.do?brdId=19&brdGubun=191&dataGubun=191&ncvContSeq=&contSeq=&board id=

Kim, Dong Won & Ko, Myeon Chul (2013), "A Study on the Professionalism of Legislative Bureaucrats: The Case of Committee Staff Directors in the Standing Committees of the National Assembly", Journal of Korean Society and Public Administration, Vol. 24, No.1, pp. 29–50.

Kim, June-Ho et al (March 2021), "Emerging COVID-19 success story: South Korea learned the lessons of MERS", Exemplars in Global Health platform, last assessed on 19/01/2022, available at https://ourworldindata.org/covid-exemplar-south-korea

Kim, Tae Yoon (2014), "Legislative Branch and Regulatory Reform -About the Problems of Korean Regulatory Legislation Focusing on the Search for Institutional Improvement Plans- (*Ibbeobbuwa Gyujegaehyeog -Ulinala Gyujeibbeobui Munjejeome Daehan Jedojeog Gaeseonbanganui Mosaegeul Jungsimeulo-*)", Journal of Regulatory Studies, Vol. 23, September, pp. 69–114.

KLRI 1 (2019), Statutes of Republic of Korea, Korean Legislation Research Institute (KLRI), "National Assembly Act", Act No 16325, Last amended on 16/04/2019, last assessed on 01/04/2021, available at: https://elaw.klri.re.kr/eng_service/lawView.do?hseq=25732&lang=ENG

KLRI 2 (2016), Statutes of Republic of Korea, Korean Legislation Research Institute (KLRI), "National Assembly Library Act", Act No 14375, last amended on 16/12/2016, last assessed on 25/04/2021, available at: https://elaw.klri.re.kr/kor_service/lawView.do?hseq=40615&lang=ENG

KLRI 3 (2019), Statutes of Republic of Korea, Korean Legislation Research Institute (KLRI), "National Assembly Research Service Act", Act No 16327, Last amended 16/04/2019, last assessed on 25/04/2021, available at: https://elaw.klri.re.kr/eng_service/lawView.do?hseq=50740&lang=ENG

KLRI 4 (2018), Statutes of Republic of Korea, Korean Legislation Research Institute (KLRI), "National Assembly Secretariat Act", Act No. 15710, last amended on 12/06/2018, last assessed on 07/04/2021, available at: https://elaw.klri.re.kr/kor_service/lawView.do?hseq=48573&lang=ENG

KLRI 5 (2018), Statutes of Republic of Korea, Korean Legislation Research Institute (KLRI), "State Public Officials Act", Act No. 15857, last amended on 16/10/2018, last assessed on 28/04/2021, available at: https://elaw.klri.re.kr/kor_service/lawView.do?hseq=49778&lang=ENG

KNA (no date), National Assembly of the Republic of Korea, last assessed 15/04/2021, available at: https://korea.assembly.go.kr:447/int/org 06.jsp

NABO (no date), National Assembly Budget Office, last assessed on 28/04/2021, available at: https://korea.nabo.go.kr

NAON (no date), National Assembly News On, last assessed on 18/01/2022, available at: http://www.naon.go.kr/search/searchList/addIns.do?query=

NARS (no date), National Assembly Research Service, last assessed on 28/04/2021, available at: https://www.nars.go.kr/eng/intro/researchServices.do

NAS (no date), National Assembly Secretariat of Republic of Korea, last assessed 15/04/2021, available at: https://korea.assembly.go.kr:447/secretary/

NATV (no date), National Assembly Television, last assessed on 25/04/2021, available at: https://www.natv.go.kr/natv/main.do

OECD (2015), Government at a Glance 2015, last assessed on 24/04/2021, available at: https://www.oecd.org/gov/Korea.pdf

WHO (no date), World Health Organization, WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard, last assessed on 19/01/2022, available at: https://covid19.who.int

Mexico's Parliamentary Administration

Copeland, G. and Patterson, S. C. (1994) Parliaments in the Modern World, Parliaments in the Modern World: Changing Institutions. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Hix, S. and Høyland, B. (2013) 'Empowerment of the European Parliament', Annual Review of Political Science, 16(1), pp. 171–189.

Huntington, S. P. (1996) Political Order in Changing Societies. New Haven, Connecticut: Yale University Press.

INEGI (2019) Encuesta Nacional de Calidad e Impacto Gubernamental 2019.

Judge, D. (2003) 'Legislative Institutionalization: A Bent Analytical Arrow?', Government and Opposition, 38(4), pp. 497–516.

Klesner, J. L. (2005) 'Electoral Competition and the New Party System in Mexico', Latin American Politics & Society, 47(2), pp. 103–142.

Loaeza, S. (2001) 'México: La rebelión de las elites', Estudios Sociológicos, 19(56), pp. 363-380.

Lujambio, A. (2000) El poder compartido. Mexico City: Oceano.

Merino, M. (2003) La transición votada. Crítica a la interpretación del cambio político en México. Mexico City: FCE.

Polsby, N. W. (1968) 'The Institutionalization of the U.S. House of Representatives', American Political Science Review, 62(1), pp. 144–168.

Puente Martínez, K. (2017) Cómo se decide el gasto público en México. congreso y proceso presupuestario durante la democratización (1994–2016). Mexico City: Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico.

Puente Martínez, K. (2018) 'Los congresos locales en México. Un modelo para evaluar su grado de institucionalización.', Estudios Políticos, 9(44), pp. 65–91.

Ugalde, L. C. (2000) The Mexican Congress: Old Player, New Power. Washington, DC: Center for Strategic and International Studies.

Zamora, S. and Cossío, J. R. (2006) 'Mexican Constitutionalism after Presidencialismo', International Journal of Constitutional Law, 4(2), pp. 411–437.

South Africa's Parliamentary Administration

Calland, R., Emling D. and Jacobs, S. (1997). Extracts from PIMS Discussion Paper: The South African Parliamentary System in a Comparative Perspective. Cape Town: IDASA.

Deloitte . (2021). Perspectives: Zero-Based Budgeting. [online] Available at:

https://www2.deloitte.com/za/en/pages/operations/articles/gx-zero-based-budgeting.html [Accessed 15 June 2021].

Humphries, R. and Meierhenrich, J. (1996). South Africa's New Upper House: The National Council of Provinces. Indicator SA, Vol 13, No 4.

Monstad, T. (1999). The New South African Parliament: An Evaluation of Parliament's Oversight Function of the Executive'. Master of Social Science. University of Cape Town.

Mputing, A. (2021). Parliament RSA Official Website. [online] Available at:

https://www.parliament.gov.za/news/advent-covid-19-has-hastened-parliaments-resolve-embrace-fourth-industrial-revolution-ncop-chairperson [Accessed 23 June 2021].

National Treasury, Republic of South Africa . (n.d.). A Framework for achieving spending efficiency in a fiscally constrained environment. [online] Available at:

http://www.treasury.gov.za/publications/guidelines/Zero%20Based%20Budgeting%20Framework.pdf [Accessed 22 July 2021].

Office of the Chief Justice RSA, Judicial Service Commission, (n.d.) [online] Available at:

http://www.psc.gov.za/about/mandates.asp [Accessed 6 February 2022].

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2011). Annual Report, 2010–2011.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2012). Annual Report, 2011–2012.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2013). Annual Report, 2012–2013.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2014). Annual Report, 2013–2014.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2015). Annual Report, 2014–2015.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2016). Annual Report, 2015–2016.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2017). Annual Report, 2016–2017.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2018). Annual Report, 2017–2018.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2019). *Annual Report, 2018–2019.*

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2019). *Annual Report, 2019–2019*. Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2020). *Annual Report, 2019–2020*.

Pathament Republic of South Africa . (2020). Annual Republic, 2019–2020.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . n.d. Official Website. [online] Available at: https://www.parliament.gov.za/organogram [Accessed 4 April 2022].

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2020a). Revised Annual Performance Plan 2020/21 to 2022/23.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2020b). Strategic Plan for Parliament 2019 to 2024.

Parliament Republic of South Africa . (2021). Succession Planning and Graduate Development Programme, 2021.

Parliamentary Monitoring Group . (2020) Zero-Based Budgeting: Parliamentary Budget Office briefing. [online] Available at: https://pmg.org.za/committee-meeting/30912/ [Accessed 19 May 2021].

Public Service Commission Republic of South Africa South Africa . (n.d.) [online] Available at:

https://www.judiciary.org.za/index.php/judicial-service-commission/about-the-jsc [Accessed 6 February 2022].

Pypers, E. (2015). South Africa's Parliamentary System: From Westminster to Hybrid? In: *South African Catholic Bishops' Conference*, Briefing Paper 380, Parliamentary Liaison Office, May 2015.

Selim, G. (1996). The Manager Monitored. In: L. Wilcocks , and I. Harrow , eds., Rediscovering Public Service Management. New York: McGraw-Hill Books.

South African Government, (1996). The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996.

South African Legislative Sector (SALS) . (n.d.) Official Website. [online] Available at:

https://sals.gov.za/history-and-context/ [Accessed 31 March 2021].

Tomkins, C.R. (1987). Achieving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness in the Public Sector. Great Britain: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

The United States Congressional Administration

Cain, B., J. Ferejohn and M. Fiorina (2013) The Personal Vote: Constituency Service and Electoral Independence (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).

Cillizza, C. (2021) Matt Gaetz Has Zero Interest in Being in Congress" *CNN Politics March 30*, https://www.cnn.com/2021/03/30/politics/matt-gaetz-congress-newsmax/index.html

Congressional Budget Office (2021) Estimated Budgetary Effects of the American Rescue Plan 2021 (Washington, DC: CBO) https://www.cbo.gov/publication/57012.

Congressional Research Service (2018) Congressional Salaries and Allowances In Brief (Washington, DC: CRS), Report RL30064.

Congressional Research Service (2020) Staff Pay Levels for Selected Positions in House Member Offices, 2001-19 (Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service).

Fiorina, M. (1989) Congress: Keystone of the Washington Establishment, 2nd ed. (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press).

Furnas, A. C. (2018) Legislative staff are spending an increasing amount of time on constituent services," LegBranch, April 11, https://www.legbranch.org/2018-4-11-legislative-staff-are-spending-an-increasing-amount-of-time-on-constituent-services/

Grosser, A. (1964) The Evolution of European Parliaments, Daedalus 93, 153–178.

Hertel-Fernandez, A., M. Mildenberger and L. C. Stokes (2019) Legislative Staff and Representation in Congress, American Political Science Review 113, 1–18.

Joyce, P. (2011) The Congressional Budget Office: Honest Numbers, Power and Policymaking (Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press).

Kerwin, C. M. and S. R., Furlong (2018) Rulemaking: How Government Agencies Write Rules and Make Policy, 5 th ed. (Washington, DC: CQ Press).

Kosar, K. R. (2015) Why I quit the Congressional Research Service, Washington Monthly, January/February https://washingtonmonthly.com/magazine/janfeb-2015/why-i-quit-the-congressional-research-service/

Leal, D. L. and F. M. Hess (2004) Who Chooses Experience?: Examining the Use of Veteran Staff by House Freshmen, Polity 36, 651–664.

Mosher, F. C. (1979) The GAO: The Quest for Accountability in American Government (Boulder, CO: Westview Press).

Ornstein, N. J., T. E. Mann, M. J. Malbin, A. Rugg and R. Wakeman (2019) Vital Statistics on Congress (Washington, DC: Brookings Institution and American Enterprise Institute).

Panizza, F., B. Guy Peters and C. Ramos Larraburu (2019) "Roles, trusts and skills: A typology of patronage appointments" Public Administration, 97: 147–161.

Peters, B. G. , (forthcoming) Bureaucracy for Democracy: Administration in Support of Democracy, Unpublished paper, University of Pittsburgh.

Poguntke, T. and P. Webb (2007) Presidentialization of Politics: A Comparative Study of Modern Democracies (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

Polsby, N. W. (1975) 'Legislatures', in F. I. Greenstein and N. W. Polsby (eds), Handbook of Political Science, vol. 5 (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley).

Price, D. E. (2004) The Congressional Experience, 3 rd ed. (Boulder, CO: Westview Press).

Reich, D. and R. Kogan (2021) Introduction to Budget "Reconciliation", (Washington, DC: Center for Budget and Policy Priorities) January 22, https://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/1-22-15bud.pdf Reynolds, M. (2019) The Decline of Congressional Capacity, in T. M. Lapira , L. Drutman and K, R. Kosar , eds., Congress Overwhelmed: The Decline of Congressional Capacity and Prospects for Reform (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press).

Squire, P. (2007) Measuring State Legislative Professionalism: The Squire Index Revisited, State Politics and Policy 7, 211–227.

European Parliament's Administration

European Parliament Ascendant, Parliamentary Strategies of Self-Empowerment in the EU by Adrienne Héritier, Katharina L Meissner, Catherine Moury and Magnus G Schoeller, Palgrave 2019.

The European Parliament by Ariadna Ripoll Servent, Palgrave 2018.

The European Parliament by Richard Corbett , Francis Jacobs and Darren Neville, 9th edition, John Harper Publishing 2016.

The European Parliament in Times of EU Crisis , Dynamics and Transformations, edited by Olivier Costa, Palgrave Macmillan, 2019.

Global and Regional Perspectives on Transnational Parliamentary Administrations

Albers, Martin. 'Between the Crisis of Democracy and World Parliament: The Development of the Inter-Parliamentary Union in the 1920s', (2012) 7(2) Journal of Global History 189–209.

Alexander, David A. 'The Committee Secretariat of the European Parliament: Administrative Mobility, Expertise and Keeping the Legislative Wheels Turning, (2021) 27(2) Journal of Legislative Studies 227–245. Barrett, Val. *Parliamentary Administration: What Does It Mean to Manage a Parliament Effectively?* (PhD thesis at the Australian National University 2019).

Beetham, David. Parliament and Democracy in the Twenty-First Century: A Guide to Good Practice (IPU 2006).

Buzogány, Aron. 'Learning from the Best: Interparliamentary Networks and the Parliamentary Scrutiny of EU Decision-Making', in Ben Crum and John Erik Fossum (eds), Practices of Interparliamentary Coordination in International Politics: The European Union and Beyond (ECPR Press 2013) 17–32.

Buzogány, Aron and Jens Häsing . 'Spokes in the Wheel. European Affairs and the Parliamentary Administration of German *Landtage*', (2017) 23(2) Journal of Legislative Studies 200–220.

Christiansen, Thomas et al. 'National Parliaments in the Post-Lisbon European Union: Bureaucratization rather than Democratization', (2014) 12(2) Comparative European Politics 121–140.

Cofelice, Andrea. Parliamentary Institutions in Regional and International Governance: Functions and Powers (Routledge 2018).

Cofelice, Andrea. 'Ideas, Beliefs and Norms: What Role for International Parliamentary Institutions?', *Centro Studi sul Federalismo*, December 2019.

Cofelice, Andrea and Stelios Stavridis . 'The European Parliament as an International Parliamentary Institution (IPI)', (2014) 19(2) European Foreign Affairs Review 145–178.

Coremans, Evelyn and Katharina L. Meissner . 'Putting Power into Practice: Administrative and Political Capacity Building in the European Parliament's Committee for International Trade', (2018) 96(3) Public Administration 561–577.

Costa, Olivier et al. (eds). Parliamentary Dimensions of Regionalization and Globalization: The Role of Inter-Parliamentary Institutions (Palgrave Macmillan 2013).

Cutler, Robert. 'International Parliamentary Institutions as Organizations', (2013) 4(1) Journal of International Organizations Studies 104–126.

Egeberg, Morten et al. 'Parliament Staff: Unpacking the Behaviour of Officials in the European Parliament', (2013) 20(4) Journal of European Public Policy 495–514.

Fitsilis, Fotios. 'Inter-Parliamentary Cooperation and Its Administrators', in Elena Griglio and Stelios Stavridis (eds), Special Issue on 'Joint Scrutiny of EU Policies: The Contribution of Interparliamentary Cooperation', (2018) 10(3) Perspectives on Federalism 28–55.

Fitsilis, Fotios and Alexandros Koutsogiannis . 'Strengthening the Capacity of Parliaments through Development of Parliamentary Research Services', *Working paper presented at the 13th Workshop of Parliamentary Scholars and Parliamentarians, Oxfordshire, 29-30 July* 2017.

Gastorn, Kennedy. 'The Inevitable Reforms of the Legislative Competencies of the East African Legislative Assembly', (2015) 48(1) Verfassung und Recht in Übersee/Law and Politics in Africa, Asia and Latin America 28–48.

Habegger, Beat. 'Democratic Accountability of International Organizations: Parliamentary Control within the Council of Europe and the OSCE and the Prospects for the United Nations', (2010) 45(2) Cooperation and Conflict 186–204.

Högenauer, Anna-Lena. 'National Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union: The Process of Europeanisation', in Thomas Christiansen et al. (eds), The Routledge Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations (Routledge 2023), forthcoming.

Högenauer, Anna-Lena and Christine Neuhold . 'National Parliaments after Lisbon: Administrations on the Rise?', (2015) 38(2) West European Politics 335–354.

Högenauer, Anna-Lena and Thomas Christiansen . 'Parliamentary Administrations in the Scrutiny of EU Decision-Making', in Claudia Hefftler et al. (eds), The Palgrave Handbook of National Parliaments and the European Union (Palgrave Macmillan 2015) 116–132.

Högenauer, Anna-Lena et al. Parliamentary Administrations in the European Union (Palgrave Macmillan 2016).

Jacobs, Francis and Alfredo de Feo . "European Parliament", in Thomas Christiansen et al. (eds), The Routledge Handbook of Parliamentary Administrations (Routledge 2023), forthcoming.

Jágr, David. 'Parliamentary Research Services as Expert Resource of Lawmakers: The Czech Way', (2022) 28(1) Journal of Legislative Studies 93–121.

Jančić, Davor. 'Transnational Parliamentarism and Global Governance: The New Practice of Democracy', in Elaine Fahey (ed), The Actors of Postnational Rule-Making: Contemporary Challenges of European and International Law (Routledge 2015) 113–132.

Jančić, Davor. 'Regional Parliaments and African Economic Integration', (2019) 30(1) European Journal of International Law 199–228.

Johnsson, Anders B. 'The Inter-Parliamentary Union and the Promotion of Representative Institutions', (1995) 1(4) Journal of Legislative Studies 104–111.

Jönsson, Christer and Anders Johnsson . 'Parliaments in Global Governance', (2018) 24(3) Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations 309–320.

Kissling, Claudia. The Legal and Political Status of International Parliamentary Institutions (Background Paper #4) (Committee for a Democratic UN 2011).

Kraft-Kasack, Christiane. 'Transnational Parliamentary Assemblies: A Remedy for the Democratic Deficit of International Governance?, (2008) 31(3) West European Politics 534–557.

Lenz, Tobias et al. 'Legitimacy and the Cognitive Sources of International Institutional Change: The Case of Regional Parliamentarization', (2019) 63(4) International Studies Quarterly 1094–1107.

Lipps, Jana. 'Intertwined Parliamentary Arenas: Why Parliamentarians Attend International Parliamentary Institutions', (2021) 27(2) European Journal of International Relations 501–520.

Luciano, Bruno Theodoro. Parliamentary Agency and Regional Integration in Europe and Beyond: The Logic of Regional Parliaments (Routledge 2021).

Lupo, Nicola. 'The Role of International Parliamentary Cooperation: Political Tourism or Added Value for Intergovernmental Relations?', in Wilhelm Hofmeister and Jan Melissen (eds), Rethinking International Institutions: Diplomacy and Impact on Emerging World Order (Konrad Adenauer Stiftung and Clingendael 2016) 53–66.

Malamud, Andrés and Clarissa Dri . 'Spillover Effects and Supranational Parliaments: The Case of Mercosur', (2013) 19(2) Journal of Iberian and Latin American Research 224–238.

Malang, Thomas. 'Why National Parliamentarians Join International Organizations', (2019) 14(3) The Review of International Organizations 407–430.

Neuhold, Christine and Mathias Dobbels . 'Paper Keepers or Policy Shapers? The Conditions under Which EP Officials Impact on the EU Policy Process', (2015) 13(5) Comparative European Politics 577–595.

Pegan, Andreja and Anna-Lena Högenauer . 'The Role of Parliamentary Administrations in

Interparliamentary Cooperation', in Nicola Lupo and Cristina Fasone (eds), Interparliamentary Cooperation in the Composite European Constitution (Hart Publishing 2016) 147–164.

Raube, Kolja et al. (eds). Parliamentary Cooperation and Diplomacy in EU External Relations: An Essential Companion (Edward Elgar 2019).

Rocabert, Jofre et al. 'The Rise of International Parliamentary Institutions: Purpose and Legitimation', (2019) 14(4) The Review of International Organizations 607–631.

Romanyshyn, Iulian and Christine Neuhold . 'The European Parliament's Administration: Between Neutral and Politicised Competence', in Christine Neuhold et al. (eds), Civil Servants and Politics: A Delicate Balance (Springer 2013) 205–228.

Ruhangisa, John Eudes. 'The Scope, Nature and Effect of EAC Law', in Emmanuel Ugirashebuja et al. (eds), East African Community Law: Institutional, Substantive and Comparative EU Aspects (Brill Publishing 2017) 139–160.

Šabič, Zlatko. 'Building Democratic and Responsible Global Governance: The Role of International Parliamentary Institutions', (2008) 61(2) Parliamentary Affairs 255–271.

Schimmelfennig, Frank et al. The Rise of International Parliaments: Strategic Legitimation in International Organizations (Oxford University Press 2021).

Slaughter, Anne-Marie. 'Government Networks: The Heart of the Liberal Democratic Order', in Gregory H. Fox and Brad R. Roth (eds), Democratic Governance and International Law (Cambridge University Press 2000) 199–236.

Stavridis, Stelios and Davor Jančić (eds). Parliamentary Diplomacy in European and Global Governance (Brill Publishing 2017).

Strelkov, Alexander. 'Who Controls National EU Scrutiny? Parliamentary Party Groups, Committees and Administrations', (2015) 38(2) West European Politics 355–374.

Winzen, Thomas. 'Bureaucracy and Democracy: Intra-Parliamentary Delegation in European Union Affairs', (2014) 36(7) Journal of European Integration 677–695.

Winzen, Thomas and Jofre Rocabert . 'Citizen-Centred or State-Centred? The Representational Design of International Parliamentary Institutions', (2021) 47(1) Review of International Studies 128–153.

Zarjevski, Yefime. The People Have the Floor: History of the Inter-Parliamentary Union (Dartmouth Publishing 1989).